

## Episode\_131\_2021.02.28 - Stephen A. Chronister

### Joel 131: The Dust of the Earth! Now put that together with separating light from darkness

#### Lecture Discussion Number 131 on The Book of Joel

February 28, 2021 • Stephen A. Chronister

(This Transcription was graciously provided to us by Dime Box Dan)

Begin Transcription (Edit Begins 03/07/2021 @11:02 CST)

Here I am OK. I don't know. The folks out there really out there. We think that we are operating both, live on SermonAudio and live on Facebook. Is that true? We believe that's true. Doesn't make it true. So, we believe we have confidence in our Operational System here. A couple of quick things I will be going into surgery, I again unfortunately, for renal issues for kidney issues, on the 12<sup>th</sup> of March, ah, if I we don't know for sure, I haven't been told, it could be an [extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy](#), which is essentially shooting, radio frequencies or sonic waves, towards the blockages that are in my kidneys, trying to break them up so that they'll expel through a natural process. But it could easily be that's noninvasive, but it could really easily be [nephrolithotomy](#), lithotomy, nephrolithotomy. Which means that that's a surgery. And that's probably gonna take a long time for me to recover from that. I think that the March 14<sup>th</sup>, is probably in doubt because both of them are pretty difficult, I understand. The right side and all of that is is that I have more discussions with the anesthesiologists and you know, it's always great to have to be under general anesthesia for both of those procedures, and so that means that I get to talk to them about mathematics in consciousness. Which they all love. They all want to talk about that. I'm going to use this opportunity to continue with if I get the same guy. I hope I do. Or the same lady I get. I can talk to them about integrated information theory. Which is the quantum physics community is beginning to try to tackle consciousness. They think that it is quantized, if you will they be wrong about that. But you have to understand the [general relativity](#), cannot be correct. Einstein cannot be right because, it does not reconcile with the [quantum gravity theory](#). And so for must therefore must be replaced by quantum gravity theory. And Einstein believe that gravity is an effect. As you know, Newton said it was a force. And. And the problem with general relativity is that Einstein incorporated time as a dimensional element. Quantum gravity may not include time at all, and when you talk to quantum physicists and they're the ones that say also as well as Einstein, Einstein would say that time is a construction of consciousness of a human consciousness. Quantum gravity says that time does not exist. So our quantum gravity theory, so those are the kinds of discussions I get to have with the anesthesiologist, because ultimately they descend into consciousness. Remember, if you've been with me for any length of time, you know that [Max Planck](#), and the quantum gravity, is consciousness. Time is consciousness. Those are the theological positions and I believe that they ultimately will prevail because they're right. Have some wonderful new theories about time and I'll be presenting those to you. This new books out all the time with his one by a guy named Julian Barbour who I'm particularly interesting, and it's called, "[A New Theory of Time](#)". So if you want to buy that and have it as textbooks so when we get into it, you'll be able to, maybe have a head start. OK, enough of that. I have to say this, I had a [Left Anterior Fascicular Block](#) when I was in for my last evaluation. That means that my heart, went into Arrhythmia again. So, it's the first time in 18 months I had heart rate go over 249 for very

brief part of the time. That's the [Common Atrioventricular Bundle of His](#), is an electrical system, electrical circuit, and mine went into what's called [Fascicular Block](#). So, many things are not going great for me, let's just put it that way. We'll just have to see how it all works out. Planning to keep going as you know, but I may not have any control. OK, enough of that. We're gonna late start here, let me see how late I am. I'm pretty late.

- February the 28<sup>th</sup>, 2021, Lecture discussion #131 on the book of [Joel](#), [Daniel](#), [Revelation](#), [Ecclesiastes](#), [Job](#), [1<sup>st</sup> Kings 13](#), [2<sup>nd</sup> Kings 23](#). So OK, last week was mostly kind of a restart cause we had missed a couple of weeks and I was not doing really well so I just did my best and I had a few unexplored subjects placed alongside previously discussed materials. Pretty much it was a review with supplemental editions, and if you recall on statistically there are those. Then those that do recall are under the measurability of threshold. Another not very many people recall from not even me from lecture to lecture. I have to review what I said to understand it, to know where to go. But um, I'll just conceded someone in this vast Internet audience has some attachment to Lecture #130, and if you remember then that person would know that what I did primarily, was uh, discuss letter from Mary Ann from Arkansas, I almost have Australian meant Arkansas. In any event, Mary Ann, from Arkansas, was engaged with this question of the Dust of the Earth. Oh, I should say really fast, I mean started erasing things, here's my situation with the kidney, this is the Ureter. That is a kidney I was explaining this to Lori, I have particulates, they are Calcium Oxalates, both high and low, and obviously the ones that are higher will gravitate just by hydraulics and by gravity into the Ureter, and that's what's happening to me, is they're blocking. And when that happens, of course, the kidneys begin to shut down. They go into renal failure, and I had acute renal failure and then your blood doesn't get cleanse that you end up with all kinds of difficult situations and in my case the one that's the most difficult. And if it happens then I will need to go to the emergency room again. There is a relationship between blockages in the Ureter and vomiting, as soon as you get one in the ureters, your brain decides that you have to vomit and it is ferocious process. OK, enough of that, but Mary Ann was, she was interested in this question of the Dust of the Earth. Which is fantastic. That's [Genesis 2:7](#), of course, in which is one of the most amazing scriptures in all of the Bible. But us to be more specific, it's the body of Adam was formed from the materials of the Earth and all of the issues that are inside of that statement. Mary Ann, I believe from her letter, obviously, is captivated by the question of this Dust of the ground as well she should be. Why God, made the body of man from the Dust. That's ultimately the question. Essentially the question and the bodies of animals also from the Dust. And I I think it was the identical process which you see described of Adam and [Genesis 2:7](#), was for each and every individual animal as well, even though he probably did them at it simultaneously. Maybe sequentially it said there is a sequential aspect to it, but we don't know for sure. Only the ones that witnessed it [Job 38:7](#), that it would be able to tell you in the process exactly. But in any event. The Dust of the ground. Why is it that the bodies disintegrate? Descend back to the Dust. That is an astonishing expeditionary discussion of problems to deal with and is always the case when the Omniscient Creator of all Things executes an action he has a profusion. He has a superabundant that would be a more accurate word, I think, of inference and or reasonings that I am prone to call, and what I call connectivity's. In other words, he has this massive amount of far reaching, far collecting, far interconnecting, situation for lack of a better word when he does something and it's through the connectivity's that the searchers of Scripture, and that's us. We can, shallowly or dimly

