

Episode_126_2021.01.10 - Stephen A. Chronister

Joel 126: Zero, Infinity, Time, Gravity! But you will really like the Annihilationism part Lecture Discussion Number 126 on The Book of Joel

January 10, 2021 • Stephen A. Chronister

(This Transcription was graciously provided to us by Dime Box Dan)

Begin Transcription (Edit Begins 01/14/2021 @16:09 CST)

• Here we are, January the 10th. I'm a little, little... Let's see... collapsed into my system here. Have to move this just a hair so I can get some room between me and the board here. January 10th, 2021. Must be 4:00 o'clock as my railroad Clock just went off. January 10th, 2021 lecture discussion #126 on the book of Joel, Daniel, Revelation, Ecclesiastes, and Job. OK. Here we go again, often running. Well, okay not running. A brisk walk, maybe. Maybe that's what I would do is slow a slow slog. Most likely it's a dead stop with a little bit of an unnoticeable creeping. Think sundial. In any event, we are endeavoring to persevere. This is our second class back from the winter solstice discontinuance. And after every Cliffside stoppage, whether it's snow or sun or Holidays or Super Bowls, or hunting and fishing, softball tournaments, whatever excuse we have come up with over the years. This is, this is this period that comes after one of those respites, respites, that requires a little bit of overstuffing in order to return to the schedule, which is what I did last week, and that sounds great until everyone eventually realizes that there really isn't a schedule. I do have a Lesson plan. I was taught to do that by the Anchorage School District and the various private schools. I had to present a Lesson plan. Most of the time they looked at my Lesson plan and said, you gotta be kidding, you can't possibly do this. And I would say you're right, but that's what I'm going to try to do. I do, sometimes I really do have a Lesson plan. I know people think I don't. The schedules, figmental, I will concede that. Some would say it's mythological and I won't argue, but the Lesson plan actually does exist. I want to have a schedule. So that counts for something, I hope. Anyway, as it was with last Sunday, uh, there's going to be a bit of compression overindulgence. If you will, that's going to occur again today. So where do I start this? I get quite a few accused of responses that the Book of Job is not germane, and the Book of Joel, in other words, it is saying how can you add Job to Joel? It doesn't fit. I have [Joel 2:32](#), as evidenced to the contrary, but I won't get into that. And it can't be the case that they are separable. In the sense that they are distinct. People who believe the Bible has separation in it, don't understand the unity. Scripture is one word. It's one entirety and that which defines Scripture is this fantastic mathematical impossibility of Inter connectivity. In other words, the mathematics of the interconnectivity of the Bible is beyond our understanding. And all of that. All of that interconnectivity testifies of Jesus Christ in the Triune Godhead. The nature of God, how he, how he is, his three that are one. The Shema, I'm sorry, [Deuteronomy 6:4](#). The revealing of the thoughts and characters of the Lord God Almighty, of all things created. That's what the Bible is doing. It's in route, Revelation from first to the end about who God is, how he how he is, and what he's doing there for Job is applicable Joel, it has to be. This must be sold. They can't must be so you cannot rip them apart and say this is this and this is that and they do not have any relationship and since Joel is overwhelmingly in Harmony with Revelation, cause it is. And Revelation crests with resurrections. That's how Revelation essentially ends. If it reaches up into the resurrections,

how many resurrections do we have? What's the order of the resurrections of done that lecture? Many times, there's Five Resurrections, and they go in a military parade style order. That's how the Bible reveals it, but anyway, Revelation crest with Resurrection, and resurrections and Job likewise ascends to resurrection. I said recently that the lesson of Job is resurrection. Forget it if you want. If you get nothing else, just know that the point the ACME if you will, of Job is the resurrection that is at [Job 42](#). Then obviously, if that's correct, if Job is resurrection, Revelation is resurrection. Under that it's transitive property... If Job is resurrection and Revelation is revelation. Then Joel is going to be resurrection, and they're all going to be banded together. Job and Joel or banded together? Again, all of Scripture is banned to all the Scripture, and that's the definition of Scripture. That's how you figure out what Scripture an what is nonsense there all kinds of apocryphal books that they would like for you to put into the Bible. We obviously have a large denomination that has put all kinds of things in Scripture. They if they do not testify of Christ on every single page and they do not interconnect all throughout the Scripture, then they are not scripture. That's the definition that definition plus the [John 5:39](#) definition, it testifies of Christ. For today, let us apply Job to annihilation. What I mean by that is what are the theological implications of the doctrines of annihilationism? Ah, that's sounds really boring, doesn't it? I wrote it and it bored me just now. But it's quite important. The premise of annihilationism, is that physical death extinguishes, destroys all information of a living being. Both the physical information and the spiritual or mental properties i.e. The nonphysical information. So, Annihilation saying that, there is no information remaining, from that living being. And obviously this position is nonsense. It's thoughtlessness. Note the irony is thought is what? It's a mental or biological information. The people who think annihilationism is true are actually using information to make that conclusion, and there's great irony there, I think, perhaps you don't. Nonetheless, it is prevalent in our supposed academic and media institutions, both of which are neither academic, or journalists are journalistic. Let me just say something really fast. Those who were there because of the times were in those who hate this country relentlessly seek to destroy the country and Israel that is their goal. They tell you that! When somebody tells you they intend to destroy you, should believe them. But that's again, a digressrant. We have we have media and academic institutions that hate. Horribly, their their hatred pours out of them. And it doesn't end. And never stops. And there is nothing you can do in my view to change that. There's something that is said of pathological narcissism. Are malignant narcissism the last thing you should do is hope for change. And I think that this country is in a situation where there will be no changing those who hate the country. That's not a good place for a country to be. I asked a while back I quoted a media personality, he asked whether or not somebody who despises the country could actually govern it, and the answer is no. And of course, there's no root. There's no, there's no desire to govern it. They got it. The desire is to destroy it. That is just the way it is. Welcome to 2021. I see everybody's really anxious for 2020 to go away. I'm not so sure that's wise, again I talked a few weeks ago. Was it last week about the variant of COVID-19 the 501? Yeah, the N501Y when did I do that? That variant is starting to show the ability to replicate because of its transmissibility. In other words, it is replicating inside the body because it binds the affinity for binding to the ACE2 receptors are far higher because of how that is variant as modified itself. So, it could be a very difficult time. We shall see. To keep bludgeoning the point, [Yeah, a point!], the lie of Satan is annihilationism. I'll say that over and over again today. And now you

