

A Special Creation Part 6

“Dr. Eric Pianka gave a speech to the Texas Academy of Science last month in which he advocated the need to exterminate 90% of the population through the airborne ebola virus.

Standing in front of a slide of human skulls, Pianka gleefully advocated this virus as his preferred method of extermination, choosing it over AIDS because of its faster kill period.

Ebola victims suffer the most tortuous deaths imaginable as the virus kills by liquefying the internal organs. The body literally dissolves as the victim writhes in pain bleeding from every orifice.

Pianka also suggests that we should begin to sterilize the human population now saying that, ‘We need to sterilize everybody on the earth and make the antidote freely available to anyone willing to work for it.’

And believe it or not, not only was Pianka later presented with a distinguished scientist award by the Academy, but amazingly the audience of fellow scientists and students actually applauded, cheered, and laughed approvingly.

And Pianka is no crackpot. He has given lectures to prestigious universities worldwide where he’s merely echoing the elites hideous interest in depopulations techniques via Darwinist control mechanisms.

Dr. Forrest Mims, who has been valiantly trying to expose Pianka’s hideous calls, wrote to Pianka and asked for an explanation as to why he wanted to see a worldwide epidemic that would only kill Africans.

Pianka responded by saying he was not racially prejudice and wanted to see 90% of all races exterminated. As he puts it, ‘He wants an equal opportunity killer virus.’

Dr. Mims rightfully concluded that, ‘The only difference between Pianka and Hitler is that Hitler stated he wanted to kill five and a half *million* people, this guy is saying he wants to kill five and a half *billion* people.’”

Now folks, how many of you would like to have that guy as your teacher? You definitely don't want to smart off to him! I mean, come one, can you believe what that guy's saying? He actually thinks annihilating 90% of the world's population is a good thing! And here's the point. Where was he receiving his influence from? From Darwinian evolution, right? Which makes perfect sense if you think about it. I mean, if you believe that the world came into existence by death and mutations and misfits, then hey, who cares if you cause the death of billions of **other people** you think are misfits just to continue **your** existence. And folks, lest you think he's the only one being influenced like that, he's not alone. This deadly Darwinian influence is everywhere!

- **Margaret Sanger** called for, "The elimination of 'human weeds,' for the 'cessation of charity' because it prolonged the lives of the unfit, for the segregation of 'morons, misfits, and the maladjusted,' and for the sterilization of genetically inferior races."
- **David Graber**, a research biologist with the National Park Service said, "We have become a plague upon ourselves and upon the Earth...Until such time as homo sapiens should decide to rejoin nature, some of us can only hope for the right virus to come along."
- **Jacques Cousteau** wrote, "The damage people cause to the planet is a function of demographics – it is equal to the degree of development. One American burdens the earth much more than twenty Bangladeshes...This is a terrible thing to say. In order to stabilize world population, we must eliminate 350,000 people per day. It is a horrible thing to say, but it's just as bad not to say it."

- **Bertrand Russell** wrote, “At present the population of the world is increasing... War so far has had no great effect on this increase...I do not pretend that birth control is the only way in which population can be kept from increasing. There are others...If a Black Death could be spread throughout the world once in every generation, survivors could procreate freely without making the world too full...the state of affairs might be somewhat unpleasant, but what of it? Really high-minded people are indifferent to suffering, especially that of others.”
- **Dr. Sam Keen**, a New Age writer and philosopher stated, “We must speak far more clearly about sexuality, contraception, about abortion, about values that control the population, because the ecological crisis, in short, is the population crisis. Cut the population by 90% and there aren’t enough people left to do a great deal of ecological damage.”
- **Ted Turner** said, “People who abhor the China one-child policy are dumb-dumbs. A total population of 250-300 million people, a 95% decline from present levels, would be ideal.”

