

Myths of the Black Hebrew Israelites: Theological Racism, Black King James Only

sermonaudio.com

Black Hebrew Israelites

By Larry Wessels

Bible Text: Galatians 3:28-29; 1 Corinthians 6:9-11

Preached on: Wednesday, July 26, 2017

Christian Answers of Austin, Texas

9009 Martha's Drive

Austin, TX 78717

Website: www.biblequery.org

Online Sermons: www.sermonaudio.com/christiananswers

If you would like a free newsletter on this or other subjects, just give us a call at Christian Answers. The phone number is (512) 218-8022. Or you can email us at cdebater@aol.com.

Christian Answers
of Austin, Texas
presents

The Cult of the Black Hebrew Israelites
A Biblical Analysis

with guest
Charles Woods

and host
Larry Wessels
Director – Christian Answers

Larry Wessels. Other videos available on related subjects on our YouTube channel pertaining to the video presentation made here are "Divine Curse of the Hebrew Roots Movement, Replacing Jesus with Old Testament Jewish Torah." See also our playlist called "Dealing with Black Muslims, Louis Farrakhan, Nation of Islam," with 41 videos. Also, "Black Lives Matter Founder likes Convicted Cop Killer and Terrorist, Assata Shakur, Not the Bible." And, "Black Genocide. 16 million Blacks Butchered by Mothers, Planned Parenthood tied to Ku Klux Klan." This video has over 148,000 viewings.

Video.

"The relationship to Galatians 5, verse 19, 'Now the works of the flesh are manifest which are these: adultery.' What is adultery? That is breaking the law. 'Fornication.' Breaking the law. Read. 'Uncleanness.' Breaking the law. Read. 'Lasciviousness.' Breaking the law. Read. 'Idolatry.' Breaking

the law. Read. 'Witchcraft.' Breaking the law. Read. 'Hatred.' Breaking the law. Read. 'Variance.' Breaking the law. Read. 'Emulations.' You see, the works of the flesh are everything that is contrary to the law. So the works of the Spirit are you keep the law but also that's why Paul was emphasizing the spirit over letter, right? Because look at this, the law says thou shalt not commit adultery, right? But if I'm looking at your woman and I'm thinking about it, I did it, meaning that's the spirit of the law versus the letter. So the law isn't just physically doing it but what comes out of a man is what makes him unclean. Do you see what I'm saying? So it's not just changing your actions, it's changing, being born again."

Video.

"Robbing and raping the Native Americans has forced us to accept this image here, accept this image or die, so this is a false image. This is not the image of Christ, not according to history and not according to the Bible. Do you understand? So now, Christ was a Jew and he was black. What color does that make the rest of the Jews, the Israelites? There you go. Because we look it up, right, Christ lived in Israel, right? On what continent does Israel sit on? On what continent's tectonic plate does Israel sit on? Africa. Israel is technically northeast Africa, a land where there were always people of color. So what makes you think Christ and the rest of the Jews would be white people? It's impossible. It doesn't make any sense. That's falsified history, do you understand? So when you look up Christ and the ancient Israelites, they were always men of color. That's why we are out here, we're just letting our people know, blacks, Hispanics, that you are descendants of the original Hebrew Israelite. This man who calls himself a Jew was never, is not now and never will be a Jew."

Video.

(unintelligible)

"We stand up for the word of the Lord and we stand up for what we believe in and it's a racist thing (unintelligible)

The second epistle of Paul the apostle to the Thessalonians, chapter 1, verse 2, 'God, seeing it is a righteous thing with God to recompense tribulation to them that trouble us.' Here you Black and Hispanic men, that's who you are. (unintelligible)"

Video

"I have a question: was this good? What do you think about it? (unintelligible) What do you think about this? Take a picture. (unintelligible) Was this a good thing or a bad thing? Come and look. Come closer. It's awful. Really? So how do you think about it? So you understand our frustration in anything that come with this, right? (unintelligible)"

Video
(unintelligible)

Video
"You are beneath us, okay? (unintelligible)
He created every nation on the earth but he didn't create every nation to serve him, he only created one nation to serve him. (unintelligible)

Joel 2:27, 'And ye shall know that I am in the midst of Israel and that I am the Lord, your God, and none else.' So when I say to you that the God of the Bible is the God of Israel (unintelligible)

'God is racist, that came out of your lips.' (unintelligible)

I'm saying what the Bible says. (unintelligible)"

Video
"Isaiah 66:15, here it comes. 'For behold, the Lord will come with fire and with his chariots like a whirlwind to render his anger.' The Lord is coming back with anger.

His question was about the swine, right? Is there a prophecy about eating pig? (unintelligible)

Isaiah 66:17, come on. Isaiah 66:17, 'They that sanctify themselves.' There's that word again, sanctify. Did you hear that? They that sanctify themselves, 'and purify themselves in the garden.' (unintelligible)

'Behind one tree in the midst eating swine's flesh.' Eating what? 'Swine's flesh.' Come on. 'And the abomination and the mouse.' Like the mouse is possum stew. Some of you are familiar with that, possum. Some of us in the South just eat that. Read.

'Shall be consumed together.' What does it mean shall be consumed together? Shall be consumed. The word 'consumed' what does it mean? Destroyed. Destroyed. Destroyed. Do you understand that? Read it again.

Larry. "There is neither Jew nor Greek, there is neither bond nor free, there is neither male nor female; for ye are all one in Christ Jesus. And if ye be Christ's, then are ye Abraham's seed, and heirs according to the promise."

Greetings and welcome once again to our program. I'm Larry Wessels, your host. I'm the Director of Christian Answers and I want to thank you for being with us here today. In the studio I have a very special guest, Charles Woods. It's good to see you, Charles. Charles is soon to be a deacon at Corinth Missionary Baptist Church here in Austin, Texas. And Charles is also a fan of the Reformed African American Network, they

feature one of my favorite theologians, Voddie Baucham. You can't go wrong with that. So we'll talk more about stuff like that as we go through this show here but our topic today is "Black Hebrew Israelites." This is a subject that is making it more and more into the news. I've actually run into a few of them at my place of employment. In fact, speaking of place of employment, that directly relates to why Charles is here in studio with me because I happen for the last 31 years have worked at the post office on a night shift and how long has your dad worked at the post office?

Charles Woods. 30 years maybe? Something like that.

Larry. So I've probably known him almost the whole 30, I've been there 31 so if he's only been there 30, I've known him for the whole 30 years that he has been there. He's got a great dad. His name is Milton Woods. In fact, I originally asked him to be on the show but he said, "Look, my son Charles is much better than I am. Put him on." Anyway, Bill is a great Christian man that I know at the post office and he goes to High Point Baptist Church. It's an excellent church. I've been there before as a visitor and I've actually seen your dad over there when I have gone to visit. And in fact, our church, Day Spring Fellowship which is my home church, actually prays for High Point Baptist Church, especially they've got one of their pastors that is named Josh and he comes over as a guest preacher for our church every now and then so there is a kindred relationship there between those churches.

Now at the high recommendation of someone's point of view that I highly respect, Milton Woods recommended that Charles come in here today and help me with these programs and when I talked to him on the phone before this, I realized very quickly that Charles is very articulate and intelligent and that's what we like to have around here. We try to have an articulate and intelligent discussion on the subjects we are trying to talk about from a biblical Christian perspective.

So dealing with this Black Hebrew Israelites situation, at the post office there are a few of those types that I have run into down at work, and it's amazing to talk to them. I can tell you a little bit more about that but I want to get Charles in here because he is my special guest. Have you ever run into people like this?

Charles. I've run into one and they love to talk real loud, another thing when they are trying to do their version of apologetics or defending their faith and it offends me because it's theologically, it's racist. It's theological racism and there are many ethnicities have their own theological racist systems because, I would say Mormonism is theologically racist. As far as Black Hebrew Israelites, it's all theologically racist. The Nation of Islam is theologically racist. So Black Hebrew Israelites is maybe a little different, at least the ones I've seen or the few I've seen like on YouTube. It appears to be, the ones I've seen or actually maybe a couple, the ones I have seen are very disenfranchised African-Americans searching for a theology that they are significant. And I think that's one of Satan's hooks, that you are significant but warped, that you are not just significant, you are better than everybody else. And he might twist the Scriptures to try to affirm that, he get you hooked and he reels you in. So that's kind of how I see Black Hebrew Israelites.

There is so much variety in it. They are not all the same. It's kind of hard to nail anything down because there is so much of a mixture.

Larry. But the tie among them all is that theological racism that you just mentioned so articulately, and I couldn't agree with you more on that situation. So we're going to discuss today and analyze from a biblical perspective because we ourselves here, Charles and I, are not racist. In fact, it's impossible to be a born-again, true, Bible believing Christian and be a racist because the Scripture makes it impossible for you to be a racist because, as I mentioned at the beginning of the show from Galatians 3:28 and 29, "there is neither bond nor free, male or female, we are all one in Christ," and God hates the proud. You can't be proud when you're just a lost sinner that was saved by the grace of God.

Charles. So I do want to kind of make a slight commentary to that. So we have even in church history those who have been racist but do hold to the same beliefs that we do and there is opportunity to repent of that. So I don't want to throw out the baby with the bathwater. There is no such thing as a born-again believer that has racist tendencies and needs to confess sin and be further in their progress of sanctification. That's something I wanted, a little bit of a balance.

Larry. I remember when I got saved, born-again, supernatural act of God back on May 16, 1981 in my in-laws' living room, that first – you talk about sanctification, I was, you know, you don't start out just being Holy Joe right away, you've got to work out, there are all kinds of things that you've got in your life because you've been in all this sin and it took me a long time to clean up my language, clean up a lot of the stuff I was into back then to where I can try to have a life that is more presentable to a holy God. God expects us to live holy and be holy as he is holy and you're not going to do that cussing like a sailor and having eyes full of adultery and all the other things that the world does. You know, 1 Corinthians 6, there is a whole litany of evil sins right in there where those people aren't going to inherit the kingdom of God if they are going to continue. So because God is holy as it says in Revelation, "Holy, holy, holy, is the Lord God Almighty." Even Isaiah in Isaiah 6 when he saw the Lord high and lifted up, he immediately realized how unholy he was. Even his mouth was unclean.

So there is this process, as you mentioned, of sanctification where we've really got to work to get and fight that old man, that old man of sin that never really goes away but you can suppress him as hard as you can, and that battle goes out throughout your life. But praise God, I've been a saved Christian now for 35 years as we videotape this thing, so 35 years of fighting in sin gives you some good practice, better than when you first start. When you first start, you're just trying to, you're a rookie and you're trying to do the best you can and it takes time to get that sanctification worked out. So I totally agree with what you just said there.

Okay now, what I've got is an outline that goes into the beliefs of the Black Hebrew Israelites and I'm just pretty much going to read down this outline I have here before me. You at home will see what I'm reading on the screen and then as I go through this,

Charles will be throwing in any comments he will want to make depending on what's being said at the time and we'll just discuss and go through this outline for the duration of the show until we're done. Hopefully by the time we are through, people will have a good understanding of what this is in case they run into these people and how to biblically deal with them from a Christian point of view.

All right, let me start here. You can see it on your screen. We've got the Black Hebrew Israelites. It says

Founder: Various leaders for different sects. [Which is basically what Charles was saying, there is just a bunch of different groups with all kinds of leaders forming in different camps that they have within that group.] First started in the United States was before the Civil War.

Headquarters: Various major cities across the United States with state chapters. There does not appear to be a central headquarter of leadership for the movement.

Membership: Approximately 200,000 among the dozens of offshoot branches.

Approximately 50,000 Black Hebrews, while the number who follow some form of Judaism (broadly defined) could be up to 200,000. There is a vast amount of diversity amongst various groups who claim to be descendants from the ancient Israelites. It is difficult to distinguish between all the various offshoots and movements within the broad movement of "Black Jewish Identity." Therefore, the range of possible adherents could be between 40,000-200,000.

Origins: One sect originated before the Civil War. There was another sect founded later in 1896, the Church of God and Saints of Christ, by William Crowdy. In the 1980s other sects began to appear, such as those lead by Yahweh Ben Yahweh (1935 - 2007), or Hulon Mitchell, Jr. There are a number of other sects of this broad Hebrew Israelite movement known as the Commandment Keepers, The Law Keepers, House of Judah, and the African Hebrew Israelites of Jerusalem, just to name a few.