have to deal with removing time from consideration. For example, concede for now that God intends to erase all information, let's go ahead and allow the people that hate God and hate the fact that people are dualistic, in other words, there is a Spirit of The Breath of Life and there's a Physical Body, just go ahead and agree with them, it won't stop them, they'll still hate you, but let's go ahead, concede the premise, the hypothesis, let's go ahead and say that God intends at some point to erase all information. Cause that is what they believe, that is what they teach. Evolutionist says that at the instant of physical death, all information of you is erased. So, there is no Time consideration, but go ahead and let's add this aspect of it, but again. Put Time in a position where we can make an evaluation. Let's just say that God intends to annihilate, erase, destroy all information. Of every living soul are being after 1,000,000 years. This would also eliminate all the information. Of everything that surrounds that living being OK. So again, that would include cosmologically we see photons of light, that's information and we respond to that information, so it to destroy a living being's information is to destroy the environment, at least the proximity that that is living being is in all throughout its life is a tremendous amount of information. As well living being accumulate. OK, so if this were true, then what else is true? If we go ahead and grant this hypothesis and I've done it in arguments in the past a very long time ago, 30 years ago grant the premise. If it were true, then what else is true? Immediately? We should recognize that existence has been subordinated to time, the million years notwithstanding in other words. You have said that time impacts existence because after a million years we're going to destroy existence and any vestige of it. So, Time therefore has authority over existence. In other words, the million years is irrelevant if all things that are annihilated extinguish all traces of information are gone and lost forever. Notice the forever aspect of that. That was not arbitrary. If all the information is gone forever, then all of these things that we call living. I'm just using living for now. Are in a temporary state. If I say a million years end of this. We're in a temporary state. For a million years. And so therefore anything that's in a temporary state. Are not measurable against Infinity. And what does that mean? As his children all over the Internet are asking their moms their home school kids asking her home school moms what's what? What does he mean by that weird person? What I'm saying is that all temporary states are zero. Nothing. Zero is a concept. As you know, not a number, so all living states. All temporary living States and that's a contradiction. It cannot be so. Living precludes temporary, but go ahead. Let's go ahead and have the argument or have the discussion. All temporary states are nothingness, which is zero. They illustrate the principle. It will be necessary to use your phones. Everybody brought a phone by everybody I mean. David Terry and all we got. It's a math problem and those who said there wouldn't be any math there lying. There's always math. Math is always, there's nothing but math. That's how it works. Get used to it. So, we're going to divide 1 trillion by Infinity, and we'll use scientific notation. 1×10 to the 12th. Divided by Infinity, what is it? I will help you, it is zero. So, if I lived for a trillion years and then I ceased to exist and again redundancy are mean. Contrapositive statement here. Contradiction in terms, if I were to live 10 to the 12th years compared to Infinity, I have not lived. Infinity also is not a number, it's also a concept, which is why both of them are so important. I did that awhile back didn't I? Zero came into mathematics as far as we think, by the Babylonians. A Babylonians said, wait a minute there's a there's a placeholder here, a Zero, 3rd Century BC and then it migrated across the world. India, Fibonacci, the Descartes, to Newton Libnids, and obviously no one can manufacture, create, invent a concept? Zero was not