Now folks, I don’t know about you, but at this rate, hey, we need to stop calling it evolution, and start calling it for what it is. It’s **evolution**, you know what I’m saying? And gee folks, I guess that’s why we need to continue in our study called, “**The Witness of Creation.**” And so far we’ve seen the **first three evidences** of creation that God has left behind for us showing us that He’s not just real, but that we really can have a personal intimate relationship with Him, the Creator of the universe was the evidences of **An Intelligent Creation** from very the Hand of Almighty God, as opposed to blind chance exploding from some sort of primeval blob, and then **A Young Creation**, as opposed to the long-age fairy tale time of evolution. And the last five times we’ve saw how the **third evidence** was **A**

Special Creation. And so far we've not only seen how the supposed evolution of people and animals and natural selection and embryology are a bunch of baloney, but last time we saw how **mutations** and **vestigial organs** are also a bunch a boloney. **Why?** Because they've got some serious problems. **Problems with the Theories, Problems with the Evidence,** and **Problems with the Quotes!** Folks, they not only know it's a lie but they keep using these lies for evolution! And gee whiz folks, I got to thinking, man if all you've got are lies to support your theory, then maybe it's time to get a new theory, you know what I'm saying?

But you might be thinking, "Okay so maybe the supposed evolution of people and animals and all these mechanisms of evolution are a bunch of baloney, but what about the **latest ones** they've come up with. You know like **transitional fossils** and **punctuated equilibrium** where they say this is modern proof for evolution taking place? What about those? Well, hey, great question! But before we look at that, let's **once again** get acquainted with the Biblical answer for the existence of life.

Colossians 1:13-17 "For he has rescued us from the dominion of darkness and brought us into the kingdom of the Son he loves, in whom we have redemption, the forgiveness of sins. He is the image of the invisible God, the firstborn over all creation.

For by him all things were created: things in heaven and on earth, visible and invisible, whether thrones or powers or rulers or authorities; all things were

created by him and for him. He is before all things, and in him all things hold together.”

Now folks, according to our text, the Bible is clear. Jesus is not only God in the flesh, but He’s what? He also the One Who created all of flesh, right? From the visible to the invisible, from the heavens to the humans, right? But the problem is, what does evolution teach? Do they say, “Oh yeah, Jesus created all things and even holds them together.” Are you kidding? They say blind chance created all things and pure luck holds it together, right? Therefore, I’d say we better take a look at not just the Scriptural evidence but the scientific evidence of these **latest theories of evolution** and see just who’s telling the truth, how about you? And we’ll start with **transitional fossils**. Here’s how the basic premise goes.

Evolutionist’s believe that over millions and billions of years there have been millions and billions of evolutionary changes in creatures. And they call each one of these supposed changes in a creature a “transition.” And each one of these transitions are supposedly, **slowly over time**, changing the creature into a completely different kind of creature. And for proof, they say you can see evidence of this in the fossil record.

So my question is this? Is this really true? Are millions and billions of **transitions** over millions and billions of years what really brought life into

being? Are you kidding? People, it's another evolutionary lie! How do I know?

Because the **first reason** why we know Jesus created all of life is **Because Transitional Fossils are a Lie!** People, we're going to take a look at some serious problems with these supposed transitional fossils and you tell me if somebody's brain hasn't fossilized.

Problem of What We Find: Believe it or not many evolutionists believe that the modern bat evolved over millions of years undergoing millions of transitions from a rat-like creature. In fact, the German name for bat is "*Fledermause*" which means "flying mice." But let's take a look at what we should expect to find if this transition from a rat to a bat were to have occurred.

Imagine for a moment we started off with one creature, the rat, and actually ended up with a new creature, the bat. First of all, stop and think about how many millions of supposed transitions there would have to be over millions of years to change from a rat to the bat. Therefore, of all the fossil remains, we should expect to find millions of these leftover remains of each of these millions of transition, right?

However, the only problem is, that's not what we find! Out of the millions and billions of transitional fossils that should be there, there are none, zero, nada! That which should be the most in abundance is that which we do not find at all! Exactly opposite of what the theory of transitions imply!