Any comments on that or does that just sound pretty routine?

Charles. Honestly, I agree. I mean, like I said, there are so many varieties there it's kind of hard to nail down one specific camp, but this one is different over here, this one is different over here so it's kind of difficult to nail them down. But there is still a commonality among them.

Larry. And one of those commonalities could be like Judaism, keeping Old Testament commandments and laws.

Charles. The commonality that I've seen is they will hold to only the King James version of the Bible. I mean, you can't read like the NASB, that's my favorite, or the NIV. I mean, they just stick strictly to the King James, at least the ones I've seen, they only speak from the King James. And 2, again, they view themselves as the original Hebrews and online some will say like, white folks can't even be saved or some say they can if they repent. Again, there is so much variety there so it's kind of hard to nail down.

Larry. Yeah. That's well said. You just brought up something about this King James Bible thing and I wanted to get this plugged in now while you're making me think about it. I find it interesting that Black Hebrew Israelites use the King James Bible and the reason is they believe King James was black.

Charles. Yeah. I have no answer for that one. I don't know how they come up with that one.

Video. "Do you see this black man, that's King James. Is that a white man? Do you see that fro? Brother, this ain't no deep information, brother. People just go off of word of mouth. This ain't no deep information. Read. 'King James version, 47 of the best Hebrew and Greek scholars of the day were divided into six groups, three for the Old Testament, two for the New, and one for the Apocrypha.' King James was a king. He's not an author, he's an authorizer. Our people don't know the difference between an author and an authorizer. An authorizer is the person that gives you permission to do something, the authority. The author is the person who actually wrote it. He was not the author, he was the king at that time that authorized a proper version of the Bible to be written because this man created so many different versions to keep our people asleep. They've been pulling that trick for a long time, playing around with the Bible. But once again, God put the Spirit on him to do it so now we have the King James version. What colors are in the Bible which weren't there before. They play around all with the Scriptures, brother."

Video. "Well, I would say most of the lies concerning King James and to have our brothers and sisters understand the agenda behind smearing King James's name. Usually if you want to destroy any part of literature or anything that could have any level of validity, you must first try to tear down the core components of how the literature was compiled. So you notice that when you hear King James, especially amongst our people, I think they aim to lie to us on purpose to get us out of the Bible. But when I was growing up in the black neighborhoods and you mentioned King James, the first thing someone would say is, 'Well, he was a homosexual. He was a homosexual, he had sex with his mother, blah blah blah.' They made him out to be the worst person who has ever lived and come to find out that the majority of people made these statements didn't do any research concerning Captain James. They were just repeating what was

told to them. They didn't have any concrete proof but nonetheless they believed it, okay?

So the question is why would they want our people to believe that King James was this tyrant, this evil homosexual who had sex with his mother? And here it is, I noticed that the propaganda machine that controls rumors and innuendos would normally clear up any level of improprieties concerning people they respect. Why did the British monarchy who are supposed to be Christians, why haven't they done any spin to clean up King James amongst the society? Don't they want everyone to respect the Bible? Most people, some people, especially our people, will deny the Bible, that we believe that it was penned or the authority of the book was some sinner, some evil man, so wouldn't they want to clean that up to bring everyone into this Judeo-Christian way of life and respect the Bible? No, because you're going to find out during this particular lesson they are the ones, the British monarchy, the Roman Catholic Church, the European Romans, they are the ones who created the lie knowing that in the end times God's people would begin to cleave to their book. So they had to smear someone who was attached to its compilation.

I have a book here, 'King James VI and the I, and the Reunion of Christendom,' which is a part of Cambridge Studies, and we know Cambridge University is one of the most prestigious colleges in the world. So Cambridge University tells us something different. Oh yeah, King James VI and the I, and look at that brother right there. He looks black. He don't look white to me. Oh, you mean to tell me something is going on here. So you mean to tell me all those white images were lies?

Now, that opens up a whole new can of worms, don't it? If King James was a black man who ruled in Europe, how did he get there and why didn't the British monarchy and the Christian pastors in our church tell us that King James, the man who authorized the translation of the King James version Bible, was black? That changes everything. If King James was a black man and in the same book that was authorized which was translated out of the original tongue speaks of black people in the Scriptures and speaks of a black Christ in Revelation in the first chapter, then that would have the slaves look at the Bible entirely different. No longer could they lie to us and claim that the Bible is a white man's book. As a matter of fact, it would break us away from the ideology that the Bible, that Christ was a white man, that God is white."

Larry. It doesn't take much to repeat the Black Hebrew Israelites' ridiculous claims that King James was black by showing a few black and white portraits which really don't prove a thing, not to mention, family ethnic lines within the royal line for the throne of England.

The source material here is from Wikipedia. "Personal relationships of James VI and I" who is actually just King James. Those are some of his titles.

"The personal relationships of James VI and I included relationships with his male courtiers and his marriage to Anne of Denmark, with whom he fathered children. The influence his favourites had on politics, and the resentment at the wealth they acquired, became major political issues during his reign.

Growing up, James (b. 1566) did not know his parents — his father, Henry Stuart, Lord Darnley, was murdered in 1567, and his mother, Mary, Queen of Scots, was forced to flee when she married the suspected murderer, James Hepburn, 4th Earl of Bothwell. His maternal grandparents died before he was born; his paternal grandfather was killed in a skirmish while James was still a boy, and his paternal grandmother lived in England. - Wikipedia."

Larry. This also from Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia.

"James was the son of Mary, Queen of Scots, and a great great grandson of Henry the VII, King of England, and the Lord of Ireland, positioning him to eventually accede to all three thrones."

That being the kingdoms of Scotland, England and Ireland. This also from Wikipedia

"Robert Carr, 1st Earl of Somerset

A few years later after the controversy over his relationship with Lennox faded away he began a relationship with Robert Carr. In 1607, at a royal jousting contest, the 17-year-old Carr, the son of Sir Thomas Carr or Kerr of Ferniehurst, was knocked from a horse and broke his leg. According to Thomas Howard, 1st Earl of Suffolk, James fell in love with the young man, and as the years progressed showered Carr with gifts, Carr was made a gentleman of the bedchamber and he was noted for his handsome appearance as well as his limited intelligence; he was also made a Knight of the Garter, a Privy Counsellor and Viscount Rochester. His downfall came through Frances Howard, a beautiful young married woman. Upon Rochester's request, James stacked a court of bishops that would allow her to divorce her husband in order to marry Rochester. As a wedding present Rochester was created Earl of Somerset."

The source for the following information can be found at the etb-history-theology.blogspot.com.

"King James was Gay

How many folks know that King James (who commissioned the King James Bible and to whom it was dedicated) loved men and had sex with them? At the age of thirteen James fell madly in love with his male cousin Esmé Stuart whom he made Duke of Lennox. James deferred to Esmé to the consternation of his ministers. In 1582 James was kidnapped and forced to issue a proclamation against his lover and send him back to France.

Later, James fell in love with a poor young Scotsman named Robert Carr. "The king leans on his [Carr's] arm, pinches his cheeks, smooths his ruffled garment, and when he looks upon Carr, directs his speech to others."—Thomas Howard, Earl of Suffolk, in a letter, 1611.

Carr eventually ended the relationship after which the king expressed his dissatisfaction in a letter to Carr, "I leave out of this reckoning your long creeping back and withdrawing yourself from lying in my chamber, notwithstanding my many hundred times earnest soliciting you to the contrary...Remember that (since I am king) all your being, except your breathing and soul, is from me." (See *The Letters of King James I & VI*, ed., G. P. V. Akrigg, Univ. of Calif. Press, 1984. Also see *Royal Family, Royal Lovers: King James of England and Scotland*, David M. Bergeron, Univ. of Missouri Press, 1991)—Skip Church

King James' favorite male lovers were the Earl of Somerset and the Duke of Buckingham.—Ben Edward Akerly, *The X-rated Bible*

James's sexual orientation was so widely known that Sir Walter Raleigh joked about it in public saying "King Elizabeth" had been succeeded by "Queen James."—Catherine D. Bowen, *The Lion and the Throne*

James, aged thirteen, was completely starstruck by these new arrivals. After being brought up by dour Presbyterians and a rough-hewn bunch of nobles, he suddenly appeared from the schoolroom to find a group of charming, well-traveled, well-educated and attractive men. He was fascinated by them, welcoming his release from the Reformist nobility's stranglehold. The attraction of these personable and worldly courtiers was a breath of fresh air, and they quickly played on his sensibilities. These new 'favorites' were the key to free him from the shackles of the Kirk and his schoolroom. Within a month of Esmé's arrival, James had agreed to leave Stirling and to take his place at Holyrood, where Esmé reorganized the Court and his household on the French model.

There was more to James's relationship with these favorites than kicking against his religious upbringing. Their charisma provided a sensual stimulus for him that he was not to enjoy with his interfering and insensitive wife, Anne of Denmark, when they married in 1589. They

provided the glamour that he lacked, and there can be little doubt that his homosexuality stemmed from his early attraction to the androgynous Esmé. Well experienced in Court circles in France, Esmé took advantage of the sexual overtures of this vulnerable adolescent, twenty-four years his junior. James would openly clasp him in his arms to kiss him, shocking the Reformist clergy, who saw that Esmé 'went about to draw the King to carnal lust,' while James showered him with offices and presents. By March 1580, the English ambassador, Bowes, was telling Elizabeth that Esmé was 'called to be one of the secret counsel, and carryeth the sway in court.' By September 'few or none will openly withstand anything that he would have forward.' —Esme Stuart, 1st Duke of Lennox.

King James I was a known homosexual who murdered his young lovers and victimized countless heretics and women. His cruelty was justified by his 'divine right' of kings.—Otto J. Scott, James the First."

Larry. Now that's why I bring this up, if people look on their screen, you can see there I've got a recommendation for people viewing this to go on the internet and look up these particular shows that you can find on the internet. It says here, "For more on the Black Hebrew Israelites see the following: Vocab Malone and Hebrew Israelism: Mega Edition with Calls," and there is your link. And "Full Debate with GoCC Elder Rawchaa Shayar on the Dividing Line," (Debate with a Black Hebrew Israelite versus Dr. James White of Alpha & Omega Ministries) and there is your link.

Both those shows are "Dividing Line" shows by one of my favorite Christian apologists out there alive in the world today. In fact, I got to go on a cruise with him in 2012. It was a Christian apologetics cruise and so that was kind of cool. I had never been on a cruise in my life before so I said, "Let me go and hang out with Dr. James White. He won't be able to get away from me." We're stuck on a boat, right? And we went down to Belize and the Yucatán Peninsula and stuff like that. I've got pictures. But anyway, it was cool hanging out with him because in my opinion, of course I started out on Walter Martin back in the 1980s, that's the Christian mentor in apologetics. But since Walter went to be with the Lord in 1989, I think the new mantle for top Christian apologist is Dr. James White. In fact, he has participated in over 150 moderated debates against atheists, liberals, Jehovah's Witnesses, Mormons, Muslims. You name the false religion or whatever it is, he's been there doing that. Our own YouTube channel has lots of videos that James White did with us and debates he did right here in Austin and some of our Bible shows that we have done on cable access, he was a guest on our show so that was kind of cool.

Charles. Just a quick comment. I agree with what you're saying and I don't think Dr. James White knows how many African-Americans have learned from him. So he is well respected and I just want to, for many African-Americans that learn from him, I just want to say thank you.

Larry. He'll get a kick out of that. That's great. Did you hear that, James? That's cool. I wonder if he's up there with Voddie Baucham?

Charles. We always pronounce it ??.

Larry. He went to some Ligonier Ministry with R. C. Sproul. I don't know if you have ever heard of him, and also John MacArthur. They have been calling him Vodie. He's got a lot of sermons, not as many as I'd like, I wish he had more but he moved off to Zambia so he's kind of out of pocket a lot nowadays but they have been pronouncing his name Vodie but now what's interesting is two years ago I did a video talking about him and I was calling him his first name Vodie. Then I was hearing these other guys calling him Vodie. I think Dr. James White calls him Vodie because I listen to Dr. James White all the time. In fact, he's been on Dr. James White's shows. So I have to go with Vodie. But I'm not giving you a hard time. I'm not giving you a hard time about that because I was calling him Vodie. So what can I say? He's got kind of a different type of name but he's an excellent theologian. Excellent theologian.