invented. Humanity can really recognize or describe what they what they see here. They recognize that there had to be a Zero for mathematics to function properly. They didn't invent it. They it was always there. Nothingness has always been there. Now it has a definition, and that's where we get the Zero from. Ah, so humanity describes things that was heretofore unrecognized. Therefore, it was undescribed. Excuse me. Thus, the most obvious of the obvious questions here is, I have this fantastic relationship between Zero. Infinity. And Time, because that's what this equation is, right? This is the Time this is, of course, Infinity of that is Zero. So, I could try to write this way, Time over Infinity Equals Zero, that doesn't look like a T does it? Now it's like a T. So, whenever I get into these kinds of things, I always ask the same question over and over again. If Zero is a concept, Infinity as a concept in Time is a concept, then what else is a concept in the one that I always liked in most because it causes the most consternation is gravity, is gravity a concept? It's just something I do for fun. I'll get into that, as time goes by. Time goes by all of these, right, OK? Where do I find Zero, Infinity, Time, and Gravity revealed? Who revealed it? Because somebody discovered it. Who discovered it? Oh, wait, that would be Genesis. And Revelation, Exodus. And when you recognize that its Genesis, Revelation and Exodus, just a name three, it's all over the Bible. The Bible tells us that there is Zero there is Infinity, there is Time, and there is Gravity and therefore that tells us the originate are or the origination of it. It didn't come from a human being; it was given it say his information that was given. It flows from and intelligence and intellect and that intellect is, you know, [Exodus 3:14](#) is the I AM that I AM. That's the one in the Bible that says there is these things. And as you know, the I AM that I AM, is a preexistent statement. It is saying that, I AM that I AM, I preexist Time, I AM in the present only in the present that's I AM, outside of Time, I have control of Time. That's [John 8:24](#), [11:25](#), [Exodus 3:14](#), [Exodus 3:7](#), therefore the concept of Time has to originate from the one who discloses it. And the same thing for nothingness or Zero, from which all of the things that are created were created. The Space, Energy, Matter, Time, Motion, all of those came from a conscious thought, an expressed verbal Word. From the Word and the Word is who in the Bible? Who says that he is the Word? He says he is the, I AM that I AM? He also says that he is the Word that spoke end out of spoke from nothingness. All that we have that created Space, Energy, Matter, Time, Motion. The Word is Jesus Christ himself, [John 1](#), the Creation spoken into being from Zero from nothingness. Again, a consciousness conceived Zero. That also conceives Infinity, says over and over again in Revelation that he is Infinity. In the beginning, [John 1:1](#), [Genesis 1:1](#), in the beginning of Time. Now I'm I've added that because I think it's absolutely appropriate. Don't beat me up for doing that, cause beginning carries with it a Time consideration, doesn't it? In the beginning of Time, the Word was with God. [Genesis 1:1](#), [John 1:2](#), he, Jesus Christ, the Word, before, was before the beginning with, so he is in the beginning, so he has to be yes, he is within the beginning. And so, began to think about what that means. All things were made by Christ, [John 1:3](#), [Colossians 1:15-17](#). All things are consisted in him. All things consist in him. That means that's a statement of Infinity. How many things are there? And they have to be inside of him? There's trillions and trillions of trillions of stars. Billions and billions and billions of galaxies. All of it consists in him. All of that information. How much information is that? It's a statement of Infinity. I said hundreds of times that Infinity must come from what? Must come from Infinity, so the concept of Infinity has to come from someone who is what? Infinite. Infinity descends from Infinity and Infinity course can't descend, so that was a misstatement. But it flows from Infinity. That would be better. John establish is

that Christ began Time. So did Moses. Again, moving things reveal Time. So, God, when he puts them in motion with his voice first, he creates them with his voice, The Word creates them. The Word, the Word was God, the Word is with God, Christ is the Word, the Word is God. God puts him into motion with his voice is the resonance, if you will, of the universe. There's been much, much experimentation about this or resonance frequency for the Creation and all of this we've discussed before. For today, consider that Time descends from Infinity, again not worded very well. As does Will, Will has to come from Will, Consciousness has to come from Consciousness. Mathematics has to come from a mind. Language has to come from a mind. Whoever conceived the consciousness that installed Time in motion must be infinite. Must be forever, must be eternal. Otherwise, I end up with nothingness, through my equation. That probably had made no sense to anybody yet. Yet is a hopeful thing with me. So, once you figure out that consciousness, the consciousness that installed Time and motion conceived them, must be infinite, must be forever, must be eternal. Then you recognize that existence, existence cannot be within, cannot be inferior to Time, cannot be. It cannot be limited by Time. A trillion years, I could have made it 50 trillion, change that, but I like one's instead of 50's, it doesn't matter how many, what number is here. 10 to the 50, 500th doesn't matter. Whenever I put it number on Time I have, profaned it, in the sense, that I have profaned existence. Existence cannot be within Time. Infinity is greater than Time. Time is inside of Infinity. So next question, is consciousness inside of Time? I have figured I don't have any friends so I can't say I'm asking for a friend em, I have a figmented friend, and he's curious, he wants to know is consciousness inside of Time. Infinity and Eternity are synonyms. Again, you have a thesaurus on your phone, look it up. Don't trust me they're synonyms. OK to sum this up, information cannot be destroyed. So how is information created? What is the relationship between information and energy? Is information a property of matter or is it a property of intelligence? Protip here mathematics says that information is neither matter or energy is not either one. So, what is information? If it's not matter nor, and it's not energy, what is it? What remains? What remains is will and consciousness. Information is alongside of will and consciousness isn't this fun? Everyone say wee! Yeah, let the record show that they're faking it. And just for those who wish to know these kinds of things, we are entering into the arena that is called the Laws of Information. The implications of biological information specifically. I should concede that there is no universal acceptance of the definition of information. No one should find that surprising. That no, there is no you get in the physics community, the biological community, the philosophical community, pick one and they none of them will give you a, they don't accept any definitions of information at this point. Why not? Anyway, Timelessness, Will, and Existence, uh Information. If we are, if any living being, can be subjected annihilation, annihilated, annihilationism, then we've never existed, no matter what the Time period, we never existed. I said many, many years ago. CS Lewis figured this formula out. Yeah, he said if H is not, she never was. So, if you can be annihilated, you never have Existence, because Existence has to be an authority over Time, in order for it to be Existence. So, we have this Will and Existence and they imply Infinity, in order for it not to be Zero. I have to have Infinity here. Infinity over Infinity. In order to have Existence. So, the Bible says what about us? We are Eternal and Eternity must come from Eternity. That's Infinity and Infinity. It's the same words, right? Synonymous, Infinity, Eternity. When God says I am the Eternal God, and you are also Eternal people ask me all the time, why is the Lake of Fire Eternal? Because we are eternal. That's how