Problem of What We See: It's bad enough that we do not find any of these supposed transitional fossils and what few they've tried to come up with like the horse and whale which we've already seen are completely phony, keep in mind the whole premise is that you start off with one unique creature that supposedly turns into a totally different creature altogether. However, the only problem is, that's not what we see!

For instance, take for example a **horseshoe crab** fossil from the supposed Ordovician Age said to be 450-million-year-old. Now, it was already

demonstrated in the section **A Young Creation** that we've only been around for a few thousand years, but even giving the evolutionists their big dates, they've still got a huge problem. The problem is that this fossil is no different from horseshoe crabs still today. Why haven't they long since transitioned into something else by now?

Or how about a **starfish** fossil touted by evolutionists to be 100 to 150 million years old. But guess what? It's the same as starfish today!

Or how about some **oyster** fossils said to be over 400 million years old. But again, they're no different than oysters today!

How about a supposed 1.9 million year old fossil **bacteria** from Western Ontario in Canada. The only problem is they have the same structures as bacteria living today.

Or consider the oldest known fossil **scorpion** found in East Kirkton in Scotland. This species is said to be 320 million years old but for some reason is still no different from today's scorpions.

Or how about an **insect** fossil in amber that was found on the Baltic Sea coast and dated by evolutionists at about 170 million years old. But for some reason, it's no different from its modern day counterparts.

How about a supposed 140 million year old **dragonfly** fossil found in Bavaria in Germany. Believe it or not, it's identical to dragonflies living today!

Or how about some supposed 35 million year old **flies**. But guess what? They have the same body structure as flies today.

Or how about a supposed 170 million year old fossil **shrimp** from the supposed Jurassic Age. But once again, it's no different from shrimps today.

Or consider a supposed 25 million year old termite fossils found in amber. Can you believe they're identical to termites living today?

Therefore, the point is this. If these creatures supposedly existed millions of years ago because of evolution then surely also because of evolution they

would have made a transition into another creature by now, right? However, that's not what we see!

Out of the millions of fossil remains we do see, all we ever see are more of the same kind of creatures. Some may have gone extinct but none of them have ever turned into another kind! Exactly opposite of what the theory of transitions imply!

Problem of What We Hear:

1. **Robert Carroll**, an expert on vertebrate paleontology and a committed evolutionist, "Despite more than a hundred years of intense collecting efforts since the time of Darwin's death, the fossil record still does not yield the picture of infinitely numerous transitional links that he expected."
2. Biologist **Francis Hitching**, "If we find fossils, and if Darwin's theory was right, we can predict what the rock should contain; finely graduated fossils leading from one group of creatures to another group of creatures at a higher level of complexity. But this is hardly ever the case. In fact, the opposite holds true.

Darwin felt though that the "extreme imperfection" of the fossil record was simply a matter of digging up more fossils. But as more and more fossils were dug up, it was found that almost all of them, without exception, were very close to current living animals."

3. **Stephen Jay Gould**, a Harvard University paleontologist and well-known evolutionist, "The history of most fossil species include two features particularly inconsistent with gradualism: most species exhibit no directional change during their tenure on earth.

They appear in the fossil record looking much the same as when they disappear. A species does not arise gradually by the steady transformation of its ancestors; it appears all at once and 'fully formed.'"

4. **Niles Eldredge** said, "That individual kinds of fossils remain recognizably the same throughout the length of their occurrence in the

fossil record had been known to paleontologists long before Darwin published his *Origin*.

One hundred and twenty years of paleontological research later, it has become abundantly clear that the fossil record will not confirm this part of Darwin's predictions. Nor is the problem a miserably poor record. The fossil record simply shows that this prediction is wrong."

Now folks, I don't know about you, but I'd say based on the evidence we just saw, somebody's needs to make a "**transition**" all right. They need to make a transition to the **brain cell center**, you now what I'm saying? And gee, that would make evolution by way of **transitional fossils** a what? A lie! Shocker!