Now mentioning all this about Dr. White, he did something extraordinary, I think it got almost 27,000 views on YouTube just after a day or two where he debated this Black Hebrew Israelite elder for two hours on his "Dividing Line" webcast show and I'm going to play a little clip of that just so people can get a feel for how that debate went and I'll use a clip where the guy is defending the King James Bible as why they are using that King James Bible and some of the back and forth between the two of them. I think that will be cool here because when you mentioned that a while ago, I wanted to maybe bring this in because I was planning on having a few clips here. We're not going to play the whole debate. It's just going to be a little clips. If you want the whole debate, go to Dr. James White's YouTube channel which is Alpha and Omega Ministry. That's where you go on YouTube to get all of James White's excellent broadcasts. I mean, there's not a day that goes by unless he's out of town and he's out preaching somewhere for three weeks on the other side of the world, where I don't listen to him on SermonAudio. Anyway, check this out and then we'll come back to Charles and me here in just a moment.

"Rawchaa Shayar. Okay, now, some people ask why am I asserting that the King James or Israelites are asserting that the King James version Bible is the authority, right, over other translations or other books about the Most High? Well, the way I can answer that is through Scripture. Let me go to Psalm 147. Psalm 147 mentions this, 19 and 20, 'He sheweth his word unto Jacob, his statutes and his judgments unto Israel. He hath not dealt so with any nation: and as for his judgments, they have not known them.' Which means the Most High gave his commandments, his laws, statutes and commandments to the children of Israel. That's who we are.

Now, the Bible itself gives you a doctrine on how to deal with it, with the book itself. Isaiah 34:16, it reads, 'Seek ye out of the book of the LORD,' not books, 'and read: no one of these shall fail, none shall want her mate,' which means you cannot mate the book with any other book. There are

some issues here. We have Gentiles who have come lately under the Roman Catholic Church and Jesuits, who are looking to give credibility to outside sources against our brother, King James.

Now, I'm sitting here with the King James version Bible, okay? Now, before I go into King James, let me mention King James was, in fact as you can see here, a black man, a king from the Dark Ages who sat on three thrones at once. The underlying issue with these records being debated today is the fact that what was going on in what they would call the Reformation, though at the time there was a protest against the Roman Catholic Church, well, that was a battle between Jacob and Esau because our people, the Israelites, ?? So one reason why I will only use the King James is because King James stood for me.

When I read in the prefix and I have a King James 1611, it reads, 'Great and manifold were the blessings, most dread Sovereign, which Almighty God, the Father of all mercies, bestowed upon us the people of England.' England is angel's land, English was angel's tongue, a language we created to get away from the Satanic Latin. 'When first he sent Your Majesty's Royal Person to rule and reign over us. For whereas it was the expectation of many, who wished not well unto our Sion.' So King James was an Israelite. He was not a homosexual. He was not what the Jesuits and Catholics wrote against him 25 years after his death. Okay? He got scholars together. He gathered Israelite records together because he was an Israelite, knowing that the Roman Catholic church was conspiring against him and his people who were scattered and ???'

Now, when we look at this, there has been a whitewashing throughout the earth concerning Christ and his people, okay? Now, it's ironic that when we were talking the last time, we went into a father of Antioch, speaking of you, Mr. White, not showing you that in Antioch the disciples were all black men.

I'm going to Acts 13:1 real quick. I'm going to show you why I'm standing with the Bible, the King James version. Acts 13:1 read, 'Now there were in the church that was at Antioch certain prophets and teachers; as Barnabas, and Simeon that was called Niger,' that was called Niger. Is that crystal clear? That was called Niger. So the Jews and the Israelites, the disciples were all black men whitewashed by the Romans. So once we fell in 70 AD the Romans began an imposition against us and began to not only destroy us but look to change our records as a point of control before the Most High began to awaken his people.

Now, part of the King James Bible is the Apocrypha. ?? This ain't the first time Edomites attempted to deal with our forefathers' records, okay? When you read in the Apocrypha, and I'm at Maccabees 3:48, when

Antiochus Epiphanes and others looked to destroy our people, 'And laid open the book of the law, wherein the heathen had sought to paint the likeness of their images.' They always look to take down our records and put themselves instead of us. I'm going here in Maccabees, the first chapter, the 56th verse and I hope you all can hear me clearly, okay? 'And burnt incense at the doors of their houses, and in the streets. And when they had rent in pieces the books of the law which they found, they burnt them with fire. And whosoever was found with any the book of the testament, or if any committed to the law, the king's commandment was, that they should put him to death.' That they should put him to death. So they were looking to kill us, okay, and destroy our records. This is the Greeks and the Romans.

Now, as we deal with King James, he realized that he was in a compromised what you would call in a, you know, there was an assault against him from the Roman Catholic Church. Okay, so he put scholars together to hold dear our record so that we, again, would rise as a people, the children of Israel. It so happened – there is a little feedback there – a

James White. Yeah, that means your time's up.

Rawchaa Shayar. Okay, go on.

James White. Okay. Alright, I'll start my timer for mine. Okay, the King James translation of the Bible is a venerable 17th century Anglican translation. It was not the first English translation by any stretch of the imagination. We have Wycliffe, we have Tyndale, you have the Bishop's Bible, you have the Geneva Bible which is a fine translation. It exists today in multiple revisions. You have the 1769 Blayney revision. I'd like to know which one, actually, is supposed to be the final authority because there are many revisions, 1611, numbers of years after that, 1769 you have the Oxford, you have the Cambridge. They are not identical to one another. I'd like to know which one of these is being utilized and which one of these is being put forward as the final analysis of all of these things.

The translation work itself was based upon printed editions of the 1525 Blomberg text, the Hebrew text, and five editions of Erasmus, Stephanus and Baza as far as the Greek went, and so there was not original manuscript work being done in the production of the King James translation and hence it's based really upon about really less than a dozen manuscripts, whereas today we have access to over 5,700. Now, the text it's based upon represents primarily the Byzantine manuscript tradition, the papyri had not yet been discovered, so really it represents in the New Testament a text from around the 12th century or so whereas we can get back all the way to the 2nd century with the manuscripts that we have today.

So that is why modern translations, if your goal is to actually know what the inspired writers wrote and they didn't write in King James English, nothing that was said from the Psalter or anything else had anything to do with the King James Bible at all because the King James Bible did not exist until 1611 obviously.

As to the Apocrypha, the translators of the King James version did not believe that the Apocrypha was canonical. It was placed between the testaments, not in the Tanakh. It was not included in the text itself of what we would call the Old Testament. The Apocryphal works were never accepted by the Jewish people. It's a fascinating thing. I don't know why those who claim to be Israelites today would accept books that the ancient Israelites never accepted as Scripture. There is a clear differentiation between what the Jewish people laid up in the temple, for example, and the Apocryphal books. The Apocryphal books were never laid up in the temple. Josephus did not see them as canonical. There is a very long tradition even amongst the Christians found in Jerome, in Origen, in ?? recognizing that the Apocryphal books were not canon Scripture.

So when you put all this together and then you join it with assertions such as that King James was a black man when the reality to anyone who looks at history, we know who his parents and his grandparents were and they were very very very white British people. And every contemporary painting of the king, including the ones that he would have been involved with and would have seen and were displayed in the palace show him to be very much like me, a pasty white Scotsman. And there isn't any question about this. There just isn't. I mean, when you hold a belief that no scholars in the world would ever hold, when you can't bring together evidence that can be agreed upon, that's a real problem. That's a real problem.

So the question that we have to ask of the Hebrew Israelite Movement is if you're going to assert that the King James version is the final authority, what is your specific textual foundation for that? I heard nothing defending the supremacy of the Byzantine text type. You would have to specifically defend what's called the Textus Receptus and the specific choices made by the King James translators in regards to textual variance to be able to establish the ultimate authority of the King James translation. You would have to defend the 1525 Bomberg Hebrew text. You would also, if you're going to be honest, have to deal with what the translators themselves said in regards to the utilization of the Greek Septuagint, the choices that they made. I've not heard any Hebrew Israelites going into the actual history and showing a specific knowledge of the nature of the King James translation, where it came from, what its sources are, and then the revisions that it has gone through because I have yet to encounter a

Hebrew Israelite that was actually using the 1611 King James. Everyone that I've encountered so far was using the 1769 Blayney revision. Well, what about the differences between the 1611 and the 1769? Are we going to allege that Blayney was a black man too and tried to somehow connect that in somehow that he was a Hebrew to try to change history on that as well?

I don't know what the answers to these questions are but if the movement as a whole is going to establish any kind of meaningful foundation for making this claim, these are just a few of the things that must be brought forward. These are just a few of the things that must be interacted with and so far I have not seen any evidence that even the most studied of the Hebrew Israelites have availed themselves of information in regards to modern textual criticism, in regards to the papyri that have been discovered since the 1930s, the light that these things show and shed upon the original writings.

So really the question is: don't we want to know what was written by Isaiah? Isn't that ultimate authority? Not something that comes 2,300 years later but what was written by Isaiah, what was written by John, what was written by Paul? Isn't that our ultimate authority? So if you grab hold of an English translation, a language that did not exist during the time of the apostles and the Lord Jesus Christ, during the time of the prophets of the ancient people of Israel, doesn't that translation have to be corrected by the original languages that the prophets and apostles themselves spoke? How do you go about doing that if you don't know anything about textual criticism or do not engage the original languages on that level?

These are just some of the questions that must be raised to what is clearly a tradition that is not binding upon anyone. The only authority a tradition can be given is the authority of the people who hold that tradition. So the questions I would then have and hopefully we'll hear an answer now in the rebuttal section, what about the sources; what about the different versions; and what is your real foundation for asserting that this is the final authority in light of the questions that I have raised? Okay.

Larry. Alright, you just got a little feel for that debate with a Black Hebrew Israelite with Dr. James White, my favorite Christian apologist is taking on this representative from the Black Hebrew Israelites and they're talking here in this clip about King James being black. The King James 1611. I don't know, every time I've looked into history and they have these old paintings of these monarchs from England, it just looks like it's a bunch of white people. I'm wondering how is it that King James ended up being black? And as we saw from the clip, the guy, the Black Hebrew Israelite guy didn't give any evidence. He just makes an assertion that this is true and you're kind of like, "Huh?" In fact, that's sort of what I run into when I'm dealing with some of these people. They make assertions but

they don't seem to have any proof about what they're talking about. So hopefully the people at home noticed that.

Charles. Yes, sir.

Larry. Okay, now let's get back over here for a little bit with our outline. We have practices of the Black Hebrew Israelites.

"Some groups stand on the street corners of major cities and condemn people for their allegedly false beliefs while using vulgar language. The Hebrew Israelites are very combative and generally do not want to listen when their views are challenged.

They frequently use Hebrew words such as Yah [the name of God, Yahweh, shortened as Yah], Yahoshua [Jesus], Shabbat [Sabbath], etc.

They keep the Jewish Sabbath and many other Jewish customs including circumcision, dietary laws, and the observance of certain Jewish holidays and festivals like Yom Kippur and Passover.

They use the Old and New Testament to support their teachings, especially the five books of Moses (Genesis to Deuteronomy)."

Charles. So I don't know how are they coming up with this because by my understanding, the Hebrew pronunciation of Jesus is Yeshua so I don't know how, I have no idea how or what or how they're coming up with their terms or theology comes from.

There is a person that I respect named Curtis Woods and I think he's in Louisville, KY, and he probably has a lot more experience for dealing with Black Hebrew Israelites.

Larry. Is he on YouTube?

Charles. He's on YouTube. Curtis Woods, it starts with a "C." Curtis Woods, so that may be somebody you want to look up. I don't want to say another name because I'll probably mispronounce it but Curtis Woods is one you'd probably want to look up for dealing with or some advice...

Larry. Of course as we mentioned a while ago, Vocab Malone. And people at home can see. Again, I'm putting those links up I had before but Vocab Malone has actually written material on them. He's had ten years of experience dealing with these guys. In fact, later on, well, on the show he did with Dr. James White, he's actually debating one of these guys that called into their show. In fact, why don't we play a little quick clip of that show with Vocab Malone taking on this Black Hebrew Israelite guy for a moment.