it works. Or why doesn't he just give up on judging the Eternal beings? Because that would be interfering with their Will. And he won't, cause you have to Will. You have to have Consciousness, you have to have Eternity. Where do you find these concepts? They are in scripture. So, it isn't about your Eternity, I've said it many times, It's about your destination. It is where you are Eternally. You choose your Destination. He gives you that capability. Why does he do that? Now I know, listen, I can hear the 40 point Calvinists coming after me right now, but the problem with that, that's a joke, don't hurt me. When you recognize Will, and Consciousness, and Existence, and Infinity, and Zero, and all of this stuff, you begin to say wait a minute, maybe Calvin, he called it a horrible doctrine. That was his first clue that maybe he should have stepped back from it. Now I'm not obviously we have limited Will, but we have Will. So, we're arguing over how much Will we have, but we have Will. And there's Accountability if you take away Will, you take away Accountability, that's [Psalms 10](#), that's, that's, a Satanic conclusion, you have to be extremely concerned about the lack of Accountability in Judgment. The Bible constantly says there's a Great White Throne Judgment, there's Accountability. That means there is some reason for that. Otherwise, you're going to put God is the author of Sin, which many people do, and that of course, destroys his goodness. Any speck of him evil in him is, overwhelms everything, and he has none. He says, "none of this is in me", so anyway, the Book of Job refutes annihilationism, the essence of the Book of Job is the refusal, is the denial of the doctrine of annihilationism, or as it is more commonly known, the lie of Satan. And so that you start to see Job is set up in the beginning [Job 1](#), and [Job 2](#), of Satan, God, and Job, right? And they are discussing annihilationism, and finally, not really a finally, it's just a fake finally, you have a fake finally here, why did God wait until the fourth day, to start the 7,000 year clock? The sun and moon clock, why not the first day? Why not before the first day? He could have put the Clock in place before, wound it and set it there, and then started everything, [coughing], I've got a tickly voice here, I'm probably allergic to construction dust, dogs, and cold. Oops! I'm in big trouble then. Why did God wait until the fourth day to start the 7,000 years sun and moon clocked? Why not pick a day? Why the fourth day? Why not the eighth day? Why not any day, but he puts it on the fourth day? What's he thinking? And here's another finally, this is finally number two, [Job Chapter 1](#) and [2](#), and [42](#), I just consolidated the main 3. The whole Book of Job, but I'm going to consolidate those three, that's Job, Satan, and God that is commentary on [Genesis 3](#), [Genesis 3:4](#). [Genesis 3:4](#) is also annihilationism. And so, both of those attack what Satan has presented. To repeat, note that Satan is allowed to kill Job's children and servants and animals. What's God's solution? You killed him. What's he going to do? Oh nothing. No, what does he do? And again, This is why [Job 42](#) is so important to understand when God says restore, what does he mean? New stuff? No, old stuff, made new, it's different. There's a distinction, with a great difference there. His solution to Satan's killing, is to resurrect those who he killed. And I submit that that is the only biblical solution, is resurrection. Omniscience makes it so because that is what Christ is doing, I AM The Resurrector, I AM The Resurrection and The Life. So that means it's the only solution. If there was any other solution then it would, then omniscience is off the table. And he says that he is omniscient, a [Revelation 11](#), Satan man kills Moses and Elijah. How does Christ, who's in the whirlwind, who is in the pillar of cloud, what does he do? Calls them up, he resurrects them. The resurrection and the life responds by giving by restoring life, returning life and resurrecting the body. That's it's a reversal of [Genesis 2:7](#), he reverses it. He is about that. That's what he's