determine or detect his purposes. We are the seekers of what he's trying to do. Our plan or our commandment? I guess our order, our orders are to start to try to think like him. Why did he make the body of Adam, I'll just reduce it to Adam from the Dust of the Earth? And again, Omniscience, means there is no other option. This is the only option. Why is that the only option? Omniscience drives you to that particular positioning. Obviously the prominent scriptures that we're going to deal with here or [Genesis 2:7](#), [Genesis 2:9](#). Those are the ones that are that obviously are at the very top. And then will have [Genesis 2:21 through 23](#), and lastly, will have let me make sure I don't leave anything out here, [Genesis 3:19](#). Now [Genesis 3:19](#), is Dust you will return, [Genesis 2:7](#), is the Dust of the Earth, [Genesis 2:9](#), gives you more information, as does [Genesis 2:22 through 23](#) has to this process and you begin to assimilate everything you need all the evidences so that you can make a decision. Uh, so Mary Ann's question was this and I will outreach what she wrote and then I will read what I changed it to house at. She said I am start still stuck in Genesis in my mind is going in circles this morning over a simple yet extremely complicated thought. Boy, is she right about that? Of what kind of meaning is actually behind the idea of man being create created from the ground and she has exclamation points? As well as questions, so I'm going to change that. I'm going to say what kind of meaning is actually behind the idea of Adam's body being created from the Dust of the Earth. Notice the distinctions I emphasized. Adams body and Earth. And so I can make those there ever so slight? They weren't that great, right? But I can make those additions because the H.T.R.P. point, but it's not on the board. Earth, obviously refers back to [Genesis 1:1](#), doesn't it, and [Genesis 1:2](#). So, when I see the word Earth, where should I go first? Where is the first place that Earth is mentioned in the Bible? [Genesis 1:1](#) and [Genesis 1:2](#), but I have, getting the horse behind the cart here. So let's go and read those really fast and everybody knows them but just pay attention this time as much as you can to some of the little nuances that are there, so will start in [2:7](#), and the LORD God, now that's the YHVH, when you see the LORD capitalized, the L, the O, the R, the D, that means that is the Ineffable, Unexplainable, Unpronounceable, name of God. We would say Yahweh or Jehovah, but it's YHVH and the LORD God for that's the Tetragrammaton, that is the Burning Bush, that's [3:6 of Exodus](#), [3:14 of Exodus](#), so this is the LORD God, formed man of the Dust of the ground. And breathed into his nostrils The Breath of Life and the man became a living being, and that process is unbelievable and I never read that enough. Let's jump to [9](#), and out of the ground the Lord God made every tree that grows that is pleasant to the sight and good for food. [2:21](#), and the LORD God caused a deep sleep to on Adam, and he slept. He took one of his ribs and closed up the flesh in its place. Then the rib which the LORD God had made from man he made into a woman, and he brought her to the man. And Adam said, now this is, this is now bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh. She shall be called woman, because she was taken out of man. [3:19](#), of course you know this when in the sweat of your face you show eat bread till you return to the ground for out of it you were taken for Dust, you are, and it's not really is the 'are', is not in the text, for Dust you and the Dust, you shall return. Notice that eat bread. Oh, there we are to that Unnamed Prophet in Kings, right? You shall not eat bread, this is eat bread. OK, those are very familiar passages and most most Bible scholars, are confident they have commanded those verses or these verses, and that would be a mistake. These, that would be a violation of the rule of Infinity. God is infinite. He remembers all things and which is why it last week I said that the answer to Mary Ann's question was that Jesus Christ is the divine rememberer. Again, a thief on the cross. Remember

