(7-12-12)

NAZI-DEATH CULTURE ARISING (Part 2) (ORGAN SNATCHERS AND THE BRAIN DEATH LIE)

Last week we studied the holocaust of involuntary euthanasia that has arisen to plague the world. The professed philosophy (and business) of hospice is to do nothing to discourage death:

"The patients at our hospice in western Massachusetts stay with us an average of nine days. Then they die, and we don't try to stop them...Therapy designed to keep the patient 'healthy' or alive is suspended...Painkillers, such as morphine, are administered freely."

(Dick Terisi, *The Undead*)

We have seen, however, that morphine is actually used to promote death (stop the breathing) of so-called terminal patients:

Proverbs 12:10 A righteous man regardeth the life of his beast: but the tender mercies of the wicked are cruel.

A righteous man's regard for life is so strong that it even extends to his animals. But the wicked do not regard life. They are cruel, even when they are professing to be merciful. Dr. Jack Kevorkian called his suicide-machine "the Mercitron." The wicked see the murder of infants in the womb as a "right"; they even say it is "best" for the unborn child!

This culture of death is also manifested in our modern society in other ways. In its professed quest to be "merciful" to certain people who are experiencing organ failure, it is cruel to the victims who have their healthy organs stolen before they have truly died!

In the 1960's, a new term was promoted called "brain death." It was an elaborate deception, designed to redefine death, with no scientific criteria behind it:

"In the same way that babies have been re-labeled 'just an embryo' or 'fetus,' and 'not a person,' to justify 'aborting' [a baby]...a patient who is seen as a prospective donor is re-labeled 'brain dead' so it is easier to kill him and take the organs..."

(Panzer, Stealth Euthanasia: Health Care Tyranny in America, 2011)

It permitted surgeons to keep the heart of a patient (i.e., victim) beating while it is being removed/transplanted. It was the "new death" (defined by a committe of 13 people at Harvard in 1968):

"Prior to 1968, a person was declared dead only when his or her breathing and heart stopped for a sufficient period of time...The body of a **truly dead** person is characterized in terms of dissolution, destruction, disintegration and putrefaction. There is an absence of vital body functions and the destruction of the organs of the vital systems...the dead body is cold, stiff and unresponsive to all stimuli."

(Dr. Paul A. Byrne, www.cfnews.org/ByrneInterview.htm)

There was too little outcry among Christians. Churches (perhaps to keep their tax-exempt status) were largely silent (or else they were in the dark). Physicians who objected were largely left to face this new culture of death alone, to no avail. In 1970, Kansas became the first state to legalize "brain death" for organ transplants. In 1973, abortion was legalized:

"Death, in a variety of names and means exploded throughout the country." (LifeGuardianFoundation.org)

The Aztecs had a sick, superstitious ceremony where they would burn their victim, but remove his heart *before he died* to offer to their fire god so that the lives of others would be spared. Today, our whole society is being given-up to a reprobate mind (Romans 1), as it falls into a deadly paganism disguised as "progress."

By 1981, a Commission organized by President Jimmy Carter (with the AMA), sought to "define death" (re-define it!) and update traditional, legal standards of death by further promoting the new "brain death" concept to the states. What was set in motion by President Carter is now at a full run under President Obama:

"In one more anticipation of things to come, Obama's HHS begins a program to harvest organs from patients in emergency rooms...Barack Obama...promises that his health care plan will protect the weak and vulnerable. This would be a little bit more credible if his policies weren't already killing and exploiting them. He considers aborting unborn children 'health care,' has authorized the use of tax dollars for the exploitation of embryos in lab experiments, and his Department of Health and Human Services is now pushing the grotesque practice of harvesting organs from urgent-care patients in emergency rooms. According to the Washington Post, taxpayers are now financing...a pilot program at the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center-Presbyterian Hospital and Allegheny General Hospital in Pittsburgh to obtain organs from emergency room patients...The goal of the project, reports the paper, is to 'investigate whether it is feasible and, if so, to encourage other hospitals nationwide to follow'...It seems to accept uncritically the bogus definitions of death as 'brain death' and 'cardiac death' that the medical community uses to take organs from the dying but not dead. (Organs from cadavers are useless, so the medical community had to come up with the convenient lies of death as 'brain death' and 'cardiac death' to pluck usable organs from the living.)...more than ever it raises the specter of doctors preying on dying patients for their organs...Even liberal-leaning bioethicists find this practice unseemly...'...It's ghoulish'...'Imagine you have a 20-year-old inner-city kid who gets shot. Twenty minutes later, a family member comes in and says, 'What happened?' They're told, 'We tried to save him but couldn't, and he had an organ donor card so we took an organ'...'You can imagine they're going to think, 'Did you really do everything you could to save him?'...for the proponents of this program, taking organs from the dying in an emergency room is no different than taking organs from the near-dead in other parts of the hospital, which is what already happens, as the story acknowledges...This practice is new in location but not principle. Nevertheless, it is a grim accomplishment for the Obama administration...Americans have been bombarded with the propaganda that Obamacare will protect the weak from the strong. But the Brave New World philosophy beneath it, which comes to the surface in programs like these, guarantees that the most vulnerable will be exploited by the strong, with the federal government's full approval."

