

LUKE: THE SON OF MAN, THE SAVIOR HAS
COME, WEEK 18

TITLE: THE GENEALOGY OF THE SON OF MAN

TEXT: LUKE 3:23-38

INTRODUCTION: (1) FAMILY TREES AND GENEALOGIES, OTHER THAN OUR OWN, MAY STRIKE US AS ONLY A BORING LIST OF UNPRONOUNCEABLE NAMES. (BELIEVE ME READING THROUGH OUR TEXT TODAY WAS NO EASY TASK.)

* NOW TO THE AVERAGE PERSON, A GENEALOGY APPEARS TO BE A WASTE OF SPACE, DETAILS THAT ARE NOT IMPORTANT.

** IN FACT, SUCH A LIST OF NAMES IS CONSIDERED UNINTERESTING, AND NEEDS TO BE SKIPPED, A WASTE OF TIME.

*** BUT NOT TO A JEW IN THE OLD TESTAMENT AND IN THE FIRST CENTURY. GENEALOGIES OR FAMILY TREES WERE VERY IMPORTANT AND FOR SEVERAL PURPOSES.

**** THEY TRACED ANCESTRAL CLAIMS TO LAND AND POSITIONS OF AUTHORITY, THEY WERE OUTLINES FOR TRACING HISTORY AND THEY REVEALED ANCESTRAL ORIGINS.

(2) AND EVEN IN THE JEWISH PRIESTHOOD, A MAN WHO WOULD BE A PRIEST HAD TO PRODUCE AN UNBROKEN RECORD OF HIS PEDIGREE OR LINEAGE STRETCHING BACK TO AARON; AND IF HE MARRIED, THE WOMAN HE MARRIED HAD TO PRODUCE HER PEDIGREE FOR AT LEAST 5 GENERATIONS BACK.

(3) SO GENEALOGIES WERE VERY IMPORTANT TO JEWS. AND THERE ARE AT LEAST 50 OF THEM IN THE O.T.

** NOW BEYOND THE JEWISH PEOPLE, GENEALOGIES PLAY AN IMPORTANT ROLE IN THE BIBLE BY ROOTING THE BIBLICAL ACCOUNT IN HISTORY.

*** IN FACT, THE GENEALOGIES RECORDED BY BOTH MATTHEW AND LUKE ARE LIKELY BASED ON PUBLIC RECORDS KEPT BY JEWISH PEOPLE WHICH WERE STILL IN EXISTENCE IN JERUSALEM WHEN THEY WERE INSPIRED TO WRITE THEIR GOSPELS, AND WERE IN EXISTENCE UNTIL THE ROMANS SACKED JERUSALEM AND BURNED THE TEMPLE IN A.D. 70.

**** WITH THAT SAID, BECAUSE OF THE SIGNIFICANCE OF GENEALOGIES IN THE ANCIENT **WORLD, LUKE'S READERS WOULD HAVE** UNDERSTOOD WHY HE INCLUDED THE GENEALOGY OF JESUS CHRIST.

(4) FOR IT WAS AN ESSENTIAL CREDENTIAL AND VERIFICATION FOR ONE CLAIMING TO BE THE MESSIAH TO BE A DESCENDANT OF KING DAVID.

* HENCE, THE GENEALOGIES OF JESUS CHRIST RECORDED BY MATTHEW AND LUKE PROVE, GIVE EVIDENCE THAT JESUS WAS NOT SOME SELF-APPOINTED MESSIAH.

** IN FACT, HIS GENEALOGIES IN MATTHEW AND LUKE, TRACING HIS ANCESTRY BACK THROUGH DAVID AND ABRAHAM TO ADAM AND ULTIMATELY TO GOD HIMSELF, SHOW THAT **JESUS WAS ISRAEL'S MESSIAH AND RIGHTFUL KING.**

*** NOW AT THIS POINT, I WANT YOU TO SEE SOME COMPARISONS AND DIFFERENCES **BETWEEN MATTHEW AND LUKE'S GENEALOGIES,** AND SOME OF THESE DIFFERENCES REFLECT THE INSPIRED WRITERS DIFFERENT PURPOSES.