"Speaker. Do you actually believe that the children of Israel are here and if they are, why would not they be the sole heirs of the promise given to Abraham, to Isaac, and Jacob?

James White. Okay, I appreciate that. We are right at the end of the program. I'd like to address that. Thank you very much.

You guys have talked?

Vocab Malone. Yeah, one of our guys.

James. Real quick, go ahead. Hey, as long as you don't have to run off, that's fine.

Vocab Malone. How do you know who is of the tribe of Dan today? How did you come by that information?

Speaker. How do I know who the children of Israel are?

Vocab. No, I'm being more specific because I feel like sometimes it can get vague. How do you know who is of the tribe of Dan today?

Speaker. How do I know who the tribe of Dan? The tribe of Dan is not a tribe of Israel today.

Vocab. Okay. How do you know who is of the tribe of Benjamin today?

Speaker. According to the prophecies and testimony that the Father gave in the Scriptures.

Vocab. But how do you know that those whom you call Benjamites are the Benjamites described in Genesis 49?

Speaker. According to the prophecy and testimony...

Vocab. What prophecy did the Benjamites fulfill that let you know they are the Benjamites of Genesis 49?

Speaker. They went into slavery according to the Scripture.

Vocab. Okay. Where does it say in Genesis 49 that that will happen?

Speaker. In Deuteronomy 28 and Genesis 49, it let's us know the blessings and the demeanor according to what the Father told Jacob. And also all the other prophets.

Vocab. Deuteronomy 28 says they'll go into slavery into Egypt again.

Speaker. Right and America is our Egypt.

Vocab. Okay, what's the precept. Hold on, what's the precept? There is a line of questioning here and it's helpful. What's your precept that says America equals Egypt of Deuteronomy 28:68? What's your precept for that?

Speaker. Sure. Sure, and this is where...

Vocab. Wait, wait, what's the precept for that?

Speaker. Yeah, yeah. It's Exodus 15. You know, out of the house of bondage. I have to look that up on my phone.

Vocab. Are you saying whenever the Scripture says "house of bondage" it equals America?

Speaker. Not equals. I didn't say it equals America, what the Lord is saying he will go into Egypt again, would go into captivity again.

Vocab. Are the ships in Deuteronomy 28:68 literal?

Speaker. Yeah.

Vocab. So the ships in Deuteronomy 28:68 are literal but Egypt is not literal?

Speaker. Wait a sec. Did we not, did black people or so-called black people, so-called African people, not come into America on slave ships?

Vocab. Yeah, so, do you see how right in the same literally sentence, you have literal ships and not literal Egypt but Egypt is mentioned three times in Deuteronomy 28, each time it mentions something about Egypt, it means actual place, Egypt. So I'm asking you what's your precept to say Deuteronomy 28:68 equals America?

Speaker. America is our second Egypt. That's why it says going into Egypt again...

Vocab. Give me the precept. What's the precept?

Speaker. Wait, wait, wait, wait. I understand the precept upon precept there and, really, this is like right in front of our face and the only people that can truly spiritually bear witness to going into Egypt or slavery again

with ships are the people who did it. For an outsider to say that that does not apply to us, but the Scripture says that they would be a yoke of iron upon our neck and so they have destroyed us. The people have put the iron upon that which caused us to lose our nationality, our language, our culture. You see, we can bear witness to that. I don't have to build precept upon precept. I'm a living witness because I am a descendant of that.

Vocab. 'There you shall offer yourselves for sale to your enemies as male and female slaves but there will be no buyer.' Two questions: did...

Speaker. It doesn't say offer yourself, it says 'shall be sold unto your enemies.'

Vocab. ...was there no buyer in the slave market?

Speaker. No.

Vocab. I thought there were buyers. I thought that's why they kept on kidnapping people because there were buyers.

Speaker. You have it totally off center. We did not offer ourselves to go. We did not volunteer ourselves as slaves in America.

Vocab. Forget about the "offer" part. Was there a buyer?

Speaker. No. Do you understand that? We did not offer ourselves in slavery in America.

Vocab. But his point is....

Speaker. No, wait, no, no, no. Don't use that.

Vocab. I'm just trying to show you...

Speaker. Do you understand?

Vocab. Yes, I do. I agree.

Speaker. The key word is "taketh and kidnapped."

Vocab. Yes, I agree with you and kidnapping is a violation of Old Testament law and is actually punishable by death so you and I are on the same page about that. If people would just follow no kidnapping, it wouldn't happen.

Speaker. Do you understand that that prophecy....

Vocab. But there were buyers. You see, the reason why I'm pressing on this is because you said, 'Here's my rationale: prophecy.' I'm asking you how. You turn to Deuteronomy 28:68. I then, therefore, put the screws, in a sense, to Deuteronomy 28:68 to see if it matches up with the word that you're trying to get out of it. Then you tell me I can't understand as an outsider which sounds like a cop-out, and then I'm going into specific things. Egypt is....

Speaker. No. 1. You're using the New King James version.

Vocab. I have the ESV, not a New King James version.

Speaker. No, the New. Aren't you using the New King James version with that translation?

Vocab. No, English Standard Version.

Speaker. Okay, so in that, is that interpretation saying that, well it's trying to imply that the children of Israel would offer up themselves to be slaves in a nation or in a place that they will go into slavery in ships and according to what happened to the translation of the Scriptures and what happened to us, that's not true. We didn't offer ourselves. We were sold into Egypt on slavery.

Vocab. KJV says 'no man shall buy you.'

Speaker. 'No man will redeem us.'

Vocab. Wait, wait, you just told me to go to the KJV and the KJV says 'no man shall buy you' and why are you using the word 'redeem' now? Where did that come from?

Speaker. The word 'buy' in Hebrew law when a man was sold into slavery, that man could be bought or redeemed from the slave master and set free. In America, we were sold as slaves in this country. In 1865, they just...

James. Wait, wait wait. Hold on. Hold on. Hold on. Come on, this is getting way way way out there. There is a simple point here. I'd like to see.... No we are but you're not hearing what's being said to you. Let me just see if we can wrap this up. The Hebrew says wa'an qanah which means....

Speaker. In Deuteronomy 28:68?

James. Yes, wa'an qanah. Let's not worry about English translations because English wasn't around when this was written. Wa'an qanah means 'there will be no buyer.' Now if you're saying that the ships earlier in the sentence is literal, Egypt is not, I think what Vocab is asking you is how can you say this is a fulfillment of prophecy when the text specifically says wa'an qanah, there is no buyer? And from my perspective, what I want to ask is my forefathers were sold into slavery as well.

Speaker. (Laughing.)

James. Why are you laughing? Do you think that only the black, that slavery has only existed amongst black people in the world?

Speaker. No. No, that's not what I'm saying and I'm laughing because in the book of Luke, the Messiah said the tribe of Judah, the temple was going to be destroyed and the city burnt to the ground. Close the door. And we were going to be expelled out of our land and the Scripture says we fled into Africa. So all those peoples from the tribe of Judah that fled into Africa and were amongst the indigenous African people, two different nations of people in Africa, but in the 1600s, Judah according to the prophecy, was sold into slavery...

James. In the 1600s?

Speaker. ...bondmen and bondwomen. And no man shall buy you, meaning as a slave to be purchased back or redeemed from the captivity that they're in. France didn't free us and say, 'Hey, go back home.' Spain didn't free us and say, 'Hey, get these slaves out of America and take them back home.' You see, the thing is that you're interpreting the Scripture because you're not, you're misinterpreting the Scripture because you're not the recipient of the...

Vocab. How did Esau..hold on, this is a relevant question, how did Esau know who to snatch? How did they know how to get only Israelites up the Ivory Coast?

Speaker. Because during the Papal Bull of Pope Alexander VI of Rome and all the subsequent Bulls after that, they understood where the tribe of Judah was being the recipients of the Roman empire.

Vocab. So Esau, then the slave traders, they knew exactly who to snatch. Why would Esau want to fulfill prophecy?

Speaker. No, no, no. It's not about what...let me say two things. 1. When America went into Iraq, did they not go and get Saddam Hussein and did they not know who he is? So how are you going to sit here and say now

that the Roman empire, the Roman empire who ruled from whatever river all the way to the east, did not know in their historical records where the dispersed of the tribe of Judah was? And once you understand the hatred of the children of Esau toward the children of Judah, do you not understand that hatred and envy is so indepth in them that they create doctrines to sit here and say now you're not the children of Israel? Why would you put a people in captivity and take the knowledge of who they are and where they come from...

James. We've gone way over time. The problem I've got here is what you're telling me is none of these texts made any sense until America was founded. I mean, that's what you're telling me.

Speaker. The Scripture says 'until the times of the Gentiles be fulfilled.' And once people didn't see and the wonderful mystery of my God is, is that these are the end times. America is Babylon the Great. It's also our spiritual Egypt.

James. Do you know what? I'll tell you something as a person that's taught church history, there have been people who have engaged in this abuse of the Scripture in every single generation. It's been done over and over and over again. I appreciate the 17 minute phone call that we have had. Thank you for calling in today. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. I'm sorry Eric, I'm sorry Daniel, we've gone way over time as it is. Wow, man, I'll tell you.

Vocab. That was my fault. I said one more question.

James. No, no. I'm not complaining, I'm just simply saying we've covered a lot of ground today and I think most people are probably just weary at this point, even though if you can talk to people for eight hours, I suppose you're not. The Lord blessed you in that."

Larry. There you have just a quick little clip from this Dr. James White video where Vocab came on as his guest and then this Black Hebrew Israelite guy called up on their show and you got to see him do a little interaction with this guy and it helps you see how they think. So remember, our show is just a basic little kind of preparatory information show. We're not the big guns here when it comes to dealing with these guys because my experience is really a lot more with Jehovah's Witnesses, Mormons, Muslims. We've got 648 videos on our YouTube channel right now and I don't have a single video on Black Hebrew Israelites. I've got 648 videos on dealing with all these other religions and stuff, but I felt like, hey, we ought to cover this subject, at least have something on it, and then we can share this information and help our Christian brothers and sisters out there who run into these groups go to these other sources that will have even better information than we've got.

Okay, going back to our outline.

5. They do not consider themselves to be Jews in the modern sense of the term as associated with Orthodox, Reformed, Conservative, or Hasidic Judaism

Source of Authority: It is difficult to determine where these Hebrew Israelites get their ultimate source of authority since they do not have any official writings. They apparently view the Bible (both the Old and New Testaments), preferably the King James Version, as an authoritative source, but they just argue that there have been a number of mistranslations. Nevertheless, some hint that the canon of the Bible is not fixed. They apparently use other writings outside of the Bible to support their ideas, such as the Apocrypha and Pseudepigrapha (meaning literally "false writings").

The Apocrypha, c. 200-100 BC, before Christ. As you can see here, here's our lead information on our website biblequery.org and that's exactly where all this information is coming from for those who want to access it on their computers later after watching this video.

Okay, as we see here the Apocrypha consists of these following books: Tobit, also known as Tobias; it has one historical error. There are 9 pages of it. Judith, it has 14 historical errors and there are 14 pages of it, one error for each page. Additions to Esther, 2 historical errors, 4 pages. Wisdom of Solomon, two contradict Bible doctrine, 19 pages. Sirach Ecclesiasticus, there are 8 contradictions of Bible doctrine, there are 44 pages. Baruch, there are 4 historical errors found here in 7 pages. Song of the Three Holy Children in Daniel, 2 pages. Susannah in Daniel, 2 pages. Bel and the Dragon in Dan, 1 error, 2 pages. 1 and 2 Maccabees, 2 historical errors, 41 pages. 1 & 2 Esdras, aka 3 Esdras in Ezra/Nehemiah. Prayer of Manassas, 15 verses.

For those viewing this on our video, you can see further information down the page here but I want to center on the next page of our article here on our website.

Proof: Since God's Word is true, and the Apocrypha has the following provable falsehoods, the Apocrypha is not God's inerrant word.

Tobias used magic, the heart, liver, and gall of a fish, to drive away a demon. The Bible says we are not to use magic. An angel lies and claims to be Azarius son of Ananias

Tobit 1:4-5 + 1:11-13 + 14:1-3 say Tobit saw the revolt of the northern tribes (997 BC.); he was deported to Nineveh with Naphtali (740 BC.) yet he only lived 102 years.

