

Dangers of PC Thinking

by Fred DeRuvo – Study-Grow-Know Ministries

To those who are adamantly entrenched in the politically correct Left, it is important to hide, dodge, and redirect the actions of people who are believed to be protected by political correctness. In fact, because of the cultural Marxism that is sweeping the world, including America, even criminal activity should be tolerated by *omitting* or *not reporting all the facts of it*. Never is this more clearly seen than in the growing area of incidents of *rapes*.

Throughout parts of Europe (including the UK and even into India), marauding groups of Muslims seem to have taken to the streets for the sole purpose of *raping* women. Of course, they feel they have a right to do this since the women are “uncovered” and are therefore no better than “whores,” “sluts,” and “prostitutes.” As such, they get what they deserve, according to Islam's Sharia law. This attitude toward women is – unbelievably – ignored in many ways by the media *and* the politically correct. Muslims have been granted a type of “victimhood” status that compels the politically correct to react to them differently than they would, say, if gangs of white men roamed around Europe and perpetrated the same types of crimes against women.

The terribly unfortunate part of this (aside from the trauma of being raped) is the fact that the media is under-reporting these events across the globe. One article states, “*High profile-gang rapes in India have been in the headlines since December. The phenomenon is growing across Europe too, but tends to be under reported due to the high incidence of Muslim perpetrators which makes it politically incorrect to mention.*”¹

You may have read of some of these reports, but it's also likely that you did not read that the perpetrators were Muslim. You probably read that the men who are said to have committed these crimes were “from India” or “Sweden” or even “Asian,” but nothing more. As the quote above notes, it is not politically correct to mention this glaring fact. Many officials state it has nothing to do with anything. It's better to ignore it and simply focus on the fact that the perpetrators are men.

But what if a group's ideology believes and even allows for men within that group to treat women in such a horrific way in order to send a message: cover up or be raped as punishment? Of course, to the politically correct that statement is offensive because it smacks of bigotry. However, this belief appears to have some basis for why many Muslim men (and young boys) act as they do toward women who are uncovered. Is it another form of Islamic jihad that is attempting to force women to cover themselves up by making it so difficult for them to even walk down the street without fear of being verbally assaulted, at the very

¹ [http://creepingsharia.wordpress.com/2013/03/21/muslim-gang-rapes-across-europe-under-reported-in-press/\(03/26/2013\)](http://creepingsharia.wordpress.com/2013/03/21/muslim-gang-rapes-across-europe-under-reported-in-press/(03/26/2013))

least? If this is the case, then should officials and good people everywhere be concerned? What is the answer, to force women to wear burkas in order to avoid being raped? If so, then might it be that in the end, we give these Muslims what they want and Sharia has won...again?

In reality, as far as the politically correct are concerned, the only time it is beneficial or “right” to mention a person's ethnicity or religious heritage is when they are being honored for something. So, when my local paper highlights a group of “African-American” Sci-Fi writers, that's perfectly fine. It is within the boundaries of political correctness to do that. However, since most Muslims are Arab (though anyone can be a Muslim since it is not dependent upon race or ethnicity), they fall in that group we call “minorities” even if/when they are not truly minorities numerically.

In one rape case in India, the poor woman was traumatized for seven (7) hours while up to twelve Afghan immigrants took turns raping her repeatedly. This went on while the men also called her “slut” and “whore” and then clapped and cheered during the attack. The woman is now confined to a wheelchair (due to her injuries), has panic attacks, and resides in a psychiatric hospital.

If this was a unique situation, it would be bad enough. However, incidents of this type are fast becoming the norm throughout Europe. The article from which I've quoted also discusses how the UK reports these situations. Interestingly enough, in the UK press these perpetrators are most often referred to as “Asian” even though they are Muslim. As the article points out, *“The Asian gangs do not contain rapists from China, Japan, and the Philippines.”*²

In other words, “Asian” appears to be code for “Muslim” as used by the media in the UK. If I were an actual Asian individual, I would be pretty upset that I (and others like me) was being blamed for something I had no part in.