**** AND FIRST MATTHEW PLACED HIS GENEALOGY AT THE BEGINNING OF HIS GOSPEL IN MATTHEW 1:1-17, WHERE IT FITS CHRONOLOGICALLY IN THE LIFE OF JESUS, AND SAYS IN **V. 1: "THE RECORD OF THE GENEALOGY OF JESUS THE MESSIAH, THE SON OF DAVID, THE SON OF ABRAHAM."**

(5) HOWEVER LUKE INSERTED CHRIST'S
GENEALOGY LATER IN THE CONTEXT OF HIS
MESSIANIC CREDENTIALS AS JESUS STARTS HIS
PUBLIC MINISTRY, AFTER HE IS BAPTIZED.

* NEXT **THERE ARE NO WOMEN IN LUKE'S**
GENEALOGY, WHICH MATTHEW INCLUDES 5
COUNTING MARY.

** NEXT **MATTHEW'S GENEALOGY GOES FROM**
THE PAST TO THE PRESENT, WHEREAS LUKE
GOES FROM THE PRESENT TO THE PAST.

*** THEN, **MATTHEW'S GENEALOGY BEGINS WITH**
ABRAHAM AND MOVES FORWARD IN TIME,
WHILE LUKE BEGINS WITH JESUS AND MOVES
BACKWARD IN TIME TO ADAM, THE FIRST
HUMAN BEING CREATED BY GOD.

(6) LUKE CHRONOLOGICALLY BEGINS WITH ADAM, FOR ADAM OBVIOUSLY COMES FIRST, AND THE REASON LUKE GOES BACK TO ADAM WAS BECAUSE **LUKE'S PURPOSE WAS MORE** UNIVERSAL AS JESUS IS PRESENTED AS THE SON OF MAN, THE SAVIOR OF ALL KINDS OF PEOPLE, NOT JUST JEWS, BUT GENTILES ALSO.

* THEREFORE AS LUKE GOES BACK TO ADAM, HIS GENEALOGY WAS MUCH LONGER THAN MATTHEWS, CONTAINING 77 NAMES, WHEREAS MATTHEW RECORDS 42 NAMES.

** THEN NOTE, NEITHER MATTHEW **NOR LUKE'S** GOSPELS WERE INTENDED TO BE COMPLETE OR EXHAUSTIVE, BUT BOTH ARE ABRIDGED OR COMPRESSED TO COMMUNICATE WHAT IS MOST IMPORTANT TO THEIR PURPOSES.

*** NOW TWO OTHER DIFFERENCES ARE MORE SIGNIFICANT AND SOME SKEPTICS USE THESE DIFFERENCES AS AN ARGUMENT THAT THE BIBLE HAS CONTRADICTIONS AND THUS IS NOT RELIABLE, THE WORD OF GOD.

**** AND ONE DIFFERENCE IS LUKE IDENTIFIES **JESUS' GRANDFATHER AS ELI, WHEREAS** MATTHEW CALLS HIM JACOB.

(7) AND THEN **LUKE TRACES JESUS' GENEALOGY THROUGH DAVID'S SON NATHAN, WHILE** MATTHEW TRACES IT THROUGH HIS SON SOLOMON.

* NOW THESE DIFFERENCES ARE RECONCILED AND EXPLAINED BY UNDERSTANDING THAT **MATTHEW RECORDS JOSEPH'S GENEALOGY, THE** LEGAL FATHER OF JESUS, BUT NOT HIS PHYSICAL FATHER FOR JESUS WAS CONCEIVED **BY THE HOLY SPIRIT IN MARY'S WOMB.**

** HOWEVER, LUKE DOES NOT RECORD **JOSEPH'S GENEALOGY BUT MARY'S GENEALOGY AND** ANCESTRY. AND LUKE DID NOT MENTION **MARY'S NAME IN DEFERENCE TO JEWISH** CUSTOM AS GENEALOGIES ARE FROM MALE **LINEAGE AS FAR AS THE PRIMARY PERSON'S** GENEALOGY WOULD BE MALE.