Me if you. If Christ remembers you, he writes you in The Lamb's Book of Life, and you have eternity with him. What he calls life, he calls life eternity with him. If you are separated from him, he that is referred to with death will get to that again in a minute, but he is The Divine Rememberer. He is the one who remembers, he has the assignment of remembering. He is the one that writes the names in his Book of Life. The Lamb's Book of Life and I gave that answer. I postponed revealing that supposed answer. That's the answer to Mary Ann's question, Christ is The Divine Rememberer, doesn't seem like an answer, I know that, but I did it and at the very end of the lecture last page, as a matter of fact, Because, I was waiting until it was the last possible, that everyone who was listening last week were soundly asleep. That's my favored, instructional approach. As you know, much for the delight of nobody ever. But I very steadfast, loyal to the method. I have a reason for doing what I do, though some would think that is. Not planned, it actually is the plan. I'm not going to defend how well it's planned. I'm just going to tell you that I do a lot of things on purpose. I also now drink 10 glasses of water a day. In order to try not to have the excruciating pain that comes with this new, plague that I have, I don't know how to describe it, otherwise it's brutal. If any of you are out there, you have. Kidney stones that exceed 5 millimeters and then you know that that is a brutal condition. So far they've removed one of mine that was 7 millimeters. So that's essentially is the size of a .327 Magnum diameter bullet. That's what it was and then and I don't even know how I made it through it. I was the most miserable, crying, whiny person in the entire state of Alaska. And it's coming again. I have so many of 'em now that that's the reason for the surgery again. Hopefully it can be resolved with Sonic Shockwaves and not cutting my back open and digging into the kidney with a scalpel, but we'll see. Anyway, the answer is within the scope of Christ's infinite mind. He is an infinite mind. He can process Infinity. That's that, seems like a contradiction, but that's what we're up against here. His he, his Remembrance, is in his infinite mind, as is Omniscience. He knows all things. He remembers all thing. Those two, of course, are almost a redundancy. When he made Adam's body from the Dust of the Earth. He's able to account for every possible all the components that have any relation, any connectivity you reuse the term over and over again, but anything that might in any way be impacted by what he did by taking and making the body of animals living beings, will get to that in a minute. And Adam, who of course is a living being from the Dust of the Earth start type, contemplating what options you might think he could have done otherwise. Again, he cannot because Omniscience makes this the imperative. There is no other option. Why is that so? That's essentially Mary Ann's question, and I'm answering that because he is the Rememberer, The Divine Rememberer, that's why. So when he makes Adams body, he's able to put all the pieces together and he includes them in a precise order. Again Omniscience requires that he do it that way. There is no other way he would do it. There is nothing that JesusGod says, and that's all one word. JesusGod, says or does that is detached. Everything reaches out and back in, adheres to something usually many somethings and Dave got a wonderful letter from a gentleman, uh that he sent to me here, that he was communicating with, where the gentleman, I don't remember the name, Gabriel, OK, Gabriel was wanting to talk about matrices essentially and three dimensional aspects of how the Bible is created, which is absolutely appropriate. The Bible is ridiculously structured, no human being could possibly do this, and so that's one of our great proofs. So the question evolves thusly, what exactly was Christ remembering, now cause this again, let me put the disclaimer out there because I am the H.T.R.P. I can say something like

this. Yes, ma'am. Microphone oh wow, thank you. You are really wise. Man oh Man, I would never have thought of that. That is amazing. The Bible was blocking microphone. Nothing Terry alerted me to that. That's good because it might have been awful. Where was I? I asked it this way. This isn't the right way to do it. Again, H.T.R.P. I can get away with it. You can also be H.T.R.P.'s, there's nothing that says you have to be documented. You no, you just have to be highly trained, religious professional. The professional is a relative term. Trust me. The economic viability of our little operation is not exactly what some would consider professional. Ah, I'm going to give you a statement that isn't proper. What exactly was Christ remembering when he made the bodies of Adam and the animals from the Dust of the Earth? What was he remembering? I'm asking specifically another question. Did Christ make the body of Adam from the Dust of the Earth because, that he did not end the darkness, [Genesis 1:3 and 4](#), In other words, when he came as The Light of Life, he struck the Earth, he did not end the darkness. He divided the darkness from the light, the light which was declared to be good. Therefore the darkness. If the light is good, then what is the darkness? The darkness is evil. That's your only option. The darkness is not good. The light is declared, but not the darkness. We know that the darkness prevailed on the Earth until it was separated from the light that was good to repeat. A past discussion. The New Jerusalem, [Revelation 21:22 through 26](#), we talked about The New Jerusalem, and the central element of The New Jerusalem, besides having no doors on the gates, is that it has no darkness. And there's a big clue as to why he made the bodies from the Dust of the Earth. As you can see that The New Jerusalem, has no darkness, The Lamb of God's Christ Jesus, that Christ Jesus is The Light that is Good. The Light of Christ, The Lamb of God. The Light of the Lamb of God is The Light of all of The New Jerusalem. How much darkness is in The New City of Jerusalem? None! Why? He did not eliminate all the darkness [Genesis 1:3 through 5](#), I stopped at 4. At [Genesis 1:1 through 5](#), the Earth is going to go forward now. In light and darkness, it's going to have both, why? Then that raises the immediate question, why is [Genesis 1:1 through 5](#), Why is the [Genesis 1:1 through 5](#), Earth not the same as the [Revelation 21:21 through 26](#), Earth? In other words, I have two different Earths described in Scripture, one in [Revelation](#) and one in [Genesis](#). Why aren't they the same? Unless they are the same? Are they were the same? The clearly not the same as described in [Genesis 1:1 through 5](#). But was it ever the same? Is there an Earth that is described as The New City of Jerusalem somewhere in the Bible? And the answer is yes. Where is that? Let me keep going, if it is, if it was the same, when was it the same? That's the question. It clearly is not the same here, [Genesis 1:1 through 5](#), it's covered in the Earth is covered. It's flooded in water, an utter darkness that is not representative of [Revelation 21:21 through 26](#), though there are some vestiges, some traces, if you want to think of him that way someone might suggest remembrances, that would be me, suggesting that there are remembrances here. There are pieces, that have great similarities. In any event, the [Genesis 1:1 through 5](#) and [Revelation 21:21 through 26](#), reconciliation is a requirement. Their differences stand in need of harmony, they have to be harmonized because they're not. It doesn't make any sense, but actually it does, doesn't it? Most biblical scholars view The New Jerusalem as a redemptive, or a mending, you might say healing. So they see [Genesis 1:1 through 5](#) as an issue. Darkness prevails, utter, darkness flooded in water, there is no life, there is no occupant on the Earth at that time, of any kind. And then all of a sudden we have [Revelation 1 or 21:1 through 26](#). Which is this new glorious city that is 1500 miles high, 1500 miles wide, 1500 miles deep, and has a triune