doing. OK, another finally, can I have an eternal finally? Finally that never ends so I can have infinite ending. [Dave – “You seem to be doing that quite well”] Let, the record show, that the, the congregational entity here [Dave] says that I have a tendency to never end my finallys. That's very funny. I should have recognized the retort when I asked the question. I need to learn to be better at this. Ah. He searches the mind and heart, he says so, [Revelation 2:23](#). That's what he does. The body has the brain and the heart in it. But he searches the mind for information in the mind, of course is not physical, and he searches the heart which is physical and the heart is connected to the brain, Efront and Afront from all of that stuff, right? The neurological cardiology, so, what is he searching for? I've asked that all the time. He is searching for information? He's gathering information. What's he going to do with the information he's going to bring accountability? It's evidentiary. It's had judicial procedure, the Great White Throne. He's the judge of all things [John 5:22](#). He is the ancient of days in his Throne Room, [Daniel 7](#). OK. All about annihilation discussion. Besides being boring and drool endorsing, inducing and cure for insomnia and all of that. Actually, it's going to lead to something worse. It is going to lead to Matter and Antimatter. Yeah. Yeah, it is definitely worth. I got a letter. Let's see I didn't do a good job of reading it. I know whenever I do a Matter and Antimatter and I'm going to get into what's called Chiral, I brought this up. I didn't really Chiral anomalies, anomaly, and I got a letter. I didn't do a very good job of reading it cause it didn't have the time. I don't have the time today, but this was from Luke and Luke wants me to call him and I will Luke, at some point. I've just been incredibly busy, and I have so much that I'm. I'm dealing with ah. He is this. This is such a fantastic letter. I have no place to start because it all needs to be read, but I don't have time. I'll just keep picking at it over the weeks. I'll get to my reason for writing, he says, besides letting you know that I'm still out here, he's in Ohio. My daughter and I are watching a PBS special dealing with quantum entanglement. Which went into the history of Einstein and Planck and featured an experiment where photons in two separate quasars are being measured to try and bolster the data submitted from the initial experiments, that seemed to indicate entanglement, and it got me thinking about your lectures on the subject. I remember the interferometry discussion and Heisenberg, and I think I have a loose grasp on how observation, how observation causing and on how observation causes the collapse of probability waves and how that relates to the freewill omniscient debate. But I feel as though I'm lying in a cloud of freshly disturbed dust trying to peer through as the bus driver drives erratically out of view, and when it comes to the theological implications of entanglement. I'm going to say this. I just really passages said earlier. If you want an example of the theological implications of entanglement. Here it is. I also wanted to know if Chiral anomalies were simply another manifestation of quantum uncertainty, or if you thought they had implications of their own. And what incredible letter! If we were back in the building, where we had lots of people, I would make copies and I would send it out to all of you, because this is the traditional, if not absolutely how do I put it, this is the typical Cliffside listener. I am so proud. It's like I have little children, this can't get any better than that for me and I'm just so thrilled. Antimatter, ok, and Matter, that's where we have to do Antimatter. It goes in order, shows an Antimatter and Matter and that I can get into Chiral anomalies. And there's a no if I try to do it out of order in with just make a mess like I usually do but antimatter was predicted or predictive, it's postulated to exist. In other words, it was a thought experiment I won't get into who did it. [It happened in the 1930s, the man won a Nobel Prize, The Rock](#). And it's under

the assumption that Einstein's formula $E=mc^2$. Ok, was valuable for making bombs. That's called the mass energy equivalence formula. That's what that is because you see if a particle saying electrons just take an electron has an anti-particle and that's what we're talking about is Particles and Antiparticles or Matter and Antimatter's $E=mc^2$. cannot see OK. C is the Speed of Light, E is Energy, M is Mass. So, if I have a particle, an electron and I have the anti-particle they have decided that the anti-particle will be called a positron. In other words, an electron or the positive charge have electron. The negative charge of electron, or the positive charge. And if anyone could collide the electron and the positron, that would result in annihilation, of the electron and the positron, and it would release energy, pure energy, and that's assuming, of course, that the electron and the positron, do I need to move for this way? And that would assume that the electron and the positron are identical in mass, so that there isn't any mass left over. So, I've had two things of equal mass, and they hit each other and they're opposites. One is Matter, the other is Antimatter. One is electrical charge, the other is a positive charge, and they strike each other and collide. They would annihilate. And that would result in a explosion. Because it would release energy. So, this is how all of this began. And the bigger the mass, of the particle. So, if I had. If I had more mass and of electrons and more mass, positrons and I collided them. I would have exponential energy released as we know from the hydrogen bomb explosion, we would reproduce the function of the sun on Earth, which we the mankind has been able to do now since the 1950s. So that's extraordinary understanding. Unfortunately, actually it's probably fortunately, Antimatter seems to be missing from the universe. Well, we can't find it. Why not? Would Matter can be found, but Matter Antimatter not so much in this is the Matter Antimatter Asymmetry in in physics lots of Matter, Antimatter? They tried to make Antimatter? Now they've got up to a few minutes. Maybe they've got past that now, but they've got a few minutes of Antimatter before it, disintegrates. Anything that creates energy of course has fantastic potential. You need energy in spite of the popular, ecological assertion; windmills, batteries, solar panels, are not going to produce enough energy to keep anybody alive. You have to have combustion. If you could come up with the Antimatter Matter generation system producing enough energy to turn a generational. I'd like to magnetically generator. Then it you have energy that is unbelievable, so that's part of it. And that of course was for me, he came up with a nuclear reactor for the purposes of making energy. And we made bombs. But anyway, we have Matter Antimatter's Asymmetry in the creation. It's an unresolved issue, and physics and cosmology. Why is there no equality for Matter particles and Antimatter particles cause there isn't, in the creation? And that's the question everyone by everyone, I mean the physics community theater. This is theoretical physics, right? That's what we have here. They make a prediction of postulation. And then they go about trying to see if they're correct. They put theoretically predicted an Antimatter particle for every Matter particle, and that would mean that there isn't accompany annihilationism or annihilating, and it's not happening. There isn't an annihilating. Now, annihilating is not a word, until now. I like the word annihilating. I have practiced it. So, we're putting annihilating into the, into the arena now, I suspect it'll take way off and I of course have the trademark. And I expect to reap incredible financial benefit of at least a dollar. But the word Neanderthalics, will insist on annihilating, so you know. But I think annihilating is far superior, far more robust. Why wouldn't we go that direction? OK, move along. What happened to Job's wife? She says curse God and die, [Job 2:9](#). What's this stuff about the unnamed Prophet