*** AND AS LEON MORRIS SAID IN HIS COMMENTARY ON LUKE AND I QUOTE: **“WE** HAVE NO INFORMATION AS TO HOW GENEALOGY WOULD BE RECKONED WHEN THERE WAS NO HUMAN FATHER. THE **CASE IS UNIQUE.”** (END QUOTE)

**** AND THEREFORE, MARY WAS THE ONLY BLOOD PARENT OF JESUS, SO SHE NEEDED TO HAVE THE RIGHT ANCESTRY THROUGH DAVID FOR JESUS TO BE THE MESSIAH THE SON OF MAN.

(8) HENCE, GOING BACK TO OUR PROBLEMS IN THE GENEALOGIES, THE TWO DIFFERENT NAMES **GIVEN FOR JESUS' GRANDFATHER ACTUALLY REFER TO TWO DIFFERENT MEN, JOSEPH'S FATHER AND MARY'S FATHER.**

* **MARY'S FATHER WAS ELI AND JOSEPH'S**
FATHER WAS JACOB. TWO DIFFERENT MEN, NO
CONTRADICTION. EVERYONE HAS TWO
GRANDFATHERS. AND THE DIFFERENCE IN THE
NAMES FROM DAVID ARE ALSO TO BE
EXPECTED, SINCE THE GENEALOGIES ARE THOSE
OF TWO DIFFERENT PEOPLE.

** MARY TRACED HER ANCESTRY THROUGH
NATHAN, WHEREAS JOSEPH TRACED HIS
THROUGH SOLOMON.

*** AND THIS INTERPRETATION ALSO ALIGNS
ITSELF WITH THE PURPOSE OF THE TWO
INSPIRED GOSPEL WRITERS AS **MATTHEW'S**
DESIRE IS TO PROVE JESUS' LEGAL CLAIM TO
THE THRONE OF DAVID WHICH LED HIM TO
INCLUDE JOSEPH'S GENEALOGY.

**** BUT LUKE ADDRESSED A BROADER, LARGELY
GENTILE AUDIENCE AND THUS GAVE JESUS'
ACTUAL, PHYSICAL DESCENT THROUGH MARY.

(9) AND ONE QUICK ADDITION TO REAL SERIOUS **BIBLE STUDENTS. THIS VIEW OF LUKE'S** GENEALOGY BEING THROUGH MARY EXPLAINS HOW JESUS COULD LEGITIMATELY BE **ISRAEL'S** KING DESPITE BEING A DESCENDANT TO A GUY NAMED JECONIAH IN MATTHEW 1:11.

* JESUS WAS THE LEGAL, BUT NOT PHYSICAL, DESCENDANT OF JECONIAH THROUGH JOSEPH. AND THAT FACT AND TRUTH EXPLAINS WHY JESUS AVOIDED THE CURSE THAT GOD PRONOUNCED ON JECONIAH, THAT NONE OF HIS DESCENDANTS WOULD EVER BE KING IN JEREMIAH 22:24-30.

** AND SINCE JOSEPH WAS NOT A BLOOD RELATIVE TO JESUS BUT HIS LEGAL FATHER, THE **CURSE PASSED DOWN THROUGH JOSEPH'S** FAMILY WOULD NOT APPLY TO JESUS. JESUS WAS A LEGAL DESCENDANT OF JOSEPH, BUT NOT A PHYSICAL DESCENDANT OF JOSEPH AND JECONIAH WAS NOT A PHYSICAL DESCENDANT TO MARY.

*** NOW WITH ALL OF THAT BEFORE US, THE GENEALOGIES IN LUKE AND MATTHEW ESTABLISH CLEARLY, BEYOND DOUBT, THAT JESUS WAS A DESCENDANT OF DAVID THROUGH JOSEPH AND MARY.