element to it, or a triad in this case, but it is a cube. As you know, my position is as there's 300 platforms in it. All of them 5 miles in depth, but that would be atmospheric and soil depth. In other words, I believe that they are all set in place. No there clearly has to be some kind of stairway system and as a person that built stairways, have you notice it? Yeah, I got a lot of touch up to do, but that one little piece there was unbelievably difficult because you have to know a lot of math to get that to work. And Lori made a few mistakes. I'm gonna have to fix them later. Anything purpose on Earth system perfection. Yeah, you know I just. As much as I teach her how to do these things, she just isn't keeping up. And when I sit down on the couch and tell her what to do. We end up with them with an issue that really to be honest with them. Well, I've been out in the snow before. So, me and the snow, we have some relationships. OK, where am I? [Genesis 1:1 through 5](#) and [Revelation 21:21 through 26](#), are not the same, but they should be the same. Were they ever the same? And the answer is yes, and again, most biblical scholars they see this is as a restoration. I think healing is a wonderful thing. If one accepts that is healing is occurring in [Revelation 21 through 26](#), then [Genesis 1:2 through 4](#), is the condition, or event that's traceable to a cause. If it needs to be healed, why does it need to be healed? What causes it to be in this condition and what is it going to take to heal it? If you wish to put it that way, all of that to say? [Genesis 1:2 through 4, or 2 through 5](#), was the aftermath of some kind of catastrophic incident or series of actions. If you have the healing position. That's the only thing you can do with that logically, which is why I continue to emphasize the need for everyone to construct a timeline. You have to have a timeline in my view again, of [Ezekiel 28](#), [Isaiah 14](#). That is a description of what I think is the catastrophe, that occurs that is traceable to this condition, that is [Genesis 1:1 through 5](#). That's the fall of Satan, and anything that concerns the fall of Satan will bring with it the condemnation or the damnation of Satan, [Genesis 3:14](#). So, whenever you talk about the fall of Satan, you have to talk about or you don't have to, but you should connect immediately, the condemnation of Satan. He, God says it this way in [Genesis 3:14](#), because you Satan have done this, this is that we've addressed a little bit before, but here we are again. Because you have done this, you have to define what this is. What is the totality of this? Is it specific only to Adam and Eve? I don't believe it is. I think it has two components to it, "You are cursed more." He says the cursing of Satan. Obviously is is for repeating what he did at [Ezekiel 28:16](#). The abundance of his traffic. So he repeats that. That's the fundamental if you will with respect to Satan is that he has what he did at [Ezekiel 28](#), he did at [Genesis 3](#). In other words, Satan took with him into rebellion, this third of the angelic host, as we know. That's what happened. And then he also corrupted mankind. Through his deceptions mankind, obviously, participant. I should interject here that that none of these, the third of the angelic hosts that fell with Satan, not one of them had bodies that were made from the Dust of the Earth. And there again is another piece of information that helps you understand why he did it. Angels do not have bodies made from the Dust of the Earth. Mankind has bodies that are made from the Dust of the Earth, so do animals. Living Beings, as animals that are described as Living Beings having The Breath of The Spirit of Life, anyhow, Satan had two corrupt poisonous crimes. If you want to think about why one prior to the formation of Adam's body and the breathing into Adam, this breath of the spirit of life and one subsequent to [Genesis 2:7](#), not everybody agrees with that. Now I just said not everybody that's a consensus, however, of what we call the time liners. The people that made timelines. They look at it, and they say, Satan's, [Ezekiel 28:16](#). is before the formation of the Body of Adam. Now you may disagree, and a lot of