[1st Kings 13](#)? The withered hand? What happened it to the [Luke 10:1](#)? Or what happened to the [Luke 10:1](#), 2 by 2, 70 behold, I send you out as Lambs among Wolves on the surface, being a Lamb among Wolves doesn't sound like a great plan, but that's what Christ did. And obviously, BEHOLD, I send you. Is that means something really amazing is going to happen at [Luke 10:1](#) as a great doctrinal truth about to be revealed. What is that great doctrinal truth? What might be? What does? What is the meaning of the sent 70 of [Luke 10](#)? I got that question. So, all of these are questions that I've accumulated in the in the Sabbatical of the Winter Solstice. What's the Old Testament compliment of the sent 70? Didn't Christ send the 12 apostles, [Matthew 10](#)? Well, yeah just answered that he did. What's the difference? The [Luke 10](#), 70 cannot be hurt, [Luke 10:19](#) that's another BEHOLD, you're not going to be hurt. Satan in his horses cannot impede the 70. Nothing shall by any means hurt you. Christ says. How long did that stay in effect? That's almost in that indestructibility, that's immunity. From anything in anyone. That reminds you of [Revelation when we have 150 days](#), and nobody can die that remind you of the [144 thousand](#), that's the [Two Witnesses](#). I have 70 of these people, what happened to them? I want to know their names. Did they mess around with each other? Hit each other with bricks? To see how this worked. Did they jump under the water or jump off a cliff? I mean, if you had immunity, nothing, nothing shall by any means hurt you. Lightning? I mean, again, how long did it last? What happened to them? Are they still around? Do you know the Apostle John theories? I don't know if you've all gone to read those things. They're amazing. People have thought that Apostle John never died. He's walking around was he got 70 people keeping him companies at one great big gin rummy game somewhere. Are they hiding? No, nothing by any means will hurt you. The instructions given to the 70 or an especially puzzling and therefore something amazing is hidden there. We've got to investigate it. Certainly, what we should do, but we're not going to do it today. We're going to begin with what's equally mysterious. The Unknown Prophet of [1st Kings 13](#), I started my so called career with this, we gotta read a little bit of it. It is. I don't even know how to describe it. The more I read it every time I do it, I I'm just stunned what's here? And I think you will be too, so we're going to just one or three four point versus here. "And behold, a man of God went from Judah to Bethel by the word of the LORD, and Jeroboam stood by the altar to burn incense." So, I gotta, man, sent by God from Judah to Bethel. I got. Jeroboam was obviously in Bethel. Any standing by a by an altar and he's burning incense. "Then he cried out against the altar", That's the Man of God that did. "By the word of the Lord and said, "O altar, altar! Thus says the LORD: 'Behold, a child, Josiah by name, shall be born to the house of David; and on you he shall sacrifice the priests of the high places who burn incense on you", so, he's talking to the altar of Jeroboam. As if it's got cognitive capability consciousness. He's treating it like a person. [Chapter 30 1st Kings 13:1 through 3](#), "And he gave a sign the same day, saying, "This is the sign which the LORD has spoken: Surely the altar shall split apart, and the ashes on it shall be poured out.", I'll go with [4 and 5](#), "So it came to pass when King Jeroboam heard the saying of the man of God, who cried out against the altar in Bethel, that he stretched out his hand from the altar, saying, "Arrest him!" Then his hand, which he stretched out toward him, withered, so that he could not pull it back to himself. The altar also was split apart, and the ashes poured out from the altar, according to the sign which the man of God had given by the word of the LORD." and then of course, Jeroboam asked him. For something we get to that in a minute. So, what do we got at this point? [Yeah, point!] BEHOLD, a man of God went from Judah to Bethel. Why is that a BEHOLD? Cause that's a

