"Christ, the Law, and the Christian" (Matt 5:17–20) GCC, 8/7/22, Morning Worship

**Introduction**—The *disparity* of scripture concerning its *profitability* and *programmatic* nature

<u>Main Idea:</u> Jesus <u>IS the fulfillment of the Law and the Prophets and *as the fulfillment*, he <u>will authoritatively tell us</u> what the <u>law</u> does and does not mean\_and what <u>true</u> <u>righteousness</u> does and does not look like</u>

- I. What does it mean that Christ came to *fulfill* the law and the prophets (v. 17)?
  - A. Different views:
    - 1. Theonomy (Full continuity)
    - 2. Dispensationalism (Radical Discontinuity)
    - 3. New Covenant Theology [or Progressive Covenantalism] (Bait and Switch)
      - i. Eschatological fulfillment and
      - ii. that OT laws prophetically foreshadow and point to Jesus' own teaching.
  - B. The Biblical View: *Eschatological Fulfillment* 
    - 1. The Use of πληρόω (pleroo) in Matthew–(Fulfillment Formulas)
      - a. A *fulfillment formula* refers to the fulfillment of prophecy (e.g., 1:22; 2:15, 17, 23; 4:14; 8:17; 12:17; 13:35; 21:4; 27:9)
    - 2. Law and Prophets prophesied, and Jesus is the fulfillment
- II. The Abiding Validity of Law (vv. 18–19)
  - A. Christ is upholding the validity and authority of the law
    - 1. What about the Civil and Ceremonial laws? Are we SUPPOSED to DO those and TEACH those?
      - a. Even in Jesus' ministry, you already see, with his unique authority, starting to alter our understanding of the civil and ceremonial aspects of the law (Mark 7; cf. John 8)
    - 2. With the coming of Jesus, it is not that the law is done away with (i.e., "destroyed"), it is that the law finds its eschatological *fulfillment* in him
      - a. "The law is unalterable, but that does not justify its application beyond the purpose for which it was intended." –R. T. France (Cf. Heb 7:12 and 1 Cor 7:19)
    - 3. The law of God is now *refracted* through the person and work of Jesus Christ
  - B. Least and Greatest in the Kingdom of Heaven?

- III. Contrasting Forms of Righteousness (v. 20)
  - A. Not *Imputed* Righteousness but *Imparted* Righteousness
  - B. Jesus is contrasting two *different* definitions of righteousness
  - C. How Matt 5:17–47 hangs together
    - 1. The law is *fulfilled* in Christ (v. 17)
    - 2. The Law *refracted through Christ* is *always* authoritative (vv. 18–19)
    - 3. Two Kinds of Righteousness according to the Law Contrasted (v. 20)
    - 4. Six Antitheses Between <u>Concocted</u> Righteousness and <u>Kingdom</u> Righteousness (vv. 21–48)
      - i. Vilifying anger and reconciliation (vv. 21–26)
      - ii. Adultery and purity (vv. 27–30)
      - iii. Divorce and remarriage (vv. 31–32)
      - iv. Oaths and truthfulness (vv. 33–37)
      - v. Personal injury and self-sacrifice (vv. 38–42)
      - vi. Hatred and Love (vv. 43–47)
  - D. Which Kind of Righteousness best describes the prevailing disposition of your life?
    - 1. Why do you obey? Do you obey to *get* what you want or do you obey *because that's who you are?*
    - 2. Identity or agenda?
    - 3. Desire or duty?
    - 4. Delight or dread?

## Questions for application and Discussion:

- 1. Do you use the doctrine of Christ's *fulfillment* of the law to excuse yourself from obedience or gratefully oblige yourself to following your Savior?
- 2. Does Christ's *fulfillment* of the law (all three divisions: civil, ceremonial, and moral) mean that *every law* in the Mosaic Covenant is to be understood and applied in the same way in the New Covenant?
- 3. In Mathew 5:21–47, is Jesus contrasting the Law of Moses with the Law of Christ?
- 4. Does the *kind* of righteousness we practice matter? Why is external obedience devoid of internal desire an inadequate description of Kingdom people?