people do. I don't want to disrespect you that the other position, or the other positions? I will just say that I'm right. No, I think this is the strongest position I'll have, how about I put it that way? You can easily disagree with me and that's perfectly fine. I found that people who disagree with me. Are quite valuable. I need to know what others think. If you wanna do this job correctly, you better listen to other opinions. Hey, both of these were acts of lawlessness by Satan. They're both acts of darkness of evil. But they are combined. And they also result in [Matthew 25:41](#), the this causes something. And again, in my opinion, and that's The Lake of Everlasting Fire prepared for Satan and his angels. That is the other darkness, the place of the gnashing of teeth, and the weeping [Matthew 8:12](#). What is outer darkness of God prepared The Lake of Fire as a place of pure darkness, and that of course, takes you back to [Genesis 1:1 through 5](#), doesn't it? Cause the Earth is in a pure darkness state completely covered with water. The Lake of Fire is in pure utter darkness. What is pure darkness? How would you describe it if you were in pure darkness? I have been, I taught, a little bit of coach basketball, at McFarland High School and there is a downstairs underneath the, building is the Athletic Department, and there's no windows in there. And one, the power would go out occasionally, and when it did, there is no light at all. None, and you cannot see anything, yourself, you cannot do it. You are blind. So pure darkness is equivalent to blindness to groping to wariness. That's [Genesis 19:4 through 11](#), that is, those that were blinded and they could not find Lot, they could not find the Angels right there in utter darkness. It's a continual state of being blind, and if you are blind and in a place that you cannot navigate, then you are also lost and you remain lost. If you can't see you are relying on someone who can see to guide you and no one can see, then you are all lost. So, try to imagine utter darkness, not a single photon of light. I've said many times that [Genesis 1:1 through 2](#), is describing, not a single photon, which meant it was invisible. Everyone wants to talk about Black Holes which are they declared to be Singularity's or Infinities and I, as you know, I'm incredibly skeptical of The Black Hole Theory, again its tied to General Relativity. Relativity cannot be reconciled in Quantum Gravity, and so I am suspicious that Relativity is wrong and it is agreed that it is wrong. It has to be corrected by Quantum Gravity Theory then, how much of it is wrong? Now we're arguing, we know it's wrong now, now we're just arguing, how wrong is it? That's my position, as you know. Anyway, contrast the Lake of Fire to [Revelation 21](#), the place of only light. Light or darkness dims the choices, right? That's it. OK, where was I? Who knows, other than The Shadow and The Shadow doesn't know. I am overtly inferring, that's not a contradiction in terms. I am overtly inferring, that the formation of the body of Adam from the Dust of the Earth and the combining of The Breath of The Spirit of God with the body, made from the Dust of Earth, and all of the bodies and all of The Living Beings, I'm saying that's directly associated with the division of the light from the darkness. When he separates the light from the darkness, now we have an explanation as to why he made the body from the Dust of the Earth. Now let me give you all of those, those passages cause they're so important; [Genesis 1:20](#), [1:21](#), [1:24](#), [1:28](#), [1:30](#), [2:7](#), [6:17](#), [6:19](#), [7:22](#), and [Ecclesiastes 12:6 through 7](#), all of those verses begin to speak about, The Living Breath. The Breath of The Spirit of Life and The Body. They start to give you information about it, that combining if you well, the duality. So why ultimately, I am saying that, Dust and Duality of Remembrance are the same question I said that last week and they have something to do with light from darkness. They have something to do. With the Dust of the Earth. Why the bodies of living beings go back to the Dust of the Earth? What I mean by Duality, Dust, and

Remembrances, is that God has an Omniscient Declaration. When he does something, he's presenting the statement, some might call his presentation an Affidavit. I won't disagree with that, others a Deposition. I think that's also appropriate or a Testimony, others say Rebuttal or Cross Examination, a Sworn Statement. All of those descriptions are what? Those are Judicial of a Judicial nature which I absolutely think is appropriate because, the Magisterial aspect is self-evident, in my opinion, it's overwhelmingly so. This is a, this is a Judicial Construction. Ultimately, the position of Satan, calls into question, what Satan is calling into question is the Jurisdictional Authority of Jesus Christ, of The Ancient of Days, [Daniel 7](#). You do not have jurisdiction, is what Satan is saying. So we're having a jurisdictional, ah assessment, and we should expect that Christ would assert his Authoritative Legitimacy, and many times I have said that there are two groups that have immediate advantage when reading scriptures. One is Lawyers, cause they recognize immediately this is a Court Document, the others are Mathematicians/Physicists, because they recognize that this is a physicist or physical, it has all of the answers of physics in it. The Bible is a Legal Document, does give you the words; Testaments, Witnesses, Trials, Judgment, Imprisonment, Acquittal, Exculpation, Pardoning, Innocence, Guilt, Penalty, Criminalities, Jury. We're going to serve on juries. Sentencings and absolutions and forgiveness and mercy in remission and vindication and redemption and dispensations and summons and condemnation, countability, all of those are themes in Scripture at all, of those are judicial thing. That's just the name, but a few of them. Christ himself stood wrongfully as we know unlawfully arraigned indicted, sentenced to an execution of death penalty, though he was absolutely impeccable, total innocents, unblemished. Wrongfully accused wrongfully tried. And he is what? He's not just a judge. He's The Ancient of Days Judge. That makes him The Judge of what? Of all things, [John 5:22](#). So the judge was put on trial wrongfully. And why did he do that? Why did The Ancient of Days, in the flesh, allow himself to be delivered for trial? Remanded again? Judas did not betray him, God is Omniscient, he cannot, you cannot betray Omniscient God. What Judas did was remanded him for trial. He delivered him. There's a deliberately into the word, means delivery. I can't say that enough people read all the time. They see the people who translated scripture. I'm going to say it flat out blew it. cause they took the delivery aspect of judicial aspect and they put in a betrayal aspect that is in conflict with Omniscience, and it's in every Bible I've ever read. And it's a mistake. In my view. But Christ allowed himself to be delivered for trial. He allowed himself to be declared guilty. He didn't interfere, he allowed himself to be sentence to death. Why do you suppose that he did that? What's his reasons? To repeat the especially obvious, here, Jesus Christ cannot be executed. Oh my gosh. Pick it up. He's The Breath of The Spirit of Life. He's The Light of Life. He is Omnipotent, he cannot be executed not by a created being with the body of Dust. Give me a break. And he says so. He's The Light of Life, he is life itself as he defines life. He's the one that gets to define it because he is it. So his is the definition is all that matters. No one can take his life. He must lay it down, [John 10:17](#), one of the greatest statements in Scripture, specially John. John, got it! No one can take his life. He said it Christ he will raise himself up [John 2:19](#). Why do you suppose John included [2:19](#) and [10:17](#)? His body will not go into corruption. [Psalm 16:10](#). I have a body that did not go into corruption. It's one of the great mysteries of all. Let me say this and I'm going to get some feedback here. Life itself by definition, if it is life, then it cannot die. If life, if I grant a hypothesis, were to die, that was never true life. I stole most of that from C. S. Lewis, If H is not, then she never was. And then