(George Neumayr, The American Spectator, March 18, 2010)

Of course, this culture of death is not only being promoted by Presidents. Popes (and other religious leaders) have endorsed the "brain death" agenda (in the face of some opposition):

"The culture of organ donation received a boost...when, at a six-day congress on organ transplantation, Pope John Paul II encouraged the removal of vital organs from dead patients as a genuine act of love to save the lives of others...In the Pope's words, the fact that the means of determining the moment of death has shifted from the traditional cardio-respiratory signs to the 'neurological criterion'...'does not seem to conflict with the essential elements of a sound anthropology'...some 120 signatories from 19 countries - including scientists, philosophers, judges, attorneys, clergy, pro-family leaders, and disability-rights and pro-life advocates of varying political and religious persuasions - have forwarded to the Pope what constitutes the largest international public statement in history in opposition to the 'brain death' criterion...the statement argues that, 'In fact, 'brain death' is not death, and death ought not to be declared unless the entire brain and the respiratory and circulatory systems have been destroyed...For vital organs to be suitable for transplantation, however, they must be living organs - and that requires removal from living human beings...One of the signatories, American physician Dr. Paul A. Byrne, has coauthored a new book, Beyond Brain Death: The Case Against Brain Based Criteria for Human Death, which was released by Netherlands-based publisher Kluwer Academic at the very moment that country was busy decriminalizing euthanasia...Dr. Byrne goes on to explain that, while a vital organ is being removed, there is blood pressure, the body temperature is being regulated, the heart is beating, and the person is passing urine. In short, the donor is still alive. 'Brain death is a lie,' he argues. 'One shouldn't use the word dying because one is either alive or one is dead.' And there have been documented cases of people, he points out, who have fully recovered after being declared brain dead...the only type of organ transplants that are acceptable, according to Dr. Byrne, are those that do not cause death - for instance, when a person offers up one of two healthy kidneys, or a lobe of his lung or liver, or donates tissue, such as bone marrow, which can be removed after death has occurred...A person who is dying is still alive, even a moment before death, and must be treated as such."

(Alberto Carosa, Invasion of the Organ Snatchers)

People who are determined to be "brain dead" often respond to surgical incisions. In other words, they feel pain - just as infants in the womb feel pain (i.e., these are unwelcomed facts to pro-death advocates).

AWAKENED OUT OF "BRAIN DEATH":

The parents of Karen Ann Quinlan one approval to turn off her respirator in 1976. She then continued breathing, and lived for another ten years.

Many people who have been labeled "brain dead" have awakened:

"It turns out some may have been misdiagnosed and may be more aware than previously thought...Dr. Nicholas Schiff, a neurologist at Weill Cornell Medical Center, says though rare, he's seen other startling recoveries and believes Don Herbert's story [communicating after ten years] should be a wake-up call for doctors...'There have been some recent studies looking to see what the misdiagnosis rate was, and they come up with a number of 40 percent,' Dr. Schiff says...Dr. Adrian Owen, a neuroscientist from the Medical Research Council in Cambridge,

England...stunned the scientific world when he discovered that a woman who met the diagnostic criteria for being vegetative could actually respond to a command with her mind...'we had no way of knowing beforehand that not only was she not vegetative, she was entirely consciously aware,' Owen says..."

(CBS News, Awakenings: Return To Life, 60 Minutes; February 11, 2009)

"There will be few who didn't shiver when they heard the story of Rom Houben, a 46-year old Belgian man believed to be in a coma for over 20 years, who it has now emerged was conscious the whole time...How many other people are out there, imprisoned by their own bodies?...After a near-fatal car crash in 1983, doctors presumed Houben was in a vegetative state, in which he could feel or hear nothing. But recently Steven Laureys, a leading coma researcher at the University of Liège in Belgium, discovered using a brain scan that Houben was completely conscious, just paralysed..."