Judith: It is unclear where the Book of Judith was written. The at least fourteen errors in the book cover falsehoods of the people and geography of Assyria, Babylon, Persia, and even gross errors on the geography of Israel. In short, Nebuchadnezzar was the King of Babylon; he did not rule from Nineveh, he was not king of the Assyrians, and the Assyrians (or Babylonians) never sacked Ecbatana or Ragae. Assyrians and Babylonians did not rely predominately on their cavalry. The Babylonian and Assyrian rulers wanted people to worship their gods, but not themselves (unlike later Romans and Persians.) The people had not returned from exile in the time of the Assyrians or Babylonians. The Moabites and Ammonites were descendants of Lot; they were not Canaanites. General Holofernes and his massive campaign in Palestine are unknown to history and archaeology. a city with towers called Bethulia, on the plain of Esdraelon near Dothan, able to resist 132,000 soldiers, has not been found. Balbaim and Cyamon have not been found either. The Catholic New Jerusalem Bible even says in Intro "The book of Judith in particular shows a bland indifference to history and geography."

Mordecai taken by Nebuchadnezzar (617 BC.)

2nd year of Artaxerxes court (~130 years later). Contradicts regular part of Esther: (Esther additions)

Haman was an Agagite, not Macedonian.

Do not remember the dead (Sirach 38:21-23) vs. pray for the dead (2 Macc 12:44)

Baruch not really in Babylon 1:1,2 vs. Jer. 43:5-7

Baruch 6:2 says the Jews would serve Babylon for 7 generations. Jeremiah 25:11 & 29:11 says 70 years.

The Babylonians did not worship and feed a living dinosaur-like creature. (additions to Daniel 14:23-27)

In 2 Macc 8:10 Nicanor wanted to pay 2,000 talents to the Romans; the Seleucids were not under the Romans.

In 2 Macc 8:20 8K Seleucids plus 4K Macedonians did not destroy 120,000 Galatians in Babylonia.

Minor historical discrepancies between 1 & 2 Mac.

Rebuttal: Even the Catholic Encyclopedia admits it has errors on details.

Bottom Line: When we have to choose between a human viewpoint and the truth of God, we follow God. We, who together are the True Church, those spread across the world who are born again by the Spirit must never cease to recognize and follow God's truth. See our website www.biblequery.org

Larry. Any comments on that?

Charles. I have noticed that, they do use the Apocrypha. They see that as part of the Canon. If they all do that, I don't know but I have seen some or at least, I only met the two and there are some you see like on YouTube, they do believe that the Apocrypha is part of the Canon. I don't agree with that but I don't know how they're reasoning or the background.

Larry. One thing I've noticed from listening to that two hour debate that Dr. James White did with the Black Hebrew and the show before that with Vocab and these Black Hebrew Israelites calling up, the reasoning seems to be seriously lacking and the evidence for their arguments. They are seriously lacking. That's all I can say because usually you need some kind of substantive...

Charles. ...substantiation, evidence.

Larry. Yeah, documentation of what you're talking about. Just making claims doesn't prove anything. I can say on top of your Bible is a little green man from the moon but can I prove it? I mean, where is the evidence? The evidence shows that there is nothing there except your Bible.

"Teachings:

Generally, the Black Hebrew Israelites teach that certain groups of black are the descendants of ancient Israelites and that white people (especially those in Israel today) are not true descendents. Some BHI's [that's short for Black Hebrew Israelites] adhere to the Talmud (Jewish collection of teachings, laws, and interpretations based on Genesis through Deuteronomy) while others do not. A very small faction is racist and considers white people to be evil. Most Black Hebrew Israelites are peacable and oppose racism.

Following are some of the teachings that many Black Hebrew Israelites affirm. However, there is no universal consensus on all of these points, nor is this an exhaustive summary of all their beliefs. Not all divisions within the movement hold to all these teaching since there is vast diversity in the movement that has no official creed."

Larry. So basically we're really dealing with something that is really loose, not well connected. You know, I like to study systematic theology in Christian religion. Systematic theology is just outstanding. You get something like "The Institutes of the

Christian Religion," you get Hodges "Systematic Theology" or you get all these great systematic theology with the logical flow, you've got the word of God verified by history, archaeology, manuscript evidence, prophetic utterances that prove the word of God. You've got everything going for it plus the testimony of the Holy Spirit after you're born again. You can't go wrong. But when you're dealing with something like this, it's so all over the place, your only conclusion is it has to be of the devil. I mean, it just doesn't work out.

Now here are some of their teachings as you see on your chart there at home.

"God

The Trinity is a false teaching.

God's true name is Yah as found in Psalm 68:4."

Larry. Now right away if you deny the Trinity, you've got the wrong God. It's as simple as that. The Scripture makes it clear, Jesus himself says, "Go into all the world baptizing in the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Spirit," Matthew 28:19. Throughout the rest of the Scripture you have all of those doxologies. I'm thinking of like 2 Corinthians 13:14, I believe it is, just off the cuff, where it says you get all three members of the Trinity mentioned right in the same verse. And throughout the Scripture, that is, at Jesus' baptism. The Father speaks from the heaven, the dove comes down, the Holy Spirit, and then here you've got the Son of God himself. All three right there and throughout the Scripture.

We have a whole playlist, you can see it on your screen right now, for anyone who wants to know more about the biblical doctrine of the Trinity. Just see our playlist. We have a lot of videos that are called "Dealing with Anti-Trinitarians (UPC) and Early Church History." With all those videos there, we give you more than adequate documentation to prove that the Bible teaches that God presents himself as the Trinity. And our website also, www.biblequery.org. Of course, our other website on early church history, www.historycart.com. You have the early church fathers, you know you can recreate almost the whole Bible except I think I read somewhere, maybe six verses, from just the early church fathers quoting the Bible. So if you just take their quotes, you can almost amass the whole Bible from the early church writers except for about five or six verses and put it all back together again. So that shows you how the word of God is preserved in so many different ways we never even thought about besides all the manuscript evidence that's out there, thousands of manuscripts verifying this stuff all the way back to the beginning.

Okay, with that, said,

"Jesus

There is no consensus on who the Messiah is [according to the Black Hebrew Israelites]: The true name of the Messiah in the New Testament is Yahshuah Ben Yah (Yahshuah the son of Yah). According to their website, there is no universal agreement regarding who the Messiah is [so

they really don't even have a clue]. It is not a central component of what defines them as Hebrew Israelites."

Larry. So, Charles, you being a Christian man, soon to be a deacon in your church, is Jesus important?

Charles. Yes, he's quite important, he's definitely important.

Larry. I mean, how important is Jesus?

Charles. No Jesus, no Christianity. No resurrection, no Christianity.

Larry. I mean, does it really matter in your salvation?

Charles. Yeah, it kind of matters.

Larry. So Jesus is really the core, the substance of our faith.

Charles. The cornerstone.

Larry. Without Jesus we can't be saved. Now what did he say in John 14:6? "No man comes to the Father except by me." So Jesus is making himself pretty important and as we know throughout the Scripture, Jesus constantly claimed to be the Son of God.

Charles. Or Jesus says, "I am the truth and the life, no man comes to the Father except through me."

Larry. I mean, he is the truth.

Charles. Yeah, through the resurrection.

Larry. And these guys don't even know. They can't even figure out who he is. So they're way off balance so obviously they deny the Trinity, they don't know who Jesus is so we as Christians need to help them understand biblically who Jesus is.

We've got a great video that people watching this might want to go to on our YouTube channel. You can see it on your screen there. Check out that video. It will give you a host of and I'm talking about a huge amount of Bible verses that show you exactly who Jesus is according to the Scripture. "Is Jesus God in the Flesh (John 1:1-14) or Something Else?" I'm thinking of, "In the beginning was the Word and the Word was with God and the Word was God," John 1:1, "and we beheld his glory, the only begotten of the Father, full of grace and truth," that's John 1:14.

Charles. Colossians 2:9, "All the fullness of deity dwells in bodily form."

Larry. I mean, it's just everywhere. These verses are particularly useful when you're dealing with Jehovah's Witnesses.

Charles. As a matter of fact, Hebrews 1. I mean, that closes the door.

Larry. "For God the Father calls the Son God." So basically it just comes down to knowledge of the word of God. If you know the word of God when you're dealing with these Black Hebrew Israelites or anyone else, you should be able to use the word of God like the sword of the Spirit, Hebrews 4:12, and cut asunder the false ideas and false teachings that are being given out by groups like this.

Here we go, back to this chart.

"Virgin Birth: 'Yahshuah the Messiah was an Israelite but He had no earthly father, so what made him an Israelite? He was born through the womb of an Israelite woman.'"

So they deny the virgin birth.

Video. ISUPK: The Home of the Truth
Matthew 1:21, 'And she shall bring forth a son.' What? 'And she shall bring forth a son.' This is talking about Mary. Mary done bring forth a son but, look, Christianity is a lie. Mary had sex with Joseph. That's how they came up with Jesus Christ. Mary had sex with Joseph. It's in the Scriptures. It says Jesus Christ is of the seed of Abraham which means you are the seed, it's your sperm. Go ahead and read Matthew 1:21.

'And thou shalt call his name Jesus.' What? 'Jesus.' What? 'Jesus.'
Yahshuah in the Hebrew. Read.

'For he shall say his people.' Who we gonna say? 'His people.' Who? 'His people.' Who? 'His people.' Israelites. 'His people.' (unintelligible) 'His people.' His people. That's the nation that Jesus Christ came out of. His people. He was a Jew from the tribe of Judah. That's how you know. His people is the Israelites."

Larry. Is the virgin birth important?

Charles. Uh, yeah, especially over the doctrine of original sin. I mean, Jesus lived the perfect life that we could not live. It's not just simply not doing anything wrong, he is not a descendant of Adam. That's very important because God acts federally so the same process by we were condemned, we're all united with Adam, the same process we are redeemed because all those united with Christ have that righteousness passed down to us as well. So the virgin birth is very important. No virgin birth, no Christianity.

Larry. That's right. That's right. Now we go back to the chart.

"Salvation.

Salvation is only possible by calling on the true name of Jesus in Hebrew, which is Yahshuah Ben Yah (Yahshuah the son of Yah). 'You have to call upon him by his Yah given Hebrew name, as it states in Acts 4:12, no other name can be a substitute, no translation of his name. Only his true name given from Heaven which can be spoken in every language, is your key to salvation.'"

Larry. So you can't get salvation according to them unless you pronounce his name this certain way, and if you don't pronounce it right, you have no salvation. And this goes back to something else you said earlier, you said they have all these pronunciations of things and you don't know where they get that stuff. Now, this goes back to what I was mentioning before and people at home can see it on the screen. I'll bring it up again. You look at that video I told you all to look at with Vocab Malone and Hebrew Israelism, the mega edition with calls, a Dividing Line with Dr. James White. Here is your link. But in that show, they talk about that very issue and so I'm telling the viewers go back and look at that video where they actually have some of these Black Hebrew Israelites trying to give a lesson on how to do these pronunciations. Then, of course, they repute it big time.

"Dr. James White. They claim those are the original names. Really?

Vocab Malone. That's why when you hear them speak sometimes, and I'm not trying to be offensive, I just don't know how to describe it, it sounds a little bit like baby babble sometimes because it has an ababab sound sort of to it.

James. Yeah. So they know that the actual letters are aleph, bet, gimel, dalet, but they just reject that as some kind of later whitewash? Alright, we continue on."

Video.

"Because when you're speaking Hebrew, let's say you want to say Bethlehem, that means Bethlehem means baya, which is a house and lechem is bread, the house of bread. So this character right here is almost like you're ready to spit, okay? Then you've got ta, ya. That's the first letter in the Most High's name, right? Then you've got ka and I mentioned la, you've got ma, na, sa, ai. This is the only character that has a different sound than any of the rest of the 22 characters, alright? Because you've got two sounds, two vowels. You've got a and you've got ai. Once you understand a and ai, that's the whole Hebrew language, alright?"

"Dr. James White. I guess they wouldn't like my license plate because it's chesed and..."

Vocab Malone. The 'e' sound is going to bother them.

James. Yeah. But it's right there.

Vocab. When I first encountered GMS and I said Yeshua, they're like, 'Oh, listen to him, he's speaking that Babylonian influenced Yiddish.' And they said, 'There is no "e" in Hebrew.' I said, 'Well, yeah, there's not literally an "e" but there's this...' And so, yeah.