you can you just extrapolate that to Christ. If he is life, Life cannot die. The definition of life is that it cannot die. And if life could die. Which is a contradiction in terms. Then it's not life. It's never been life, it's just a temporal state waiting to be revealed as a temporal state. That's not life. That's something else, but it isn't life. We say we have life. We won't have life until he gives us the life that is no longer has any physical temporalness hey, where was I? I need a shadow. Let me find it. Dust of the Earth duality Remembrance. When you begin to recognize that the statements and actions of JesusGod are encompassed in the context of a closing statement. When he says something, when he does something, his actions they have. This judicial closing statement aspect to them, facet for lack of a better Beni for. At the end of every trial is a closing statement. Then when you understand that when you see the again, the magisterial element here, then it's more likely that theological chaotic running amokness will be avoided. Because there's a lot of theological running amokness, cause amokness is a word. Amokness, I like say amokness, it's just cool, I hope I made it up. Amokness is a word because you can take the suffix ness and add it to anything. Which I have done amokness. It's similar to adding ISH yesterday with you too. I added ISH to tapeworm and I came up with tapewormish. Tapewormish as you all know, refers to televangelists. But I digressrant, the point, Hazarai a Point, page 10. I finally came up with the point by page 10. The point is it Christ uses the Dust of the Earth to make the body of Adam. He did not speak Adams body into formation from nothing. There is a difference from the Angelic existence and the Adamic issue existence one is made from the Dust of the Earth, the other is not. One is in the image of God, the other is not. That begins to tell you why he did it this way. He did not. As he did with the woman, utilizing intermediary. Either now she ultimately, by extension, is from the Dust of the Earth, right? Because Adam is from the Dust of the Earth, and so both of them go to the Dust when they die, the body dies. Sorry, the body will. How did the Angels come into existence then is the obvious question then, huh? Can't get more obvious in that one. What was the angelic process? This is the humanity process we have the humanity process laid out for us. Where is the angelic process? Begin to assess one and create your own. How did the Angels go through? How did they come into existence? How long did it take? Remember I asked how long is Adams body laying there, perfectly, maybe in a complete static position but not in corruption. How and before the breath of the Spirit of Life was added to it. How long did it take God? How long did he take? That's a better end question to make to form the body of Adam. Just imagine the process. How did he do it? Who saw [Job 38:7](#). Did they recognize this is different than how we were made? Did Adam even know how he was made? If he didn't know how he was made, how did he know? He had to be shown or told, or both. How good is God it that showing somebody something that would only require that he would want? Pull that person into an observational frame reference that allows him to see it. Which you know he can do, and he had. He did it with others, OK? He uses the Dust of the Earth, the Earth that had been in darkness, engulfed in water. It's utter photonless, lessness photonless blackness's, not easy for me to say. But now it's being restored, being reclaimed, but again, partially not fully. There's this division, this allowance of darkness to go forward. Why does he allow darkness to go forward? He doesn't do it in [Revelation 21](#), he ends it. But here he allowed it to go forward, why? So I get this restoration, this reclamation, but again this is a partiality here. There's no, it isn't. It wasn't illuminated, the darkness was just divided, it was impacted. You want to think of it as being attacked. It was attacked. It was driven back. He brings the moon in, doesn't he? What's the purpose of the moon? What does the moon due to