Oh, but that's not all. The **second reason** why we know Jesus created all of life is **Because Punctuated Equilibrium is a Lie!** People believe it or not, even after all we've seen, the evolutionists still will not admit defeat. No matter how many times they get caught making up stories, they just keep making up more stories! And one of the biggest ones to date is called **Punctuated Equilibrium!** And folks, all it is, is a fancy way of saying, "Okay, maybe the reason why we can't find any transitional fossils is because evolution didn't happen slowly over time but rapidly. Maybe it happened quickly in spontaneous burps!" For instance, "Maybe the first bird hatched from a reptiles egg!" And folks, I'm not making that up. That's really what this theory says!

So my question is this? Is Punctuated Equilibrium really true? **Did a crocodile really give birth to a crow?** Are you kidding? People, it's another evolutionary lie! We're going to take a look at some serious problems with this punctuated equilibrium thing and you tell me if somebody hasn't been punched one too many times!

Problem of Multiple Mutations: If punctuated equilibrium were to ever occur, then millions and billions of mutations have to suddenly occur all at once to produce a new and totally different "spontaneous" creature, like the bird hatching from a reptiles egg. However, what we observe is that if a mutation does occur, they are single and not multiple. If they occur, they happen one at a time, not millions at a time.

Problem of Beneficial Mutations: Not only would millions of mutation have to happen all at once if punctuated equilibrium were to ever occur, but keep in mind every single one of these mutation must be beneficial to the organism.

First of all, the odds of multiple mutations ever working together in harmony with all body parts simultaneously such as the body organs, bones, head, feet, DNA, and all the rest, are beyond reason! But secondly, as we've already seen, all we ever observe with mutations is that they are never beneficial but detrimental. They either hurt, harm, maim, or kill the creature. As one evolutionist put it, "These monsters are not 'hopeful' but 'hopeless.'"

Problem of Time: Even if mutations were multiple and beneficial, even Stephen Jay Gould admitted that these "hopeful monsters" of punctuated equilibrium occur only every 50,000 years to help cover up the fact that they are not occurring today. But do the math on this.

One new species every 50,000 years would only yield 20 new species every 1 million years! But what we observe is that there are hundreds of thousands of plant and animal species on the earth. Therefore, all eternity itself could not provide enough time for all these creatures to spring forth!

Problem of Multiple Creatures: Even if mutations were multiple and beneficial and there was enough time for punctuated equilibrium occur, keep in mind that two of these “spontaneous” events must occur simultaneously. This is because if this “new” creature is to survive, there must be one male and one female.

Then they have to appear on the scene close enough to find each other. Then they have to get interested in each other and hope the other one wants to marry them and have kids. And as if that wasn't a big enough feat, keep in mind what Gould said, that this rare event only happens once every 50,000 years. Question, “Who's going to wait around 50,000 before they get married and have kids let alone stay alive to have kids!!!”

Problem with the Evidence: Believe it or not, not only are there known problems with the theory of punctuated equilibrium but it is also known by the evolutionists that there is no evidence whatsoever to back it up.

For instance, Ernst Mayr, whose been called “The Dean of Darwinism,” said, “To believe that such a drastic mutation would produce a viable new type is equivalent to believing in miracles. The finding of a suitable mate for the ‘hopeless monster’ and the establishment of reproductive isolation from the normal members of the parental population seem to me insurmountable difficulties.”

Now folks, I don't know about you, but I'd say based on the evidence we just saw, somebody's needs to get “**punctuated**,” all right. They need a serious dose of “laying on of hands” you know what I'm saying? And gee whiz, that would make evolution by way of **punctuated equilibrium** a what? A lie! Shocker!

Oh, but that's still not all. The **third reason** why we know Jesus created all of life is **Because Sequential Ordering is a Lie!** People, even if transitional fossils or punctuated equilibrium were true, **and they're not**, but

even if they were, it still doesn't prove a thing! **Why?** Because stop and think about it! If you find a bone in the dirt, all you know about it is what? It died, right? You don't know anything else. You don't know if it had any kids let alone what kind of kids, right? Therefore, **you can put any kind of bones in any kind of supposed sequential order you want** but it still doesn't prove a thing! And to show you how goofy this sequential ordering really is, let's look at just a couple of problems with it.