(New Scientist, Nov. 2009)

"A 21-year-old man has awakened from a coma just hours before doctors were ready to shut off life support and take his organs for donation purposes. Sam Schmid, an Arizona college student who was thought to be brain dead, recovered from injuries sustained in an automobile accident in October just hours before he was slated to be killed and his organs given to other patients...Schmid told ABCNews.com, 'I feel fine. I'm in a wheelchair, but I am getting lots of help.'"

(http://www.lifenews.com, Dec. 21, 2011)

"They were told there was no chance of their son surviving after he suffered devastating injuries in a car crash. But Steven Thorpe's parents refused to give up hope - **despite four specialists declaring that the 17-year-old was brain dead**. Convinced they saw a 'flicker' of life as Steven lay in a coma, John and Janet Thorpe rejected advice to switch off his life support machine. They begged for another opinion - and it was a decision that saved him. A neurosurgeon found faint signs of brain activity and two weeks later, Steven woke from his coma. Within seven weeks, he had left hospital. And four years on, the trainee accounts clerk says he owes everything to the persistence of his parents."

(http://blog.beliefnet.com/watchwomanonthewall/2012/04/brain-dead-boy-rescued-from-organ-harvesting-doctors-recovers-fully.html)

Thorpe's parents were asked to donate his organs. One doctor responded to his recovery by saying that he was astonished and worried that this may happen more often than we know. It was claimed that this patient had "irreversible damage." Numerous other cases could be listed.

WHAT IS DEATH ACCORDING TO THE BIBLE?:

The Bible gives us everything we need to evaluate these issues (2 Peter 1:3; 2 Timothy 3:16-17). It defines death for us:

James 2:26 For as the body without the spirit is dead, so faith without works is dead also.

But how do we know that the spirit is gone, since it is intangible? Surely a machine made by man is unable to detect whether a person's spirit is still within his/her body. Death is a spiritual event, but it does have biological consequences. Notice one primary, biological sign of death:

Job 34:14 If he set his heart upon man, if he gather unto himself his spirit and his breath; 15 All flesh shall perish together, and man shall turn again unto dust.

The *permanent* absence of breath is one sign of death:

1 Kings 17:17 And it came to pass after these things, that the son of the woman, the mistress of the house, fell sick; and his sickness was so sore, that **there was no breath left in him**.

22 And the LORD heard the voice of Elijah; and the soul of the child came into him again, and he revived.

Psalms 104:29 Thou hidest thy face, they are troubled: thou **takest away their breath**, **they die**, and return to their dust.

Psalms 146:4 His breath goeth forth, he returneth to his earth; in that very day his thoughts perish.

1 Samuel 25:37 But it came to pass in the morning, when the wine was gone out of Nabal, and his wife had told him these things, that **his heart died** within him, and **he became as a stone**.

38 And it came to pass about ten days after, that the LORD smote Nabal, that he died.

The permanent, dual absence of breath *and circulation* are signs to us that the spirit has left the body and a person has died:

Leviticus 17:11 For the life of the flesh is in the blood...

Revelation 16:3 And the second angel poured out his vial upon the sea; and it became **as the blood of a dead man**...

Respiration is a dual task of the lungs and the circulation of blood. The respiratory system supplies the blood with oxygen, and the blood delivers this oxygen throughout the body. The Bible (many centuries before modern science) links the life of a person to both breath and the blood:

"...we are opposed to removing a vital organ from someone, who, if he or she is not yet dead, he or she will certainly be dead after the organ has been removed. We are also opposed to research on those determined to be dead based on cessation of brain function, but otherwise alive...John Kirstein...(a human organ expert) at Calgary's Foothills Hospital is quoted as saying, 'We have to take the heart from a physically living person'...the term 'brain dead' has been manipulated to convey something radically different from the traditional meaning of death...the heart is still beating. There is recordable blood pressure...the knee jerk is present. The color is normal...Determined to be dead but treated as alive...He is turned to prevent bedsores...For an organism as complicated as the human body, it is not valid to identify death based on the absence of functions in only one organ...'Using semantic deception to distort reality is a tactic heavily used by the proabortion forces (e.g.

'Termination of Pregnancy' to divert attention away from the unborn baby). The effort to force 'death' to mean at law something it has never meant before, in either law or common language, is an especially sinister attempt to divert attention from the truth...In organ transplantation, all that is useful to technical success must [submit] to that which is ethically permissible."