the darkness it provides light in the darkness, doesn't it? There's was an old show on Disney many, many years ago with the scarecrow and the moon cussers, do you ever remember that? Am I the only one old enough to remember the moon cussers? The moon cusses were Pirates and they would. They would curse the moon because it brought light to the darkness. And it made it more difficult for them to attack. So they were called the moon cussers, while that always stuck with me, the moon established and by God, and it brought reflective light. From the thermal nuclear device that he put in the Sky, if you will learn to be atmosphere from the cosmology on the 4th day. So he does attack the darkness, but he doesn't destroy the darkness like he will in [Revelation 21](#). But The Light of Life came and struck the hidden Earth, [Genesis 1:3](#), [John 8:12](#), on the first day, the light of life seems to withdraw, doesn't he? After he institutes the Thermo nuclear device? So he puts the Thermo nuclear device up there and then nuclear fission, thermal nuclear, and then he seems to withdraw [Psalms 10](#). He doesn't just leave himself, he is The Light of Life. He could light it all up just as he does in The New Jerusalem, but he doesn't. He has this replacement for him this typology. Why did he do it like that? Keep in mind that Christ is the judge. Also he slept in the trial. He cited the defendant. He ends up being the defendant, he said. Defense attorney, who is the jury. If this isn't a judicial construct, and it is who's the jury? Who is the accuser? That's an easy one. Frame the question of the Dust of the Earth inside the parameters of the courtroom and it'll start to clear up for you. In my view, asked the reciprocal what would ensue both legally and accused it orally. If Omniscience and again Omniscience doesn't allow if. For granted it does what would ensue legally and accusatorially, if having said that, better this way. That's a terrible way to say it. What would result in Christ had simply thought or spoke Adam's body into reality. Instead of using the Dust of the Earth. Why don't you say that the Dust of the Earth is contaminated? Spinning darkness and it's been underwater it's contaminated is not radioactive, but maybe it is. Grant me that. Why would God? Why would Christ use contaminated Dust to make the body of Adam? See where I'm headed there. Hopefully you do. He doesn't. I just speak the body into reality. Instead, he redeems the Dust, doesn't he? He uses the Dust. And most people would say the Dust again. Is corrupted. But he's not to God. Notice how I answered the question. If Christ does not use the Dust of the Earth, what would be the accusations? Is what I'm trying to say earlier. Not to imply that Christ is intimidated by his accusers. He's obviously Omnipotent, Omniscient, Omnipresent, he can't change, He is God. He changes not, [Malachi 3:6](#), but he's also the searcher of the minds and the hearts, [Revelation 2:23](#). That's quite an advantage. He's not intimidated by accusations. He knows the accusations that from an outside of time perspective, he knows them before their thought. He can't help it. He's the rememberer. I have made the case for restoration, subtle didn't I, for the Dust of the Earth, in case you missed it out there in the Internet. The goodness of God is revealed through his longsuffering. He is unwilling that any should perish. [2<sup>nd</sup> Peter 3:9](#), that all should come to repentance, all means all. Who has to come to repentance, repentance, of what? I have 1/3 of the angelic host that has to come to repentance. And Satan has to come to repentance. He uses the Dust of the Earth. I've saying all of those things fit together. He's making a statement. You come from repentance. You come from unbelief to believe his longsuffering, his refusal to forsake the lost. He steadfast in extending the hand of Salvation. His name is Salvation. So he makes the body of Adam and the living animals from the Dust of the Earth, and that testifies of his salvific intentions. How is that the case? How does making the body testify that he is a saving God? And that he wills that none

should perish. How does that work? Again, I've answered that question already, I'm just asking it so that you can answer it yourself. Where does the Remembrance fit into all of this? Why is he the rememberer? OK. I asked earlier Page 4, what was Christ specifically exactly remembering. I'm trying to collapse it aren't I? I can't do that, but I'm going to ask it this way again. What was Christ specifically remembering when he made the body of Adam from the Dust of the Earth? The easy answer, of course, is that the best answer Christ is remembering everything I know that. Christ remembered everything, because again, he's the divine rememberer. Which is why I raised [Hebrews 8:12](#), [Jeremiah 31:34](#), and [Jeremiah 50:20](#), last week. For I will forgive their iniquity, and their sin, I will remember no more. What? Then equity of Israel shall be sought, but there shall be none. And the sins of Judah, but they shall not be found, for I will pardon those whom I preserve. I will be merciful to their unrighteousness, and their sins in their lawless deeds. I will remember no more. How does rememberer not remember? That's a great mystery, and that's the one of the central secrets that's hidden there. How can the divine rememberer, forget, not remember the sins of those whom he will pardon? He's gotta know 'em in order to pardon them. And then he says, once their pardon, he doesn't remember them anymore. How can he not remember them? Is the question that's again, Central Secret. A few quick annotations here Israel and Judah. He says Israel and Judah, that's the northern Kingdom and the Davidic Kingdom. It's Jeroboam and The Unnamed Prophet, [1<sup>st</sup> Kings 13](#), I will pardon, whom I preserve, he says, a pardon is a what, what's a pardon? Where do you get a pardon? You get it from an executive, but inside it's a. It's a judicial or statutory. Either it's a ruling. It's a proclamation. Christ goes before the imprisoned fallen Angels. [1<sup>st</sup> Peter 3:19 and 20](#) and [2<sup>nd</sup> Peter 2:4](#), and [Jude 6](#), and [Genesis 6](#). He goes to see them in the interim between his internment and his resurrection, and he announces his verdict to them. Because why he's the judge. His reasons are plenty, but if I gotta elevate one just one reason. Hey, in my opinion, being the most humbler of all the humbler in my opinions here. The one reason would be that he is The Ancient of Days, read his verdict to The Sons of God of [Jude 6](#) and [Genesis 6](#). That's why he went down there to see them. It was to read the verdict. Now there are other aspects of it. I don't disagree, but that if I had to just pick one out and say what is the central? What's the big kahuna here? The big megillah? That would be it. He's going to read his verdict as judge, The Ancient of Days, to the [Genesis 6](#), [Jude 6](#) Angels. Again, the conduct of a judge, [John 5:27 to 30](#), that what he's doing, this is the conduct of a judge. The Father has given the Son the authority to execute judgment. Then it says this, "because he is The Son of Man", "Because he is The Son of Man", he has the authority to execute judgment. Add and it says. Do not Marvel at this for the hour is coming in which all who are in graves will hear his voice and come forth. They will come forth and they will be met by who and all the day is coming up. Not the same day procession anatomy. There's a timeline, all come forward before the judge. Those who have done good and [John 5:24](#), says those who believe Jesus Christ. What he says about himself. That's the context they will come. Those who have believed Jesus Christ will come forth to the resurrection of life and those who have done evil. In other words, the unbelief. They will come forth to the resurrection of condemnation, so there's a resurrection of life and resurrection of condemnation. Those who believe, [John 5:24](#). shall Not come into judgment. For today, just note the universality here of the resurrections, there, everybody is resurrected. There's all resurrection. He is the one who resurrects. There is no resurrected ones. Figure out who is not resurrected. Make a list. As it has nobody on your list. Some are resurrected to life,