**** AND NOT EVEN HIS BITTER ENEMIES AMONG THE JEWISH RELIGIOUS LEADERS DENIED THAT, FOR IF THEY COULD HAVE, THEY WOULD HAVE BROUGHT THAT UP AGAINST JESUS AS AN ARGUMENT THAT HE WAS A FRAUD.

(10) BUT THE GENEALOGICAL RECORDS, WHICH THE FALSE RELIGIOUS JEWISH LEADERS WOULD HAVE CHECKED IN JERUSALEM, PROVIDED IRREFUTABLE, **UNDENIABLE PROOF OF JESUS'** DAVIDIC DESCENT WHICH HE NEEDED TO BE, TO BE THE MESSIAH, THE ANOINTED ONE OF GOD, THE SON OF MAN.

* NOW WITH ALL OF THAT BACKGROUND IN FRONT OF US, BEFORE US, THE REST OF OUR STUDY TIME TODAY WILL COVER 3 POINTS THAT WILL NOT BE DRAWN OUT AND THEN OUR APPLICATION CLOSING.

** NO. 1 **WE'LL LOOK AT** THE SIGNIFICANCE OF **JESUS' AGE AS HE STARTS HIS PUBLIC** MINISTRY, V. 23A; NO. 2 THE SUPPOSITION THAT JESUS WAS THE SON OF JOSEPH, V. 23B; AND NO. 3 THE SON OF GOD, THAT JESUS LIKE ALL OF US GOES BACK TO ADAM, CALLED THE SON OF GOD, V. 38.

*** AND WITH THOSE 3 POINTS AS OUR ROADMAP, LOOK AT V. 23A PLEASE WHERE **WE'LL SEE THE SIGNIFICANCE OF JESUS' AGE.**

I. THE SIGNIFICANCE, (READ V. 23A)

A. NOW LUKE BEGINS IN V. 23 BY COMMUNICATING THE AGE OF JESUS AS HE STARTS HIS PUBLIC MINISTRY, BY THE WAY THE ONLY GOSPEL WRITER TO DO THAT AND JESUS' AGE WAS ABOUT 30 YEARS OLD, IT IS TO SAY, THE LIT. TRANSLATION IS, AS IT WERE 30 YEARS OLD, HAD BEEN 30 YEARS OLD TO ESTABLISH THE TRADITIONAL AGE OF MATURITY IN THAT DAY, WHEN A MAN ATTAINED FULLNESS OF STRENGTH.

* IT IS TO SAY 30 YEARS OLD MARKS A THRESHOLD AGE. FOR 30 SIGNALS THAT JESUS IS NOW PHYSICALLY A MATURE MAN, A RESPONSIBLE MAN READY FOR HIS PUBLIC MINISTRY IN JEWISH THINKING.

** FOR 30 WAS A CUSTOMARY AGE FOR MEN OF GOD TO BEGIN THEIR MINISTRIES.

*** FOR EXAMPLE, JOSEPH WAS 30 YEARS OLD WHEN HE BECAME THE PRIME MINISTER OF EGYPT IN GENESIS 41:46.

**** DAVID WAS 30 WHEN HE ASCENDED TO **ISRAEL'S THRONE IN II SAMUEL 5:4.**

1. 30 WAS THE AGE AT WHICH O.T. PRIESTS OF GOD BEGAN TO SERVE IN NUMBERS 4 AND I CHRONICLES 23:3.

* AND THEN EZEKIEL BEGAN HIS PROPHETIC MINISTRY AT THE AGE OF 30 IN EZEKIEL 1:1.

** NOW JESUS THUS BEGINS HIS PUBLIC MINISTRY AT AN AGE THAT JEWISH PEOPLE WOULD CONSIDER APPROPRIATE, THE TIME WHEN YOU COULD START TO TEACH WITH MATURITY AND SOME LIFE EXPERIENCE.