Problem with Family Trees: The only problem with all those seemingly well-ordered evolutionary "family trees" in the textbooks is they're completely "out of order"! And not sequentially but in honesty! Believe it or not, all these so-called transitional family trees cited as "visual" proof for evolution are not only pure poppycock, they're admitted as such by the evolutionists.

For instance, even evolutionist **Stephen Jay Gould** said, "The evolutionary trees that adorn our textbooks have data only at the tips and nodes of their branches; the rest is inference, however reasonable, not the evidence of fossils." And evolutionist **Mary Leakey** said, "All of those trees of life with the branches of our ancestors – that's a lot of nonsense!"

And finally, in an article in the leading journal *Science*, "In the years after Darwin, his advocates hoped to find predictable progressions. In general these have not been found yet the optimism has died hard, and some pure fantasy has crept into textbooks."

Problem with the Whole Idea: To put the nail in the coffin so to speak with this whole idea of sequential ordering, listen to this humorous analogy revealing how there's no way this "**ordering of bones**" can be used as proof for evolution. As you will see, sequential ordering is so bogus that you can use it to create the so-called evolution of just about anything, including plastic silverware. He says:

“Just because you can arrange animals in a certain order doesn’t prove a thing. Even if you find them buried in a certain order, that doesn’t prove a thing. If I get buried on top of a hamster, does that prove he’s my grandpa?”

I’ve been doing a lot of research on the evolution of the fork. I’ve pieced together fragmentary evidence for years. I believe after intensive research, the knife evolved first and then slowly evolved into the spoon. It took millions of years with great geological pressures that squeezed, dished it out and widened it up a little bit. And then slowly, erosion cut grooves into the end and turned it into the short tine fork. And then very slowly over millions of years, the grooves got longer and wider until it turned into the long tine fork.

I knew I had the right order, but I felt like I had a missing link, particularly between spoons and forks. You see, spoons are rounded and have no grooves but forks are squared and grooved. That’s two jumps in one. Even punctuated equilibrium can’t do that. So I knew I had a missing link but I couldn’t find it.

Until one day I’m flying in an airplane on US Air, 30,000 feet off the ground, and a stewardess walked down the aisle, and handed me the missing link! I don’t think she knew what she had. But my trained scientific eye picked it up. I said, ‘This is it!’ Then later that day I went to get some chicken for lunch and found another one. There they are folks, the missing links...sporks!

So now the evolution of silverware is becoming complete. All I need to do is apply for a 10 million dollar grant and I think I can wrap this up. I’ve found a lot of evidence since then. I’ve been gathering data on this for a long time. I’ve even found some mutants along the way (melted plastic forks). They didn’t quite make it for some reason.

You know, it was very interesting though. As soon as people found out that I was doing research on the evolution on the fork, everybody wanted to become famous. They sent me all their data from all over the country. Even some lies got sent to me. One of them was an obvious fake. It was a fork head on a spoon handle. It didn’t get by me though. This is a cutthroat business, this fossil business is dangerous you know, you have to watch them. But I caught it right away. It’s not in my museum. The rest of them are though.

Now look, you can arrange letters in order and try to prove something if you want. You can turn a cat to a cot to a dot to a dog making one letter change at a time. If you play around for a while, you can turn yourself into a fool. Doesn't take long either!"

Now how many of you are going to take a closer look at your silverware today when you go out to lunch? Hey, you might find a missing link alright, maybe it's a piece of sausage on the end of your fork! In fact, I was thinking about messing with the evolutionist's minds by having my wife bury me on top of my two wiener dogs. Boy, that'd mess them up, wouldn't it? Hopefully she'd do it after I'm dead. But seriously as you can see, even if all that other stuff about a gradual or rapid evolution were true, **and it's not**, the whole premise of sequential ordering still doesn't prove a thing, does it? Not at all! In fact, Charles Darwin actually said, "If it could be demonstrated that any complex organism existed which could not possibly have been formed by numerous, successive, slight modifications, my theory would absolutely break down." And folks, I don't know about you, but I'd say based upon what we've seen in this chapter let alone the last five, I'd say ol' Charlie's theory of evolution, has just what? **By his own admission** it just broke down, didn't it?