some are resurrected to condemnation. Many actually all our resurrection all come before The Judge of All Things, [John 5:22](#), some are preserved and pardoned and he will remember their sins no more. Even though he's The Rememberer, and he's Omniscient and he's outside of time, he's Infinite, and many are condemned so too. OK, gotta move fast now. Really fast. Fortunately, I've only got one more page to go, that means only gonna take a half hour. If I could also elevate a singular reason assigned primacy to the purpose of Christ, as to the construction of the body of Adam, from the Dust of the Earth. Of all the issues, and again he has all of these issues and he mixes them altogether and so there's all of this. Extraordinary mixed matrices here matrix. 3 dimensional chess is what I used to say when I was younger, but this does that even begin. There are three dimensions to it to the Bible that we would expect. What? You forced me to pick one. Why did he? What is the purpose? What's the number one reason that he made the body of Adam from the Dust of the Earth? Of all the issues, which one did Christ, the credit predominates too. In the past, upper attempted to make a list, and then I'd stare at it, I really long list. That's the one. So I tried today. To get it to three. And then you can decide which one you like, but your list might be quite different than mine. Satan cannot cause God to annihilate, that was on my list, cannot do it. There is no annihilation and he cannot make God even remotely annihilate us. Mankind's really proud of themselves. We think we can annihilate things with thermo nuclear bombs, we cannot. Satan cannot cause God to annihilate the mineral, Eden of [Ezekiel 28](#), was flooded, It is in darkness is in chaos. It was unseen or without one photon of light, yet it remained. No one knew it. It may have looked like it was annihilated, but it was not. God always renews. He always resurrects. He always reclaims. It is the lesson of Job. That's why I'm so adamant about Job. At the end of Job, those are not different children, new children, born of a different wife, they're not replacements. Do you see this thing? Why don't I eliminate Israel and build a new Israel thru you Moses in Moses, that, that's a dramatic theodicy that is God presenting this in very situation in a way that humans can understand it. Jobs children are not replacement, they are Job children resurrected. That's how it works. It can only work that way. We see this template again throughout Scripture that the Law of Moses is prophecy fulfilled by Christ. He didn't come to abolish the law or the prophets, but to what? Fulfill them, [Matthew 5:17 through 20](#). The law of the prophets, testify of Christ, he not gonna get rid of them, he doesn't get rid of the, he carries the sacrificial system into the Millennium. Now, there is Life itself, sitting there in the temple. How many animals die? How can they die? No one ever dies around Christ. Look it up. So there's still a sacrificial system of some kind, but it's a memorial system is not what you see in the Old Testament. God does not abolish. He brings forward the ingredients of the past and right. Think of it that way. This is one of the fundamentals of what I would consider intelligent dispensationalism versus crazy dispensationalism. You can see it is always bringing things along. He does he get rid of anything. It's like somebody that, he's a hoarder. That's what he is. That's why he and I never mind. I had a 1972 Pontiac out there with a 455 in it, 454, maybe after thinking about it but it was, it was fantastic. Man that car could move, I loved that car. I could never afford to fix it. Sat in the driveway. We stared at it for years, me and Lori and finally we gave it away to somebody fixed it. I didn't want it to go away. I have jackets that I owned when I was with the railroad, OK so I do have a dysfunctional personality, but it is God like, I'm kidding. Trying to justify that to Lori, she's not listening. OK. He brings forward if you will. He memorializes Jerusalem is going to be Jerusalem, isn't it? Isn't the same Jerusalem isn't always been no. It still has, it has a lot of

similarities, but there are also great differences. It will have a new greater purpose, for example The Tree of Life is in it. So he brings the Tree of Life all the way from [Genesis 2](#), to [Revelation 21](#), he brings Jerusalem, they come forward they are not abolished. The body of Adam, our bodies follow this process, is the same body. Your body will absolutely be resurrected and you'll know it's your body. You'll know it's your friends and your family's body that again, the lesson of Job, you'll know. But it's different in a slightly he makes adjustments. Improvements if you will. He doesn't throw out the Pontiac, he fixes it up. Repaints it, adds new situations, renews capabilities. We're going to judge that officially. Have that out. Element annihilation, #2. We're going to judge the fallen Angels, why? Why are we judging the Fallen Angels. What's the this? Is Angel fall versus man fall? What's the key differences between the fall of Angels in the fall of man? Which the same is the construction of the body Adam referring to the fall of the Angels I guess is a waiter and if it is, how so? Is that the reason he did it? cause it's different. But Angels have swords, they can eat, they can fight, but they're not made from the Dust of the Earth. And they're not in the image of God. What does Sheba and Nineveh have to do with this? Cause there judging the Pharisees aren't they? They're judging Israel, so I have that situation to combine here. Angels always see the face of God. Always see the face of God. Moses saw the face of God face to face. But the angels always do, [Matthew 18:10](#). Angels are not in the image of God, [Hebrews 1:14](#) and *inaudible*. I have to stop there. I didn't give you my full list, I'll do it next week. OK, I won't, I'll bring this one forward into next week. Wow , how does he do it! {fin}

End Transcription @15:31 03.15.2021

Dry Eraser Board