*** AND I REMEMBER I WAS 29 YEARS AND 4 MONTHS OLD WHEN I HAD THE PRIVILEGE OF HELPING TO START THIS CHURCH AND I **COULDN'T WAIT TO BECOME 30, THAT WOULD** BE A MILE STONE AND GIVE MY MINISTRY A LITTLE MORE LEGITIMACY.

**** AND CERTAINLY WE WANT MEN TO BE AT LEAST 30 TO BE AN ELDER IN THE CHURCH.

2. AND ITS INTERESTING RIGHT NOW ALL OUR ELDERS ARE 50 AND UP. BUT WE ASKED YOU SEVERAL MONTHS AGO TO CONSIDER AND OBSERVE 3 MEN AND THEIR FAMILIES AS POSSIBLE ELDERS AS WE LOOK OUT AMONG OURSELVES IN LATE DECEMBER AND EARLY JANUARY.

* AND OF THOSE 3, CARL SCHMUCKER IS ABOUT 50, BUT THE OTHER 2 ARE MUCH YOUNGER PHYSICALLY, BUT BEYOND THEIR YEARS SPIRITUALLY AND ONE IS MIKE BROWN WHO IS 32 AND JARED WEIMER WHO IS 35.

** AND CERTAINLY THEY QUALIFY FOR THIS THRESHOLD AGE, BUT ARE MUCH YOUNGER THAN ELDERS IN THE PAST. BUT THE BIBLICAL QUALIFICATIONS ARE THE KEY WHICH ALONG WITH DESIRE AND TIME ARE THE MAIN THINGS.

*** BUT ALSO WE AS ELDERS SEE THAT WE AS OLDER MEN MUST PASS THE BATON ON AT SOME POINT, AND GODLY SPIRITUAL LEADERSHIP IS A KEY TO THE FUTURE OF THIS CHURCH.

**** AND WE MAY BE PRESENTING ALL 3 OF THESE MEN, AND ALTHOUGH TWO OF THEM ARE YOUNG, THEY IN ESSENCE QUALIFY FOR THIS THRESHOLD AGE FOR JEWS AND OF COURSE FOR JESUS HERE IN V. 23A, THE AGE OF 30, A SIGNIFICANT AGE IN THAT DAY.

3. WHICH LEADS US TO OUR 2'D POINT TODAY, THE SUPPOSITION ABOUT JOSEPH, LOOK BACK AT V. 23 AGAIN PLEASE.

II. THE SUPPOSITION, (READ V. 23)

A. NOW THE WORD "SUPPOSED" IN V. 23 TRANSLATED, "**SO IT WAS THOUGHT**" IN THE NIV, OR WHAT WAS KNOWN AT THAT TIME, IS OF GREAT IMPORTANCE, OF PROFOUND THEOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE.

* FOR IN THAT DAY, IT WAS COMMONLY SUPPOSED THAT JESUS WAS THE PHYSICAL SON OF JOSEPH, AS FAR AS MOST PEOPLE KNEW, THAT JESUS WAS THE FIRST BORN OF JOSEPH **AND MARY'S BIOLOGICAL CHILDREN.**

** BUT THAT SUPPOSITION WAS NOT CORRECT, FOR JESUS WAS ONLY PHYSICALLY AND BIOLOGICALLY THE SON OF MARY, WHICH AFFIRMS THE REALITY OF **CHRIST'S VIRGIN** BIRTH EARLIER RECORDED BY LUKE IN LUKE 1:34-38.

*** SO LUKE USES THE WORD SUPPOSED OR SO IT WAS THOUGHT TO COMMUNICATE THE UNIQUENESS OF JESUS, BECAUSE JESUS HAD NO HUMAN FATHER THAT WOULD PASS DOWN A SIN NATURE TO JESUS FROM ADAM, QUOTE ROMANS 5:12&19.