BEHOLD and whenever you see a BEHOLD, there's two BEHOLD's whenever you see a BEHOLD, you know, you gotta stop, figure out why is that a BEHOLD? We'll ask the question another way, why did God send only one man from Judah? What's Judah with respect to Bethel? In other words, why didn't an army come out of Judah? Army didn't come out of Judah sent one guy. Against the King who had an army. Judah was still loyal to the House of David and therefore Solomon. Jeroboam if we're to read [1st Kings 12](#), made 2 golden calves. So not one golden calf, [Exodus 32](#), they obviously he he intended to reference [Exodus 32](#), but he makes 2 golden calves, and at [1st Kings 12:32](#), so you could back up to [Chapter 12](#). You'll see that you'll see the Jeroboam sacrificed to the two calves on the altar that he made it Bethel. So now here we are [13](#), and this man comes from God and he. Stops it. Why did Jeroboam make an altar at Bethel? He could have made an alter anyway, anywhere, but he picks Bethel. Why? He does two calves, why? In the back story it's important to know that Israel is divided into 2 kingdoms? I have Judah and I have Israel. The Man came from Judah. Bethel is in Israel with Jeroboam, so he came from the House of David, Jerusalem, and he comes up to Bethel. So the 10 Northern tribes are in the Territory of Israel. And a Man came from Judah, the King of Judah, Rehoboam The King of Israel, was Jeroboam, so, I have two Kings. One is attached to Solomon, the other is not. Actually, end I spent some time in Egypt. He became King after they called him back from Egypt. So great deal more to include here, but then that right now that we're going to stop with that, the Unnamed Prophet, they don't name this guy. He is Unnamed no one knows who he is. They speculate as to who he is, but he is not identified. Why not? I have an unidentified Man of God, and Jeroboam are both at an altar with two golden calves, and Jeroboam is burning things, burning incense for certain. Obviously, Jeroboam understood the meanings of the golden calf of [Exodus 32](#), and we should also know what those meanings are, because he doubled the meaning. He has two golden calves. Aaron only had one. I didn't go well, did it? Anyway, the Man of God from Judah cries out against this altar as this, as if it has consciousness that Jeroboam is sacrificing upon. And to repeat, God sees it necessary to send this Unnamed Prophet to Bethel on the 15th day of the 8th month. We git that again out of [Chapter 12](#), not the 7th month, 15th Day of the 8th month. What's on the 15th day of the 8th month? The 15th day of the 7th month would have been the Feast Day of Tabernacles, but God sends this man on the 15th day of the 8th month, cause that's when Jeroboam is doing this. WHY is Jeroboam doing something with two golden calves and an altar, on the 15th day of the 8th month again, Jeroboam knows things. This is not arbitrary, is not coincidental. These are selected things that have meaning to Jeroboam. He's doing something, and we should know what Jeroboam knows. The Man of God speaks to the altar. Oh altar, altar thus says the I AM that I AM. Tetragrammaton, the YHVH. Get better, let's draw a better, Y, ok, that is Capital in your viable Capital L, Capital O, Capital R, Capital D, that is the I AM that I AM. I see the Time thank you. ah, I'm going to make it. I could stop right now like I could just come mess around cause I got it made. The man of God speaks to the altar, oh altar, oh altar, thus says the I AM that I AM BEHOLD a child, McFly. BEHOLD, a child. Josiah shall burn the priests of the Pagan high places on this altar, and the altars shall be split apart, and they land their Ashes poured out. Now why do you suppose the Unnamed Prophet said, a child Josiah shall be born? Let's go just with child born a child born. And he names the child, Josiah. The Unnamed, names the child. Whenever I see naming, where do I go? I'm back to Genesis aren't I? I got naming going on. Names have meanings. So, I have this relationship between the Unnamed Prophet and Adam. I gotta say,

ask myself, does that? Does that show up anywhere else? What do you think? So, he names the child Josiah. Josiah said means YHVH, the I AM that I AM supports that's what it means the I AM that I AM supports. God would be with Josiah. Josiah would burn the Baal Molech priest, [Leviticus 18:21](#), cause that's what's going on here. In other words, the child that is born would come and burn the ones who are doing what. Burning the children. So that's why your child is born will come and burn you. And not only will the child burned the priest, but he will burn everyone in any relation to them. And I should interject at the altar, and did I mentioned that, I didn't I'll wait. Right now, would be a place to interject the altar with no steps. That's called the Law of the Altar, which is [Exodus 20:22 through 26](#). Moses was commanded to build an altar. He was commanded to build the altar subsequent to the issuance of the 10 Commandments. So, give out the 10 commandments and then build an altar. So, what do we know? In other words, the commandments of God. The Decalogue is given, and most scholars ignore the altar. They don't see the relationship between the 10 laws and the altar that has no steps. See God connected them, here's the 10 Commandments, here's the Altar with no steps. The Job, Jeroboam understand that? Jeroboam has an altar, and his altar is going to get split. So, what's going on here? Did Jeroboam understand the meanings of the altar of unhewn stone, the altar of Earth, the altar with no steps to be understand it and build an altar with two golden calves. I think the answer is obvious, [Exodus 20:23](#), is plainly said by God. He didn't equivocate. This is the Law of the Altar, you shall not make anything with me, gods of silver or gods of gold don't make any golden calves. Don't put them on my Altar. Don't make an Altar like that. You shall not make for yourself, [Genesis 20:25 through 26](#) if you use your tools on my Altar of stone or altar of Earth, you have profaned it, nor shall you go up by steps to my Altar, that your nakedness may not be exposed. You're naked what's he referencing? Nakedness goes where nakedness may not be exposed. Don't expose your nakedness. Where are we? Genesis. [Genesis 3:7, 3:10](#) obviously the Altar of God, the Altar of Stone, the Altar of Earth that doesn't have any steps. It can't be touched by a tool, or it's profane. That refers to Adam. Because Adam, covered himself again, [Genesis 3:7, 3:10](#), Fig leaves the Curse of the Withered Fig Tree. [Matthew 21:19 through 20](#), which we did a couple weeks ago. The Withered Fig Tree, in case you were wondering, fits with [1st Kings 13](#), because of the Withered Arm. All things withered, go back to the Withered Fig Tree of [Matthew 21:18-19](#). OK, where was I? The child born of the House of David? Clearly Christ is being announced, here isn't he? Is the child born? That's the book of Isaiah. Josiah is a portrait of Christ, Duh. The Altar of [Exodus 20](#) is also portraying Jesus Christ. He is the Unmade Altar, isn't he? That you can't go up there or you will profane it? You you can't make him, he's unmakeable so that's obviously Christ. Now there's portrait of Adam in there as well as we would expect, [Romans 5:14](#) so, find Christ on every pages were supposed to. That's the order, [5:39 John](#), search for that which testifies him of him, that's our charge, that's our, that's ours. job, Job. OK, that's what we do. [1st Kings 13](#) begins with the Man of God from the Tribe of Judah, who is not named. That's [Proverbs 30 verse 4](#), no one knows the name of Christ until it's revealed as Salvation Yeshua. That is the mystery of Agur, [Proverbs 30:4](#). So, the Unnamed Man is clearly a portrait of Christ and he cries out to the Altar, he declares that the child born of David and names the child God supports. So, all of that is is. I mean, that's quite the beginning. That's what he does in the first. What 3 verses? Let me repeat it, he declares the child born of David, he names the child God supported, cries out to the altar. It's in other words, the first 3 verses of [1st Kings 13](#) is just, it's just soaked in portraits of Christ. And