Video.

"So you've got ai, you've got pa. Now pa, that's where you get the word 'mouth,' like pai alah is the mouth of God, mouth of the Most High. Now if you look at it, it looks like an open mouth, right? Then you've got taza, then you've got qua, then you've got ra, then you've got sha, then you've got pha. So that's the whole Hebrew alphabet, right?"

Now, when you go down to the Assyrian, once you understand that, the characters are a little fancier but this is the Assyrian. So you've got a, ba, ga, da and so forth, alright?"

"James White. The question needs to be asked: can any proponent of Lashawan Qadash offer any evidence that this is true Hebrew? They claim that anyone who pronounces vocalizations or vowels as in a and i are not speaking Hebrew but rather mere Yiddish. They'll say things like 'there is no e or o or u in Hebrew' but where is their evidence? The reality is that no one had ever heard of Lashawan Qadash before the ICUPK starting pushing it. Yeah, it would be nice to be able to trace your beliefs.

Vocab Malone. There he is.

James. Lashawan Qadash is purely from the imagination of High Priest Ahrayah. The reality is that Ahrayah is the one who created Lashawan Qadash and there are many who remember when he foisted this innovation onto the school at One West 125th Street in Harlem. This is why some former members of the ICUPK now reject Lashawan Qadash. Ahrayah's concept of real Hebrew was rooted in his own ignorance of how Semitic languages work. For example, proponents of Lashawan Qadash might claim that the vowels (niqqudot) were not added to Hebrew until the time of the Masoretes. The reality is that the Masoretes did not introduce vowels into Hebrew, but rather diacritical marks which helped signify vocalizations already employed by speakers of the language. They are analogous to the harakat and nuqat in Arabic.

Vocab. So he gets into a place of Matthew where he's saying it provides evidence against these claims. It's pretty interesting so you can see he did a super bang-up job on his video in my opinion, in just the level of detail.

James. Matthew 20. I've got to see this. How is Matthew 20? Okay, bring it up. Bring it up.

This is the Greek text of Jesus' cry on the cross which implies a very specific Hebrew phrase. Yeah, 'Eli, Eli, lama sabachthani?' In other words, the Greek transliteration captures the pronunciation. That's true and it would not have, it is no different than the opening line of Psalm 22, save the fact that it employs the Aramaic, went too fast, sorry. Note that Eli is pronounced 'eli' and not 'ahlaya,' which is true. Note the final character, yod, is pronounced 'ee' not 'ya.' Thus in the first century, Hebrew characters had multiple vocalizations which opponents of Lashawan Qadash claim are incorrect. Most importantly, this shows that Jesus did not speak Lashawan Qadash. That's was probably a given. The New Testament predates the time of the Masoretes by many centuries but we can go back further still, and consider the transliterations in the Septuagint, a pre-Christian era translation of the Hebrew Bible into Greek."

Larry. They shoot it right out of the water. So it proves what you were saying earlier that, "I don't know where they get this stuff," because where they get it is....

Charles. Pie in the sky or...

Larry. ...yeah, it's as valid as pie in the sky or the moon is made out of Swiss cheese. So it's about as valid.

Anyway, going back to this.

"Also, John 3:18 says that you are condemned if you do not believe in the name of the only begotten Son."

Larry. So they are using John 3:18 out of context, saying that you've got to say it in a certain name but they pervert it into saying you've got to use that name so it's typical of Scripture twisting. Use a Bible verse and twist it to mean something that it absolutely does not mean because it doesn't fit the context of the rest of the Scripture.

Okay, going on with this, number 5.

"Hell.

Hell is a metaphor and is not a literal place where people suffer.

There is no heaven and hell. There is no place where people burn. We are in the caucasian heaven now."

Larry. So any comments?

Charles. So I'm wondering, I think about the implications. So they call themselves Black Hebrews, I mean, you had the Pharisees and you had the Sadducees, the Sadducees, there was not going to be annihilationism basically, they didn't believe in the resurrection.

Larry. Right, right. They were sort of like the liberals in church.

Charles. So the Pharisees did believe that we have a soul and we're waiting for a future resurrection so how are they going to, if they are the original Hebrews, how are they aligning themselves with old school Pharisees and Sadducees? I mean, so if there is no hell, I mean, are they annihilationists? I'm not sure.

Larry. Well, I can't tell from this chart either but obviously they must believe in something along those lines like annihilationists because that's mainly the idea that if there's no heaven, no hell, you just cease to exist. So what's the point if you cease to exist? Obviously they believe that there is some kind of resurrection later so they may take some form of like the Seventh Day Adventists or some of these groups, soul sleep perhaps. You cease to exist or what the Jehovah's Witnesses do, annihilation and you get resurrection later and recreation.

Charles. Yeah, recreate. It's not resurrection, it's just a copy of you.

Larry. Right, right, right. So, I mean, there are different ideas on this stuff but biblically speaking we know there is a heaven and we're talking Bible here, we're talking what the Bible teaches. There is a heaven and there is a hell. Jesus spoke more about hell than he ever did about heaven. He spoke about both and, of course, these guys are making Jesus out to be a liar. Of course, they don't know who he is anyway, according to the earlier teaching, but they are making Jesus out to be a liar because Jesus did speak about heaven and he spoke a heck of a lot about hell.

Anyone that wants to know more about that, having over 640 videos on YouTube, I've got plenty of lists on everything. So if you're looking at your screen right now, check out our playlists on "Unpopular Bible Doctrines. Hell, Lake of Fire," things of that nature. You'll find all those videos talking about hell right there. Check them out. We have a whole series on all the Bible verses in the newsletter, by the way, and here's our newsletter on hell. Check that out. Lead article by Charles Haddon Spurgeon.

Anyway, going on with this.

"Black People

Black people are the true Israelites from the tribe of Judah. They argue this from various passages in the Old Testament including Deuteronomy 28 (cf. Leviticus 26) which references ships (Deut 28:68), serving in bondage (Deut 28:48), mockery (28:37), and being defeated before one's enemies

(28:25). Also, Lamentations 5:10 describes the Israelites who had skin as black as an oven. Therefore, to the Hebrew Israelites, this is conclusive proof that the original Israelites were black."

Larry. Now, this was discussed in that earlier clip I showed with Vocab Malone taking on one of those Black Hebrew Israelite callers. They actually got into this stuff and so in case you didn't catch it, just go back earlier in this video and just use your mouse and scroll back a little bit and you'll hear them talking about the ships and how this guy is trying to use these verses to try to prove that they're black. And the logic of it is just totally, as far as a Black Hebrew Israelite, I mean, their logic is totally lacking and it makes no sense at all and you'll see how Vocab just destroys his argument.

Charles. I mean, there's a...I bring up when I first heard this, the first thing that came to my mind is Numbers 12. So Moses, well, Moses and Miriam and Aaron, Aaron and Miriam were mad that Moses married a black woman, a Cushite, they are Black Africans. Now, if the original Hebrew Israelites were Hebrew or African or Blacks, why would they be mad that Moses was marrying a Black African? I mean, it doesn't make any sense. And God didn't punish Moses, he punished Miriam. So putting it in my slang, I'm like, "God, man, don't worry 'bout them, I got your back. That's a fine sistah. Man, don't worry." So if the Israelites were black, it would make no sense for Miriam and Aaron to be mad that Moses married a black woman. I mean, that kind of throws a huge wrench in the sink. That's it.

Larry. And it just goes back to what we were saying all along, it just goes back to knowing what the Bible says. So if you know what the Bible says and you believe it, then this kind of nonsense we're getting from the Black Hebrew Israelites doesn't carry any weight whatsoever. It just falls apart under analysis, especially.... Because the false prophets, I've often told people, I've got a debate on YouTube where I'm taking on two Jehovah's Witnesses at a university study center and that thing is closing on half a million views. But dealing with those guys and the Jehovah's Witnesses, they'd go wrong on so many Bible doctrines from hell to heaven to who is God, salvation, just about every doctrine you could think of, they go wrong on. But I've often said if you know how to refute a Jehovah's Witness, you're good to defeat all these other guys too because they don't know the Bible, they get the Bible wrong, they pervert the verses so you can beat those guys, it's just Bible knowledge.

Charles. Yeah. How I can say it, there is commentary illiterate and there is biblical illiterate. So a lot of the Jehovah's Witnesses, I bet even for Black Hebrew Israelites, those who are teaching them, they believe what folks say what the Bible says rather than what the Bible says.

Larry. And rather than just studying for themselves, you know, I find that very prevalent among the Muslims. It seems that in most cases where I'm dealing with Muslims, I know more about their Koran and their Hadiths and the Sunnah of Mohammed than they do because what are they doing mainly? They're just hearing their Imam and they're just believing what he tells them without actually doing any studying of their own. So a lot of

times when I'm dealing with Muslims, I have to tell them what their own religion says and then refute it from history and the Bible. But it's kind of sad when you have to go through that because they don't know their own religion enough to even defend it or even argue it. But that's what you have to deal with when you're doing Christian apologetics, you know, you have to do things like this.

Okay, now we get down here and we've got 2.

"Hispanics, Native Americans, and Negroes are the real Israelites [there is a link there]. The Jews are the Negros in America. The Jews are one tribe of people from Judah. The Twelve Tribes of Israel are divided up into various ethnic groups in amongst Hispanics, Native Americans, and Negroes.

Many of the popular people in history were black, including Moses, Pharaoh."

Larry. You see, Moses, it just goes back to what you just said, you see. Okay.

"Many of the popular people in history were black, including Moses, Pharaoh, certain Roman emperors, etc."

Larry. And of course, they say Jesus was black. Now it's interesting about that because, you know, when I went to, last year my cameraman and me went to a Seventh Day Adventist convention in San Antonio. They were having their international convention over there, their general conference as they call it, and we were out there all day and we went inside their exhibition hall and all over the place, we've got this all on video, you can see it on our video on that general conference. But they've got this white Jesus. He looked like he came from Norway, you know.

Charles. Pale white skin.

Larry. That's right. Blue eyes.

Charles. Permed blond hair.

Larry. Yeah, looked like a hairdresser.

Charles. From Maybelline?

Larry. We were just seeing these things all over the place, you know, and I'm blasting them as I'm going through there. I said, "Look, you don't know Jesus looked like that. I mean, he was from the Middle East."

Charles. Yeah, he was a Palestinian Middle Eastern Jew.

Larry. Right and Isaiah 53 says if you were to look at him, you wouldn't really think anything of him. But they are always making their Jesus look like some kind of movie star or Hollywood good looking guy or something like that. But the Bible knows nothing of that. So here they are saying Jesus is black when the Scripture knows nothing of that. It's just like saying he's a white guy with blue eyes and a hairdresser.

Charles. Here is something I want to comment on that because if you see how they're breaking him down like who are the Israelites and the different tribes, it's here. That's what I'm saying, it seems like that was a response historically of people groups to have been systematically discriminated against, they used that as a hook to like, "Hey, these guys are more valuable than everyone else," and they reel you in. It can't be just a coincidence. You have African Americans, Native Americans, Latinos, which have been discriminated against in this country, they use that as a hook and then use theological racism as a hook to draw you in.

Larry. That's right. That's right. Now, we get over here speaking of something like that, we've got white people.

"White People

White people are seen as conspirators who attempt to persecute the black people and hide their true identity as Israelites. Many Black Hebrew Israelites see white people as almost subhuman."

Larry. And it goes into that theological racism you're talking about.

"People on this earth are in the caucasian heaven right now since white people are dominating this world and subjugating black people."

Larry. So we have a situation mentioned there that...and I'm going to play a clip right now that kind of ties in. Let's see some Black Hebrew Israelites off a YouTube video on the streets. And before I play this, I wanted to mention that Dr. James White in the videos we've already referenced to, said that he had received so many threats from Black Hebrews using disgusting language. He's gotten death threats. He's been called every name in the book. So a lot of these guys, now some of them aren't, but a lot of these groups that are in the Black Hebrews, they are really foul-mouthed, which is also condemned in the Bible, you know, as an evil sin. But let's just hear a little bit and, of course, that foul mouth comes out against white people and other things they don't agree with. But let's just get a little quick sample of that right now.