There are many young girls in the UK who are either raped or groomed for prostitution by these “Asians.” *“According to some of the mothers, a fear of being branded racist makes many of the police and social services reluctant to investigate the crimes as organised (sic) and connected. One mother from Rotherham, whose 14-year-old daughter was groomed into prostitution and multiply raped during a 12-month period, told me that almost every man convicted of these crimes in the north of England is from Pakistan but that the authorities insist that it is not relevant.”*³

² [http://creepingsharia.wordpress.com/2013/03/21/muslim-gang-rapes-across-europe-under-reported-in-press/\(03/26/2013\)](http://creepingsharia.wordpress.com/2013/03/21/muslim-gang-rapes-across-europe-under-reported-in-press/(03/26/2013))

³ Ibid

Of course it's relevant that they are from Pakistan! Political correctness says it's not relevant, but that's only because political correctness cares not for justice at all, as we have already determined previously. There are alarming statistics of the increase in violent rapes by Muslims against non-Muslim girls and women. It has led many to question why there is this deafening silence regarding the fact that, by far, the majority of perpetrators are Muslim.

After describing a particularly terrible situation in which two young girls of France were kidnapped, held over a two-year period, and raped by up to twenty-five men daily (all of whom turned out to be Muslim), one article stated, *"The thing is... the convicted men are all Muslims. At least, they have recognisably (sic) Islamic names. Yet the mainstream press are not reporting this fact. Is this religio-cultural aspect of French gang culture taboo? As much as it appears to be in the UK? Why the whitewash? Why the ethno-religious censorship? Why is the issue of gang-rape committed by young men identified as belonging to a particular minority background consistently suppressed? Are there reporting restrictions? Infringement of their human rights? A conspiracy of silence?"*⁴

Of course, with the influx of Muslims into America, we are starting to see this type of "take what I want" mentality here as well. In just one case, a Virginia woman alleges she was raped by the taxi driver who took her home.⁵ What is interesting here is that the woman went to the hospital and very likely had a DNA swab done. The man stated that the woman tried to seduce him but he ignored her. The man's wife (who does not live in Virginia) stated that the woman was lying, that her husband would never have tried to rape a woman.

Ultimately though, the US Attorney has opted to not pursue charges against the brie. Why? There is no reason given, except the politically correct statement that "the investigation is ongoing," designed to make us think that something is still being done and considered, when in point of fact, that is likely not the case.

We know that Muslim men from Europe and the Middle East believe that a woman who is uncovered (without burka or face covering) is no better than a prostitute. Sweden has been experiencing the problem of growing numbers of rapes and attempted rapes for a few years. Women there report the constant harassment by Muslims who call them all sorts of despicable names simply because they walk around without a burka. Many Islamic Imams blame the woman for the man's arousal. For this reason, women should be covered so that the temptation is avoided by men. The responsibility rests with the woman.

⁴ <http://archbishop-cranmer.blogspot.gr/2012/10/muslim-gang-rape-in-france.html> (03/26/2013)

⁵ <http://creepingsharia.wordpress.com/2013/03/21/u-s-attorney-not-pursuing-charges-against-muslim-cabbie-accused-of-rape/> (03/26/2013)

So when they come to America (or some other country) where women dress in skirts, dresses, or wear shorts and tank tops, these men harbor the same low view of women that they held in their former country. In those countries, they were used to seeing women covered up, and a woman who was uncovered was essentially “asking for it.” If she was raped, it was her own fault.

Even though men are often arrested for the rapes they commit, political correctness disallows the world to point to the man's religious beliefs as the reason he believes he can rape a woman. The fact that no one is doing anything to help these men change their view regarding women allows it to continue.

Some would argue that being arrested should get the message across. In too many cases, it does not. They are arrested, go to jail, come out and rape some more. Going to jail is a minor problem for them.

In another example of political correctness and its desire to eradicate all semblance of Christianity and biblical values from American society, one Florida University student complained that during his intercultural communications class, the professor allegedly *“told the whole class to write the name JESUS in bold letters on a piece of paper, then drop the papers and stomp all over them.”*⁶

The student said that some students did what the professor told them to do, while he and several other students did not participate. After class, he told the professor, Deandre Poole, that what he asked the class to do offended his religious convictions (the student is a Mormon). Hey, I wonder if the instructor buys into critical race theory.

The student eventually complained about the situation to a university official and was promptly suspended from the class.⁷ So, the politically correct minions believe it is perfectly okay to stomp on the Name of Jesus. Apparently, there is some point to that. The only point I am aware of is that it is simply part of the politically correct agenda to eradicate anything that smacks of biblical values.

⁶ http://patriotupdate.com/2013/03/florida-university-student-suspended-for-not-stomping-on-jesus/?utm_source=twitterfeed&utm_medium=twitter (03/26/2013)

⁷ <http://dailycaller.com/2013/03/21/florida-atlantic-univ-student-claims-he-was-suspended-for-not-stomping-on-jesus-video/> (03/26/2013)