Josiah comes 300 years later, now people will argue with me about that. But I think it's obvious that it is exactly 300. There is some argument Josiah becomes King at 8 at age 18. Hilkiah the High Priest finds the handwritten manuscripts of Moses. So, they find the Book of Moses. In the temple Holder, the processes involved lots of moving pieces to Josiah Solomon, Molech Baal. There's tombs, Josiah was amazing. He should be the example of godliness given to our children. He was amazing. At age 18. Absolutely astonishing. He was amazing that when he died in battle. And for today, just go ahead. Let me have my 300 years. If the 300 years is exact and I think it is easily determined to be exact, we need to apply 300 years to Christ, because Josiah has a 300, so Christ is going to have a 300, towards the 300 for Christ. King Jeroboam, hears the Man of God, he orders him arrested, which means a death sentence. Arrest him means kill him. That's go ahead. Crossout arresting, right in killed him. You don't come here and make a mess out of my little operation without me killing you and Jeroboam's Altar, though, is split apart. [1st Kings 13:5](#), his hand is Withered [1st Kings 13:4](#), ah oh, rut row. Many questions, why was Jeroboam burning? Better question, who was Jeroboam burning? I've already intimated then imply that these were children being murdered on this Altar. That's I think it's obvious, when you see what Josiah does in 300 years. The two golden calves whose children are they? They're somebody's children, where do they come from? Again, this Baal Molech. Why is Jeroboam doing this? What's his motivation? This is evil. This is vile. This is evil to the level that God detests, but it goes on for 300 years. Political commentary here. Really fast. The slaughter of children will bring the wrath of God. Eugenics are the eugenicists have been killing children for almost a century. In this country, they're going to pay any eternal price. The wrath of God is the Lake of Fire when he says the Wrath of God. That's the Lake of Fire. Mankind has continually gravitated towards murdering children in a religious fervor, almost. It's identical, frankly to Jeroboam they celebrate the killing of children. They extoll it, they're jubilant over it. They parade it. They're thrilled by it. Hum. And make no mistake, there's a Sacramental element of the killing of children is always there. However, disguised God, being omniscient will not be deceived by all of the euphemisms. All the excuses, all the justifications, all the rest rationalizations. They're not going to be considered at the great White Throne, the Wrath of God, the Lake of Fire awaits. It is a horrible thing to be cast into the Lake of Fire. And that's what to repeat. Why is this happening? Why killing children? Sacrificing children? Why? What is causing this? Satan inspired it. I guarantee it. But why did he inspire it? looks like now killing old people with this pandemic, but why killing, Jeroboam is standing there, again with a withered hand, the Altar is destroyed, ashes, which is what? Information, your right, you can't burn it, you can't destroy it, that's evidence. Then that when he splits the Altar apart when they met. When God does it, the man of God said it's going to happen. God does it. Those Ashes pour out in front of everybody. Those are the Ashes of living beings, a great Sign of Ashes pouring out. What is the meaning of that sign? There's two signs here, there's a Split Altar, the Poured out Ashes, what do they mean individually and how do we collect them? What do they mean? Next Jeroboam begs the Unnamed Prophet to restore his Withered Hand, kill him all, oh fix my hand, pray for me, he says, pray for me that my hand may be restored to me. He couldn't pull his hand back, his hand was withered, he couldn't pull it back. Who had to pull it back for him? God. What's that mean? So, hand/arm is stretched out, Jeroboam could not pull it back. That happens again, [Mark 3](#). Christ in [Mark 3](#), is referring to [1st Kings 13](#). What does it mean? A prayer. A mediation must be given to God to pull it back. And Jeroboam knew that, he asks

immediately, uh oh, fix it. Ask God to fix it and God fixes it, and Christ fixes the hand of the Pharisee in [Mark 3](#), why does he do that? I should stop now, huh? The why would I come in next week's the connecting of the Unnamed Prophets death to the death of Adam and Moses, the Unnamed Prophet dies, and people blast him. In the commentary, he's an idiot. He is fooled. God judges him because he's he's a fool, none of that's true. I have the high view of the Unnamed Prophet, are you surprised by that? I do not believe he was deceived at all, [1st Timothy 3](#). I don't believe the old Prophet and you can read ahead, there's an old Prophet, I don't believe the old Prophet fooled him one second. I do not believe he was judged and condemned by God. I submit he knowingly went to his death. And his body was put in the road and so I have the body of the Unnamed Prophet laying in the road. And it is not destroyed. So, I could save another one. It's laying in the road and it has not gone to corruption. It's laying there. The lion didn't touch it. How did he die? The lion killed him. How did the lion kill him? Without hurting the body in any way. So, I have the body of the Unnamed Prophet lying in the road, I'm gonna say it's pristine. There's no evidence, no wound, it's just laying there. The lion killed him. I have, therefore, I have Moses's his body, I have Adam's body, I have Christ body. and I have the Unnamed Prophet's body don't I. Of course I do, next week. Move her into that. {fin}

End Transcription @14:18 01.31.2021

Dry Eraser Board