"Dr. James White. But please pray for us. I mean, we've had death threats. A lot of these people are, not GOCC but these other groups, they're nasty. There is this Sacarii group, that was the video I was talking about, these men are vile."

Video.

"A new band of rebels and those who are determined to carry the flag to Jerusalem, the Sacarii. To the Sacarii, anyone who wasn't fighting the Romans was a collaborator and worthy of death. Many were slain every day and the fear men were in was worse than the calamity itself. The Sacarii fighters are motivated by a deeply held belief in God, freedom and the independence of their country. They are famed for their fanaticism and their vicious dagger. The Sicarii are one of the only guerrilla groups never to bow to the might of the Roman empire.

The Sicarii were guerrillas. Guerrilla warfare. It was a perfect camp for a guerrilla unit. For the Sicarii's surrender is not an option. Fanatical groups like the Sicarii never surrender. They never surrender because what their aims are are not negotiable."

"Dr. James White. Now, I'll be honest with you, I don't honestly remember if there is any profanity in this.

Speaker. And we don't have a dub.

James. We don't have a bleep button. There is no time delay here.

Speaker. So get the kids out of the room.

James. Yeah. So I'm just warning you. But I'll only play the beginning and then we'll start but this is what actually I have to rejoice in, despite the fact, I mean, the vitriolic, visceral, racist hatred being expressed toward me, I've never experienced anything like it in my life. I knew there were people who lived this way but I really haven't had much interaction with them and, you know, when some people tell me that there is no such thing as black racism, I just want to go, "Really? What rock do you live under?"

Wow. I just...these folks are incredible. They really really are incredible and it's just sad to think about what their lives are like. I mean, to see the conjunction of visceral hatred based upon race with religion, the result is the ugliest thing you could ever have. It's one thing to have a secular racist, you know, who is just racist because they're racist, but the contradiction of starting off, "Well, all praise belongs to God, blah, blah, blah," and quoting from the book of Proverbs, and the next word, you're dropping f-bombs and whitey and cracker and all the rest of this stuff."

Video.

"They rape their women and they murder their children, man. I want to show you that these crackers ain't nothing but a bunch of cowards, alright?"

'But they have consulted together with one consent,' and that's talking about these other nations, man. That's why we say that you Israelites, you

have common enemies, man. These other nations don't give a **** about you, okay?

'They are confederate against thee.' They are confederate against thee. In other words, they are united against you, man, alright? And a union means one, alright? They all got the same mindset against you so-called Negroes, Latinos and Natives, to kill you off, man. That's what that whole UN is all about. Check this out: all them other nations in the UN, they have a standing army, right? Now where is the standing army for you so-called Negroes or a standing army for you Latinos and Native Americans? Where is your standing army at? Go in and show you ****. You don't even have a standing army. Every other nation has a standing army, where is the army of you so-called Negroes, Latinos and Native Americans, huh? Answer me that, huh? You don't have one."

Video.

"Actually a response video to this guy, James White, the response to this video is to a video he did called 'The March of the Totalitarian Black Hebrew Israelites Crazyness Judging from Afar Rebuked.'" Now, this guy's first clip of my video in there and kind of, you know, he discovered one particular word, you know. That's what he thought of us. Tomato, tomato, whatever. But the bottom line is, you know, this is the truth that we're speaking, okay? And this is why it is hurting these guys, man. That's why these guys hurting because all, you know, all the truth is coming out. You see, this guy doesn't like the word 'devil' and that's why he doesn't like it, because he knows that's what he is. And if I'm not mistaken when I did some research, I could be wrong, the word 'devil' is not even in his Bible. You know, this guy is really from some other New King James version, okay? So he doesn't even believe in the Scriptures. They don't even want the word 'devil,' man. So naturally when I say 'devil,' I guess that would feel like a curse, you know?"

"Dr. James White. I mean, they've obviously never read the book of James. You know, 'out of the same mouth you bless God and you curse men. This, brethren, should not be.' For them it's, 'This, brethren, is the way we are.' It says a lot to me. It's an utter refutation. I mean, you can't take those claims seriously when someone is just so obviously hypocritical in the way they behave. But it really shows how ugly it is when you join religion and racism and that's happened in the past. Every racial group in the world has experienced that. Every single one. Don't close your eyes to the reality that every single racial group in the world has at some time in their history experienced that at the hands of another. That's just the fact. They won't accept it but they don't accept a lot of things about history because they did to (unintelligible). There are the horns. There you go. There is my own personal trailer so there you go. Wow. Who knew. Who knew."

Larry. Wow, did you see that? That's the kind of stuff you can run into out there on the streets. But like we said before, not all Black Hebrew Israelites are like that.

Charles. I mean, at my church, I think one, I wasn't there but one appeared at the Bible study one night and, of course, it's when he wasn't all foul-mouthed or anything like that so there may be a little bit of a difference, say, if you're out and someone is out on the street corner versus they're in, like, an African-American church. Maybe they may have the tone that might be different. And we had one of our, I think a musician, that kind of talked to them for about an hour even after Wednesday night Bible study. So I wish I was there for the conversation. So some can be foul and at the same time some are very calm but very forceful in their opinion still.

Larry. By the way, I wanted to give credit to a Christian guy named Ryan Turner. Praise the Lord for his documentation to help us out a little bit with this outline. We're using his stuff to help us along in this presentation and we just thank the Lord he's got his people out there that are willing to reach out to some of these souls that most people can't reach because they don't know enough about it or whatever. Anyway, thank you, Ryan, for your help.

Okay, looking at this chart here, we see, Ryan says,

"Error #1a: Racism

While many Black Hebrew Israelites do not claim to be racists, or to hate someone based on skin color, in practice these Hebrew Israelites do not act this way. On street corners, they frequently accuse people of other races as being backwards, wicked, or even subhuman. All of the Black Hebrew Israelite teachings on white people indicate that they are not valued as highly by God.

However, this is not the teaching of the New Testament in which God loves the whole world (John 3:16). While he certainly chose Israel as a nation and called Abraham, God still had a special love and compassion for all the people of the earth. Furthermore, Galatians 3:28 teaches, 'There is neither Jew nor Greek, there is neither bond nor free, there is neither male nor female: for ye are all one in Christ Jesus.' The New Testament is not a special book for a certain race of people, but a book for all people everywhere. Jesus sent the apostles into the ends of the earth (Acts 1:8) to make disciples of all nations (Matt 28:19-20). The book of Revelation describes people of every tribe, tongue, and nation worshiping the Lamb, Jesus Christ. It does not merely describe one people group whether black, white, yellow, or red. 'After this I beheld, and, lo, a great multitude, which no man could number, of all nations, and kindreds, and people, and tongues, stood before the throne, and before the Lamb, clothed with white robes, and palms in their hands; And cried with a loud voice, saying,

Salvation to our God which sitteth upon the throne, and unto the Lamb,' (Rev 7:9-10; cf. Rev 5:9). 'And they sung a new song, saying, Thou art worthy to take the book, and to open the seals thereof: for thou wast slain, and hast redeemed us to God by thy blood out of every kindred, and tongue, and people, and nation.'"

Larry. Well said.

"Error #1b: Minimizing the Person of Jesus

The Black Hebrew Israelites unfortunately minimize the person of Jesus, and the focus of their religion becomes black identity and cultural revolution. While it is certainly true that black people have been mistreated and taught many false things by mainstream culture, this is not grounds for minimizing the person of Jesus Christ. Who Jesus is should be the central component of any religion that claims to follow the Old and New Testament. Jesus said, 'for unless you believe that I am [He], you will die in your sins,' (John 8:24). Jesus is saying that unless you believe that He is the I AM of the Old Testament (Exo 3:14), you will die in your sins. To reject who Jesus claimed to be is to suffer death in your sins. This is the most critical issue of any religion. The Bible was written to testify of who Jesus is. It is not primarily an identity source book for a particular race of people.

Error #2: Denial of the Trinity

The Bible emphatically teaches that there is one God in all existence (Is 43:10; 44:6, 44:8; John 17:3). However, it also teaches that Jesus is God (John 1:1-3, John 5:18; John 8:24; 1 Tim 3:16. Jesus receives worship (Matt 2:2, 11), is prayed to (1 Cor 1:2; Acts 7:55-59), and is called God (John 20:28; [and this is what Charles brought up earlier] Heb 1:6-8). In fact, Old Testament Yahweh passages using the divine name of God are applied to Jesus in the New Testament. Jesus exhibits the unique identity of God.

However, the Bible also describes the Holy Spirit as God (Acts 5; 2 Cor 13:14 [I brought that up earlier]) and having personal attributes (John 14:26). Since there is only one God, not three gods, each of these three persons have to be the one God. This is the Trinity.

Error #3: Salvation is only in the Hebrew name of Jesus

The New Testament was written in Greek, while Jesus probably spoke in Aramaic which is a sister language of Hebrew. The New Testament writers originally wrote in Greek. Salvation was by calling upon the name of the Lord (Rom 10:13; cf. Joel 2:32). Paul, in quoting the Old

Testament, uses a Greek translation of the Hebrew text in order to get his salvation point across. The issue is not an exact pronunciation of the Hebrew name, but belief in the Person described in the New Testament."

Larry. Now before I read anymore of this, when you consider different languages, let's say you're going to become a missionary and you're going to go over to a foreign country. Let's say you go over to, I don't know, Hungary, and you would probably have to learn the Hungarian language. Now, if you're over there in Hungary and you're going to have to use Hungarian, do you think you would use the name "Jesus" when you're talking to those...the English word "Jesus" or would you use the Hungarian?

Charles. Hungarian. Yeah, to communicate to them. I mean, the actual...it seems like this is a bit of elitism in here. So unless you have, you speak the word as they pronounce that Jesus, you can't get saved, is that my understanding? So what does that say to a lot of folks in Africa that don't speak Hebrew or don't speak, who say they know the Gospel but don't speak Hebrew? I mean, they can't even be saved because they don't know how to pronounce the name. Or even in Europe or Japan or Mexico, I mean, my Spanish friends say Jesus. I mean, it's not dependent on how you pronounce the name. That's what's really sticking out to me. Again, I have no idea where they are coming with that from.

Larry. Right, and to me the irony is they are using this King James Bible, they're saying that this Black King James came out with this Bible and this is their source of authority but that's an English translation. It's an English translation from 1611 and how come the King James doesn't use these words for God?

Charles. I mean, before the King James, we had the Geneva Bible. Before the Geneva Bible we had like the Latin Vulgate. Before then, you had the Greek Septuagint. And before then, you had the... So I mean, I don't know what is the logic they're using.

Larry. Basically, there is none and they have no historical backing for making these ridiculous claims. I mean, that's basically what it is. Now my video guy just told us we're down to about 5 minutes left in our video sheet so we're going to have to speed along.

Charles. Four minutes.

Larry. Yeah, okay, you see him too. So I'm just going to speed through this real quick now so the people can see it at home, and if they want to read the whole thing, you know, they can freeze frame the video and we use pretty good high definition video so they should be able to read it. I'm not going to read it all, I'm just going to say,

"Error #4: We must keep the Law of Moses"

Larry. Then down below that you see,

"Error #5: God's only true name is Yah"

Larry. Once again, that's refuted basically with what we were just talking about. King James doesn't use that word. I mean, good grief.

"Error #6: Denial of Heaven and Hell"

Larry. And we've discussed some of this.

"Error #7: Native Americans are descendants from the Israelites"

Larry. You know, it gets into the DNA evidence and all that kind of stuff and it talks about they claim they are not hate preachers.

Point 2: The identity of the Hebrew Israelites and you can see some remarks there. Down here point 3, what they say about Jesus. Number 4, the Bible.

Charles, we're almost out of time. Can you give us a brief conclusion to this matter?

Charles. Well, if I can just be honest, so if someone watching this video and they've been seeing or influenced by the teachings of Black Hebrew Israelites or if you are a Black Hebrew Israelite, what I want to say just from the heart: don't let the racism and systemic sin of this country, don't let Satan use that to deceive you in embracing a false Gospel. So if you are somebody because you are created in the image of God, you are a full image bearer of God, well, we all have a problem. We all have a sin problem and Jesus lived the perfect life, died on the cross and raised from the dead so anyone who puts their faith and trust in him can have everlasting life. And you're going to have people from many different tongues, tribes and nations. I think that's what Revelation 7:9, we will one day be in heaven worshiping the one true God and despite our differences and cultures, we are united in our confession and our commitment to him. That is the true faith. It's not, don't let Satan use racism which is as real, to hook you into a false Gospel which is not real and just ultimately just end up missing out. That's pretty much all I've got to say.