Oh, but that's not all. You might be thinking after all these weeks the question I've been asking myself and that is this, "Why are these guys doing

this? They're obviously intelligent. Why would they willingly believe a bankrupt theory when the evidence points to the contrary?" Well, believe it or not, it has nothing to do with the facts. It has to do with the flesh. In fact, the Bible predicted this behavior of the evolutionists as a sign that you're really living in the last days.

2 Peter 3:3-7 "First of all, you must understand that in the last days scoffers will come, scoffing and following their own evil desires. They will say, Where is this 'coming' he promised? Ever since our fathers died, everything goes on as it has since the beginning of creation.

But they deliberately forget that long ago by God's word the heavens existed and the earth was formed out of water and by water. By these waters also the world of that time was deluged and destroyed. By the same word the present heavens and earth are reserved for fire, being kept for the day of judgment and destruction of ungodly men."

What? You mean to tell me that in the last days scoffers would come who would deliberately forget the facts about creation just so they could continue living out their evil desires? Could that really happen? People wake up! It's already happening. It's called evolution and it's a sign we're living in the last days! But hey, if you don't want to listen to the Word of God, then listen to their own. They even admit it!

- **George Wald** states, "When it comes to the origin of life there are only two possibilities: creation or spontaneous generation. There is no third way. Spontaneous generation was disproved one hundred years ago, but that leads us to only one other conclusion, that of supernatural creation. We cannot accept that on philosophical grounds; therefore, we choose to believe the impossible: that life arose spontaneously by chance."

- **Bertrand Russell**, evolutionist and philosopher said that getting rid of the idea of God “freed me up to my erotic desires.”
- **Huxley** said “I suppose the reason we all jumped at the Origin (Darwin’s Origin of Species) was because the idea of God interfered with our sexual mores.”
- **Arthur Keith**, author of twenty books defending evolution, wrote, “Evolution is unproved and unproveable. We believe it because the only alternative is special creation, and that is unthinkable.”

People of God, I don’t know about you, but it sure seems to me that when you boil it all down, the real reason why many choose to believe in evolution is not because of scientific facts, it has to do with the flesh. The idea of **A Special Creation** interferes with their sexual mores. I mean, can you believe that? And here were told all this time that it’s based on science. Are you kidding me? It’s based on a sign that we are living in the last days!

But you might be thinking, “Okay so maybe all these supposed evolutionary mechanisms for the existence of life are a bunch of bologna and holds no bearing on **A Special Creation** but speaking of life, this means that the only option then, is that God has to be the Author of life. But if the Genesis account of God being the Creator of all life really can be taken literally as we’ve seen through the evidences of An Intelligent Creation, A Young Creation, and A Special Creation, then does that mean we can also take literally the Genesis account of God judging the whole world with a

worldwide flood for their wickedness? I mean, did a guy named Noah and his family really exist let alone really build an actual giant ark that housed two of every kind of creature on the earth enabling them survive a global catastrophe? Well hey, great question! I guess that's why we're going to begin a new section called, **A Judged Creation**. Did God really judge the whole world with a global flood and only save those who got into His boat? And more importantly, is God really going to judge the world again, this time with a global firestorm, and only those who get into His Son will be saved? Well, hey, great question! I guess that's why we'll take a look at that next time!

To find the way to God, to understand the *truth* of God's Word, and to received the gift of eternal *life*, begin by repentance and faith through a prayer like this:

“Dear God, I understand that I have broken Your Law and sinned against You. Please forgive my sins. Thank You that Jesus suffered on the cross in my place. I now place my trust in Him as My Savior and Lord. In Jesus' name I pray. Amen.”