(Larry Heather, "Death: Fact or Fiction, Organ Transplants and the Brain Dead"; *Journal of Biblical Ethics in Medicine*)

TEXAS LEADS THE WAY IN ORGAN HARVESTING!:

"...presumed consent has been credited with increasing organ donations in Europe...transplant activists have been particularly vocal in Texas [with a 2003 bill that failed]...Texas is home to the Presumed Consent Foundation..."

(http://www.ama-assn.org/amednews/2003/04/28/prsd0428.htm)

This means that they want to force people to have to actively "opt out" of organ donation - otherwise, their consent is presumed. This (and more) is what is coming unless people wake up (no pun intended!).

However, Texas is already home to some terrible laws concerning brain death and organ harvesting:

"A good many countries of Europe have incorporated implied consent of a dead person to justify the harvest and use of organs...Missouri requires 'clear and convincing' evidence of a patient's intentions. **Texas** law does not..."

(The Medical Determination of Death, http://www.neurosurgery.tv/braindeath.htm)

"Some people won't donate organs because they are afraid organ transplant doctors might accidently take their organs while they are still alive. That's just silly. They do take the organs while you are still alive alright, but it is no accident. It is done on purpose. The only way vital organs can be used for transplants is to take them from people whose hearts are still beating...It may be one of the most carefully disguised facts in all of modern medicine. If you have signed an organ donor card and are chosen for vital organ transplantation, you will be alive when the organs are taken (but not for long.) Perhaps you are comforted by the fact you will be declared dead. Don't be. Perhaps you think there is some universal and rational standard for defining 'brain death.' There isn't...Definitions of brain death are deliberately skewed to lean towards a finding of brain death...It is important to note that, for example, 'Texas law does not mandate any particular test for the diagnosis of brain death'...In other words, death is whatever 'the experts' want it to be (and they don't have to be all that 'experty')...The medical community has known all along it was putting forth a fraud."

(David Shedlock, "Dick Cheney's Organ Donor Was Still Alive When His Heart Was Cut Out!")

"[Texas] law allows corneas to be removed if medical examiners are not aware of objections by relatives of the deceased, but it does not require medical examiners to ask the relatives whether they object...A separate state law allows a medical examiner to permit removal of the heart, lung and other organs and tissues if the family cannot be contacted within four hours after death..."

(Ralph K.M. Haurwitz, Austin American-Statesman, Aug, 18, 2002)

Therefore, it is Texas law that organs may be removed from an unidentified person within four hours!

Remember, that "death" can legally be defined as "brain death" (not really death). This is already occurring:

"On the morning of July 22, 1999, Fort Worth, Texas police found an unconscious, unidentified man lying in a field in the far southeastern corner of the city. Upon examination at John Peter Smith Hospital, doctors discovered that 'John Doe' was suffering from bleeding and swelling on the brain, though his body showed no signs of trauma....the LifeGift Organ Donation Center sought a court order authorizing the coroner to release all organs from the man's body. In its petition, LifeGift cited a Texas law allowing organs to be harvested from unidentified persons under the coroner's jurisdiction, provided a four-hour search is conducted for the next of kin...a team of surgeons removed John Doe's heart, liver, pancreas, intestines, kidneys, and remaining lung. Four days after the organ removal, a technician...discovered that John Doe was actually Arthur Forge Jr. of Fort Worth...Forge's nephew had filed a missing persons report with the Fort Worth Police Department on Monday, July 20, two days before Forge's body was found and a full four days before the organ harvesting. At the time, Fort Worth Police officials could not explain why their fingerprint analysis was unsuccessful, nor could they offer any reason for their failure to check the missing persons list..."

(Maryellen Liddy, "The New Body Snatchers," Fordham Urban Law Journal, 2000)

Perhaps this is occurring more often than we realize. They have already given legal-immunity to all those involved in the horrible process of organ harvesting:

"A new **Texas law** (HB 80) gives **immunity** to individuals who act in good faith and make a **reasonable effort** to locate and contact the member or members of the highest priority class who are available at or near the time of death of the donor."

(http://www.cga.ct.gov/2002/rpt/2002-R-0050.htm)

It is therefore crucial in Texas to have some form of identification for every member of a family (while we pray to never be in a situation to need it). It is also important to state clearly our opposition and will against transplanting vital organs from living people. Let us open our mouths in the public forum for the people who are being victimized by this great evil. Many people who donate organs out of generosity, have not been properly informed. The propaganda has worked to blind the eyes of the public from the issues:

Proverbs 22:3 A prudent man foreseeth the evil, and hideth himself: but the simple pass on, and are punished.