Larry. Very well said. Amen to that. That basically goes back to Galatians 1:6-9, "If we or an angel from heaven preach any other gospel unto you than what we have preached to you, let him be accursed." The Greek word there is anathema which is, "let him be ordained, condemned to hell by God." And he says it twice so you can't afford to have a false Gospel and this is just another example. I mean, like I said, we've got lots of videos on our YouTube channel dealing with a lot of religions and the similarity between them all is that they are basically the same, they are all false gospels. You can end up in hell with this religion we've been talking about on this video as easily as all these other false religions out there that give you a different way that's not the Bible way. The name of this show is Christian Answers and we get our answers from the word of God which is our authority. The word of God is our source of authority and why is that? Because Jesus said and Jesus is our Lord, our God. We follow him because we hear his voice, as Jesus said in John 10. So follow the voice of Jesus. Don't follow the voice of all these people who are using race, as you've said, as a hook, the false leadings of Satan to lead you off on

these rabbit trails because he uses emotionalism to get you to go where he wants you to. You've got to just consider God's word alone and go with that.

Now I want to conclude here with another clip from Vocab Malone's Black Hebrew Israelites and you can check him out and you can see on your screen his name and the title of his written work so you should be able to do an internet search to get further information from him.

"Vocab Malone. So you're about to watch a video from a man named General Yahana. He has been in this truth for, I think, three decades. That's how they refer to someone who has been awakened, they'll say 'been in this or been in the truth.' And General Yahana is the commanding officer over ISUPK. ISUPK started in Harlem in 1969. It stands for the Israelite School of Universal Practical Knowledge. ISUPK claims to be the only camp that Yahweh is 'dealing with.' So they're actually very exclusive, making them not very many friends among other camps because they claim to be the only true camp. They do have somewhat of a pedigree, though, in that I 1969, they really were the first modern version of the Hebrew Israelite movement to come out, and all the other camps that have come out have in some way come out of ISUPK. So General Yahana is in this clip speaking about the advantages of polygamy but most Hebrew Israelites believe polygamy is part of what will be in the new heaven and new earth in the Messianic kingdom and some believe you should practice it now. So he's going to talk about how great polygamy is."

Video

"General Yahana. Go ahead.

Speaker. Two women are dead after a high speed chase that started inside the state of Georgia and crossed into Alabama.

General Yahana. What you black women so mad about when you did not protest against same-sex marriage. You didn't protest against same-sex marriage, why are you mad now because a man want to have more than one woman? And let me tell you something, it is one of the best ways to rebuild this nation and it's one of the best ways for a black man to learn how to be a leader. You got more than one woman, you got to really learn skillfully how to deal with these problems and it is educational and empowering and strengthening to a black man.

Now, don't go out and do it unlawfully. Don't learn how to be with more than one woman from anywhere but the UPK. Now, if you go and do that and it gets screwed up, don't blame us. I ain't telling everybody to go get more than one woman. I'm saying in the UPK, you can learn how to have more than one woman and it's a great benefit. And it's a great benefit.

And here's another reason it's a great benefit: because that witchcraft that's on these black women, turn on 'Basketball Wives.'"

"Speaker. Do you want me to stop?

Vocab. Yeah.

Speaker. Stop with the 'Basketball Wives.'

Speaker. Fascinating. This is really fascinating.

Vocab. So again even in that clip...

Speaker. You've got to learn to deal with these women. He's going to teach you how.

Vocab. You hear in that clip the exclusivity the ISUPK specifically has and everything else to them is fraudulent and they are, of course, they're advocating polygamy but you can't do it unlawfully. But an interesting application to this, because do you hear what he said, it's one of the best ways to rebuild this nation. So they view themselves in a nation rebuilding process. The Hebrew Israelites as a people have been demolished and it's interesting, a big way that they explain what has happened to Black Americans and folks over here in the Western hemisphere from the slave trade, is as a result of them experiencing the curses of Deuteronomy 28. Deuteronomy 28 is the key passage in their interpretive framework because they interpret everything that is described as a curse to them and they'll say, 'Look how this is fulfilled among our people. This is fulfilled.' So it actually has a little bit of a theodicy to it in explaining evil or suffering in their case by saying, 'We've been rebellious and sinners and that's why all these things have justly happened to us but now you can't stop this movement, the truth is coming out. We're going to rebuild the nation according to God's holy laws.' So to them this is an answer to problems in the black community as well. They'll say, 'Yeah, this problem. You have this problem, you have this problem, you have this problem, not so if you followed these laws.' So they want to rebuild the nation. That's why he's General Yahana because it's nation building and that's why he speaks about polygamy as a good way to do that. So there is a logical connection in their mind to these things.

This is the closest thing they have to their Bible. This is like the intro level, 'From Babylon to Timbuktu.' Scholars need to go through this book and lacerate it because it deserves to be eviscerated. It's filled with bad historiography, false assumptions. Written in 1969, I believe. But if you look at this, this is an example of something that a scholar especially could utilize resources because they make a lot of claims about the Hebrew

language, though sometimes it's difficult for a layperson to tap onto. That's why I'm glad my second reader is a Hebrew professor so I can be like, 'What is this guy saying here? What is this guy saying there? Is this accurate?' Half the time he's laughing, he's like, 'Dude, they're making up words.' He's like, 'This doesn't exist and this is half a word.' Anyways, the thing is you don't know that. So we need these resources there but there is a lot of stuff that the average person is like, 'Whoa, they're making way too many claims. This is like multiple disciplines you'd have to be aware of.'

So we need to attack it now as God's people and also shore up our churches so this doctrine is not so attractive to people, as well. And then also figure out some evangelistic strategies to reach out to people who have been affected by this because in my current research, I have met, in case someone is wondering, ex-Hebrew Israelites who are now on fire believers for the Lord. I have met them so it's not like you're in this forever. There are people who get saved. They come out of this and realize some of the sadness that...they realize that it was wrong basically.

Speaker. Give me a snapshot of what they believe about God and the Gospel, like those two central issues.

Vocab. By and large they like to call God 'The Most High,' so if you see the abbreviation TMH online, that means 'The Most High.' Then they are sacred namers, meaning they get caught up in what you call God specifically. Jehovah, though, and it's very rarely Yahweh, it's other versions and you can know which sect they are by what they call God. So very big on that, but I haven't met any that are Triune. They'll have Jesus be divine in some way but none of them that I've determined so far have Jesus being co-equal. So they all denigrate Jesus through some kind of lesser being status. It looks very similar oftentimes to Jehovah's Witness Christology. Not all the time, though, but a lot of times it looks like that. So that's obviously a problem. Some of the groups have the Holy Spirit being a person but a lot of groups I've run into do have Holy Spirit as not God as well. So that creates a problem from the jump.

Then the Gospel side of it, you hardly ever hear them use the word 'Gospel.' You hear them say things like, 'Do you keep the law?' And they think you can keep the law so they have a high view of man's ability to please God by keeping the law.

Speaker. While they are dropping f-bombs.

Speaker. Have any of them, like, defended that, like, violence and...

Vocab. Well, the violence is one thing because most of them don't try to be violent on this side of things but the f-bombs, the camps that do that, remember, some say, 'Hey, those guys do that, that's not Christ-like.' Some say that's not Christ-like so they would say what we would say. The camps that do say, 'Edomites aren't used to a strong black man making confident claims and so they tell us to tone it down but we don't have to listen to you.' So there's nothing wrong. And they'll say, 'Don't you know that Paul said he was crude of speech?' In the King James version I think it says, 'When I came to you I was crude of speech.'

Speaker. Yeah, so he came to church and was, like, dropping f-bombs.

Vocab. They interpret those as Paul was, like, getting it in like that. There are some other examples they love to bring up. Of course, they would bring up Elijah and things like that, Jesus' words to the Pharisees. So they say they're fine where they are at with that. So the Gospel to them is works based.

Speaker. Maybe explain to everybody what should we do to start?

Vocab. Well, if you're going to do reading, so this is not the only way to approach it or get answers but if you're going to read, I'll recommend a few books to help you. One is called 'Africa in the Bible.' Yamauchi, a great scholar and he gives you more of the true perspective on how Africa as a continent does tie into Scripture because Africa is more prominent in the Bible than Europe. It's like if you actually look at the whole of the Bible, you're like, 'Hey, Africa, when you add it all up, Africa is pretty important in Scripture actually.' Great book. So this is to give you the real view of the Scriptures' interaction with Africa. That's a great book.

Then similar but different is a book by Daniel Hayes, 'From Every People and Nation: A Biblical Theology of Race.' Great book on the Bible's discussion and interaction of actually different people groups in Scripture and the biblical way to look at it and approach it. This series is edited by D. A. Carson and it's not directly refuting any claims, per se, it's giving you robust theology to interact with what they say because there is no Christian book that interacts and refutes their claims. There are a couple of videos, a couple of articles, but it's very rudimentary in it.

This is a more general book, 'Scripture Twisting,' by James Sire. It shows you the way they twist text and the Hebrew Israelites are guilty of a lot of these, especially the esoteric interpretation view.

I recommend this book. I don't know if you guys would, though. But '40 Questions about Christians and Biblical Law.' I think it's helpful to understand why the food laws aren't binding on the Christian, why are we

doing Sunday instead of Saturday. Things along those lines and there is some good stuff in here, though, and I think that's helpful because the law is going to be important to them and how they approach it.

Speaker. Why theonomy is so important, Vocab.

Vocab. That's what they would say too, except they don't know the word 'theonomy' I don't think. Then this book is the history of them but it's by a scholar and it's printed by Oxford, actually. So it's kind of a relatively objective view of their history up to this point. So it just gives you the history of the movement. 'The Chosen People' by Jacob Dorman.

Then let me give the last book which is my favorite one out of them all, 'Hebrew Israelites for Dummies.' It's filled with pictures and memes. It is written by a guy who calls himself the Judaite. It's self-published and it's laid out a little odd but it's still very helpful to help you understand some of their basic things they find important. It's 'Hebrew Israelites for Dummies,' I mean, what more can you say?

So there are some but there is no single...but the best thing, join our discussion group called 'Christian and Hebrew Israelite Discussion Group' on Facebook. Come join it and then just ask questions to the Hebrew Israelites in there and find ways to share the Gospel to them. You'll probably learn more through that than anything else. And from that, you'll probably run into some guys who live close to you and I recommend do private follow-up from the discussion group to do a private follow-up to go and eat with them somewhere and then talk to them further, which is, by God's grace, what I've been able to do with a few guys."

Larry. With that, Charles, I want to thank you for being here today. Great job.

Charles. Thank you.

Larry. And hopefully the Lord will bless this to the many people that will be seeing this video in the future. Just remember what we've already said, Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life: no man comes to the Father except by me," that's John 14:6. You've got to go with Jesus. The Black Hebrew Israelites don't even know who he is. They can't even figure it out but Jesus knew who he was, his disciples knew who he was, the apostles knew who he was, we know who he was because we read their writings and see what they say, and I think it's good advice to follow what Jesus said and to believe on his name. Believe on the Lord Jesus Christ and thou shalt be saved. Thank you for being with us. God bless you all.

Other videos available on related subjects on our YouTube channel pertaining to the video presentation made here are "Divine Curse of the Hebrew Roots Movement,

Replacing Jesus with Old Testament Jewish Torah." See also our playlist called "Dealing with Black Muslims, Louis Farrakhan, Nation of Islam," with 41 videos. Also, "Black Lives Matter Founder likes Convicted Cop Killer and Terrorist, Assata Shakur, Not the Bible." And, "Black Genocide. 16 million Blacks Butchered by Mothers, Planned Parenthood tied to Ku Klux Klan." This video has over 148,000 viewings.

If you like our YouTube channel, please subscribe by clicking on the "Subscribe" button and then by also clicking the bell above to get an automatic update whenever we produce another YouTube video for our CAnswers TV channel. Please share our videos with your friends and relatives. May God bless you.

"Only one life, 'twill soon be past,
Only what's done for Christ will last."

See related videos by tapping or clicking the screen.