**** NOW ALL MEN HAVE SINNED WITH ONE CLEAR EXCEPTION, JESUS, FOR THE SIN NATURE **PASSED DOWN FROM ADAM'S FALL THROUGH** HUMAN FATHERS FROM GENERATION TO GENERATION, IS NOT PASSED DOWN TO JESUS FOR JESUS HAD NO HUMAN FATHER.

1. THEREFORE BY THE OBEDIENCE OF JESUS IN EVERY AREA TO HIS FATHER AND THE LAW, JESUS NEVER SINNED SO HE WOULD BE QUALIFIED TO BE THE SAVIOR OF SINNERS FOR HE NEVER SINNED, MAKING THOSE HE FORGIVES AS POSITIONALLY RIGHTEOUS AS HE IS, MADE RIGHT WITH GOD, QUOTE II CORINTHIANS 5:21.

* THEREFORE THE VIRGIN BIRTH IS AN ESSENTIAL CARDINAL DOCTRINE FOR JESUS TO BE THE PERFECT, SPOTLESS, HOLY, PURE, LAMB OF GOD WHO TAKES AWAY THE SIN OF THOSE HE WILL SAVE.

** AND LUKE COMMUNICATES THAT HERE IN HIS GENEALOGY, JESUS WAS ONLY SUPPOSEDLY THE SON OF JOSEPH, BUT IN REALITY, HE WAS NOT, THAT WAS THE WORD ON THE STREET BUT WAS NOT A REALITY, QUOTE MATTHEW 1:21&23.

*** BUT ALSO LET ME ADD A QUICK TECHNICAL FOOTNOTE BEFORE I MOVE ON TO OUR THIRD POINT, WHICH IS IMPORTANT.

**** AND THAT IS, LUKE MAKES IT CLEAR IN THE GREEK TEXT THAT JOSEPH IS NOT A PART **OFFICIALLY OF LUKE'S GENEALOGY ALTHOUGH** HIS NAME IS MENTIONED AS THE SUPPOSED FATHER OF JESUS.

2. FOR IN THE GREEK TEXT, ALL THE OTHER NAMES ARE PRECEDED BY A DEFINITE MASCULINE ARTICLE, BUT JOSEPH IS NOT, THUS INDICATING **THAT THE MENTION OF JOSEPH'S** NAME IS PARENTHETICAL, MEANING, PUT IN THE TEXT BY LUKE TO COMMUNICATE THAT JOSEPH WAS NOT THE FATHER OF JESUS. LUKE IS EXPLAINING THAT JOSEPH IS NOT A PART OF THIS GENEALOGY, WHICH IS ANOTHER **COMPELLING REASON FOR VIEWING LUKE'S GENEALOGY AS MARY'S.**

* IT IS TO SAY, HOW COULD THIS BE **JOSEPH'S GENEALOGY WHEN LUKE'S GRAMMAR MAKES IT** CLEAR THAT JOSEPH WAS NOT A PART OF IT?

** SO THE VIRGIN BIRTH IS EMPHASIZED IN V. 23B, AND THAT JOSEPH IS NOT A PART OF **LUKE'S GENEALOGY. THAT IS THE SUPPOSITION,** WHICH LEADS US TO OUR THIRD AND LAST POINT TODAY, THE SON OF GOD, LOOK AT V. 38 PLEASE.

III. THE SON OF GOD, (READ V. 38)

A. NOW ADAM IS THE PERSON I WANT TO FOCUS ON HERE IN V. 38, AND HE IS CALLED THE SON OF GOD.

* NOW WHY?

** WELL, NO. 1 ADAM, HAD NO HUMAN FATHER OR MOTHER, GOD DIRECTLY CREATED HIM FROM THE DUST OF THE EARTH ACCORDING TO GENESIS 2:7, (QUOTE IT).

*** NOW ADAM WAS A SON OF GOD BY DIRECT CREATION OF GOD, MOULDED BY THE HAND OF **GOD AS THE MASTER SCULPTOR. SO ADAM'S** FATHER WAS NOT MORTAL, BUT GOD ALMIGHTY HIMSELF, SO HE WAS A SON OF GOD.

**** AND NO. 2 AS A SON OF GOD, BY CREATION **(NOT THROUGH EVOLUTION) ADAM BORE GOD'S** IMAGE AND WAS UNPOLLUTED, UNCORRUPTED, AND UNSPOILED UNTIL HE FELL INTO SIN.

1. AND THAT SIN MARRED THE IMAGE OF GOD, SO **THAT NOBODY, NONE OF ADAM'S** DESCENDANTS WERE TRUE SONS OF GOD IN THE SAME MANNER THAT HE HAD BEEN BEFORE HE SINNED.

*** HOWEVER, THROUGH THE 2'D ADAM, JESUS** CHRIST, WE BECOME SONS OF GOD BY RECEIVING JESUS CHRIST AS OUR LORD AND SAVIOR THROUGH REPENTANCE AND FAITH, QUOTE JOHN 1:12&13.

** SO JESUS THE SON OF GOD AS THE GOD MAN, A DESCENDANT OF ADAM, THROUGH MARY, **AND AS GOD WHO IS ETERNAL, THE 2'D ADAM SAVES PEOPLE FROM ADAM'S FAMILY, AND WE** NOW BECOME SONS OF GOD AS ADAM WAS IN HIS NON-SIN STATE. HALLELUJAH!

*** AND ONE LAST THING BEFORE OUR WRAP UP.

**** AND THAT IS ADAM WAS NOT SOME IMAGINARY FIGURATIVE PERSON IN THE GARDEN, HE WAS NOT SOME SYMBOLIC PERSON, NOT A MYTHICAL PHANTOM PERSON THAT CHRISTIANS HAVE MADE UP AS A SYMBOLIC FIRST HUMAN BEING THAT WAS NOT REAL, NO. HE WAS A REAL PERSON, AND IF ADAM WAS NOT REAL, NEITHER WAS JESUS. ADAM WAS A LITERAL HUMAN BEING WHOM ALL OF US ARE RELATED TO AND HE FELL AND LED THE ENTIRE HUMAN RACE INTO SIN.

IN WRAP UP AND IN APPLICATION: (1) AS YOU CAN SEE FROM OUR STUDY TODAY, GENEALOGIES ARE VERY IMPORTANT AND ARE NOT FILLER IN THE WORD OF GOD, OR A WASTE OF TIME AND BORING.

* FOR THEY PROVIDE DETAILS THAT AUTHENTICATE CHRISTIANITY, AND IN OUR TEXT, JESUS CHRIST HIMSELF.

**** FOR JESUS CHRIST'S ROYAL ANCESTRY BY BOTH JOSEPH AND MARY'S GENEALOGIES BY MATTHEW AND LUKE, PROVIDE YET ANOTHER PROOF OF JESUS CHRIST'S MESSIANIC CREDENTIALS, THAT HE IS THE SON OF MAN, THE SON OF GOD.**

***** AND HERE WE HAVE ANOTHER REMINDER THAT ALL SCRIPTURE IS GOD BREATHED, THAT THE BIBLE DOESN'T CONTAIN THE WORD OF GOD, IT IS THE WORD OF GOD!**

****** AND SO DETAILS ARE IMPORTANT. GOD IS A GOD OF DETAILS. AND HIS WORD IS A DETAILED SOURCE ALONG WITH CREATION AND CONSCIENCE THAT THE GOD OF THE BIBLE IS THE ONLY TRUE GOD AND THAT HIS ETERNAL SON BECAME MAN AS THE 2'D ADAM, AND WAS SINLESS, DIED ON A CROSS AND ROSE AGAIN TO SAVE AND FORGIVE SINNERS LIKE ME.**

(2) I GO BACK TO ROMANS 5:12&19 AGAIN.
(QUOTE THEM) ALSO QUOTE GENESIS 2:16&17,
ROMANS 5:1 AND ROMANS 6:23. COMMENT ON
THEM, CALL PEOPLE TO CHRIST AND PRAY.